# 1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires each district and charter school to complete and submit a justification when it anticipates exceeding 1.0 percent of students assessed in a subject area (i.e., English Language Arts/Reading, Mathematics, and/or Science) with the NCEXTEND1 alternate assessment. Justifications from each district and charter school will be reviewed by the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (NCDPI), and follow up actions will be determined based on the information found in the justification document. Staff from the Exceptional Children and Accountability Divisions in each district and charter school should collaborate to provide the following information on the justification document. Responses to Sections 1–4 and the designated signatures are required; it is optional to include additional information (see page 5). This justification document will be publicly posted. As such, the document must not contain any personally identifiable information. If necessary, additional pages may be attached to this form.

# Section 1: Contact Information 3-Digit LEA/Charter Code: 710 Contact Name: Milinda Martina Contact Phone No.: 910-259-2187 Contact Phone No.: 910-259-2187 District/Charter Name: Pender County Schools Contact Title: Director of Exceptional Children Contact E-Mail: milinda\_martina@pender.k12.nc.us

# **Section 2: Analyzing Contributing Factors**

Did the Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams utilize the alternate assessment <u>eligibility criteria</u> and the <u>North Carolina Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart</u> to make alternate assessment participation decisions?

Indicate how all members of the IEP teams have been informed or trained on the alternate assessment eligibility criteria and the North Carolina Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart. Check all that apply.

Training Method	School Administration	Special Education Staff	Parents	Related Service Staff
Face-to-face training		X		X
Online training				
Given copy of guidance documents		ď		ď
No training provided				
Other, please explain below				

# 1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

Other, please explain below: N/A
Click or tap here to enter text.
Does the district or charter school identify students to participate in the alternate assessment that do not
traditionally participate (i.e., Speech and Language Impairment, Specific Learning Disability, etc.)? If yes,
please explain how the district determined these students meet the criteria for participation in the alternate
assessment.
Explain below: The district utilized the eligibility criteria and NC Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart. The LEA
conducts observations and evaluations to help the schools to make the most appropriate decsions for students.
Click or tap here to enter text.
Does the district or charter school provide a targeted program that may contribute to a higher enrollment of students with significant cognitive disabilities?
students with significant cognitive disabilities.
☐ Yes ☐ No
Explain below:  The district does not have a targeted program. We serve all students according the the NC EC Division Procedures Specialized programs are held within the schools and we do not accept enrollments of students who live outside of our district for EC placement and services in a specialized program.
Click or tap here to enter text.
Does the district or charter school have a small overall student population that increased the likelihood of exceeding the 1.0 percent threshold?
exceeding the 1.0 percent threshold?
□ Yes ⊠ No
Explain below: Our district does not have a small population. Since August 2019, the EC Department has grown by 100+ students The overage is a fraction of a percentage point.
Click or tap here to enter text.
Section 3: Assurances
Does the district or charter school have a process in place to monitor alternate assessment participation?
boes the district of charter school have a process in place to monitor attenuate assessment participation.
ĭ Yes □ No
Explain below: The EC Department have reviewed all records that are on alternative assessment participation and has conducted a peer review of the determinations to ensure the alignment with the state guidence.
Click or tap here to enter text.

# 1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

Does the district or charter school have a process in place to identify and address disproportionality in alternate assessment participation (specifically, among race, gender, or socioeconomic status groups)?

Yes x

No

Explain below: The district conducts an audit of each placement to ensure that the guidence by NCDPI is utilized. The LEA meets quarterly to review the new placements.

Click or tap here to enter text.

## Section 4: Resources and Technical Assistance

What resources and technical assistance does the district or charter school need from the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction to ensure that students are being assessed using the appropriate assessment? None at this time

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Signatures**

Superintendent/Charter School Director Exceptional Children Director/Coordinator LEA/Charter School Test Coordinator Date

1/620

Dafe Z

1 22 20

The completed justification form must be signed by the superintendent/charter school director, exceptional children's director/coordinator, and LEA/charter school testing coordinator. The form must be scanned and emailed to <a href="mailto:alternateassessment@dpi.nc.gov">alternateassessment@dpi.nc.gov</a> by May 3, 2019.

The NCDPI will notify districts/charter schools in writing if further information is needed and will include next steps. For questions, please contact your Exceptional Children Director or Regional Accountability Coordinator.

**Note**: See page 5 for additional information that can be included but is not required.

The following additional information can be included with the justification documentation, but is not required:

- Evidence that all educators who administer the alternate assessment meet the requirements for test
  administrators and have received test administration training prior to administering the alternate
  assessment.
- Evidence that all students have appropriate access to accessibility features on statewide tests.
- A review of the percentage of students taking the alternate assessment at grade 3 versus grades 4–7 versus grade 8 versus high school and an explanation of how Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams are making consistent participation decisions across grade levels.
- A review of data to determine if students are moving from the alternate assessment to the general assessment or vice versa and an explanation for grade levels where this action is more prevalent.
- Evidence that the district is providing appropriate supports and services to students with disabilities to assist in meeting the same graduation requirements as their non-disabled peers.
- An evaluation of students instructed using the Extended Content Standards, but who are spending more than eighty percent (80%) of their day in the general education setting.
- Evidence of data-driven team decisions to determine appropriate instruction and assessment.
- An assessment of varying practices across a district and/or between different schools.
- An explanation of special programs or populations that are served by the district/charter school that may contribute to the alternate assessment participation rate.