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## Preface

North Carolina's accountability model has changed throughout the years. In 1993, North Carolina began testing all students in grades three through eight in reading and mathematics; building upon the end-of-course high school assessment model that began in the 1980s. With this assessment data, North Carolina built a school-based accountability model prioritizing academic achievement and growth for all students.

In 1994, end-of-grade assessments, designed to measure the School Board of Education's (SBE) adopted content standards, were administered for the first time to all students in grades three through eight. Previously, assessments had not met alignment criteria, resulting in students not consistently receiving instruction on the content standards across the state.

In 1996, the accountability system (referred to as Accountability, Basics, and Local Control (ABCs)) used data from the end-of-grade assessments to annually inform parents, educators, and the public on the status of achievement at the school level. In the 1997-98 school year, five end-of-course tests were added to the ABCs school accountability model. The state of North Carolina's commitment to measuring student achievement and providing support for school improvement pre-dates No Child Left Behind.

Since the 1990s, North Carolina has continually evolved its assessment and accountability system to increase academic expectations, so students are prepared for success after high school. This was accomplished by reevaluating the content standards on a five-year cycle and based on these reviews, developing aligned assessments. Likewise, in keeping with continuous improvement, the ABCs model was amended to include additional end-of-course assessments as well as updated business guidelines to ensure schools were held accountable for all students.

The ABCs model continued until the 2012-13 school year when assessments aligned to the Common Cores State Standards in Mathematics and Reading/English Language Arts (adopted by the SBE in June 2010) and the NC Essential Standards (adopted by the SBE in February 2010) were implemented. At this time, the State Board of Education adopted a new accountability model. As with the ABCs, the test data were used for school accountability and federal reporting.

Since the 2013-14 school year, all schools have received school performance grades (A-F), with the addition of English Learner Progress (ELP) and adjustments to some high school indicators in 2017 to ensure compliance with the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This was mandated by Legislation (G.S. §115C-83.15) (passed during the 2013 long session of the North Carolina General Assembly) and required the inclusion of school performance grades as part of the North Carolina school report cards.

Currently, North Carolina administers the required statewide assessments in reading, mathematics, and science as specified in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) in 2015. The ESSA reauthorized the nation's national education law and longstanding commitment to equal opportunity for all students and replaced the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001. The U.S. Department of Education (USED) approved North Carolina's plan under the ESSA June 5, 2018, and approved an
amendment to the plan June 16, 2020. The state plan continues the School Performance Grades model for school identification under the ESSA, in which schools earn A-F grades based on proficiency measures and student-growth targets as required by North Carolina General Assembly Legislation (G.S. §115C-83.16). The full ESSA plan is available on the USED website at https://oese.ed.gov/offices/office-of-formula-grants/school-support-and-accountability/essa-consolidated-state-plans/.

This technical guide provides the decision requirement provisions for each indicator and the calculation parameters of the data for the current accountability model. It outlines the procedures and methodologies used to determine the annual school performance grades of North Carolina’s schools in accordance with federal and state law. It also includes procedures and methodologies for reporting data to supplement the accountability model. The business requirements provided in this guide apply to all public schools within the state of North Carolina. The school grading system focuses on different student success measures between the elementary/middle and high school levels.

To provide additional context for the current accountability model and the timeline for implementation, see below:

## Accountability Model Through the Years

| Year | Action |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1993 | North Carolina began testing all students in grades three through eight in reading and mathematics. <br> First school-based accountability model created. |
| 1994 | End-of-grade assessments designed to measure the SBE's adopted content standards were <br> administered for the first time to all students in grades three through eight. |
| 1996 | The accountability system, referred to as Accountability, Basics, and Local Control (ABCs), used data <br> from the end-of-grade assessments to inform parents, educators, and the public annually on the status <br> of achievement at the school level. |
| $1997-98$ | Five end-of-course tests were added to the ABCs school accountability model. |
| 2010 | The SBE adopted the Standard Couse of Study (based on Common Core Standards for English <br> Language Arts and Mathematics). |
| $2012-13$ | A new accountability model was implemented. |
| $2013-14$ | All schools received school performance grades (A-F), with the addition of English Learner Progress <br> (ELP) and adjustments to some high school indicators in 2017 to ensure compliance with the Every <br> Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). |
| $2018-20$ | The U.S. Department of Education (USED) approved North Carolina’s plan under the ESSA June 5, <br> 2018, and approved an amendment to the plan June 16, 2020. |
| $2019-21$ | Due to the impact of COVID-19, the USED and General Assembly waived testing and accountability <br> reporting in the spring of 2019-20. |
| Current | In the 2020-21 school year, accountability reporting was also waived. |
| The state plan continues the School Performance Grades model for school identification under the <br> ESSA. Schools earn grades (A-F) based on proficiency measures and student-growth targets as <br> required by North Carolina General Assembly Legislation (G.S. §115C-83.16). |  |

## Abbreviations

| Acronym | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10S | Tenth day of spring semester |
| AAA | Academic Achievement Assessments |
| AA-AAAS | Alternate Achievement Standards |
| ABCs | Accountability, Basics, and Local Control |
| ASMAS | Alternative Schools' Modified Accountability System |
| ACCESS for ELS 2.0 | Accessing Comprehension and Communication in English State-to-State for English |
| Learners |  |
| ACDE | Accountability Collection Data Entry System |
| ACGR | Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate |
| ACT | American College Test |
| AD | Achievement Denominator |
| ALT-ACCESS | Alternate ACCESS for ELs |
| AP | Advanced Placement |
| ARP | Achievement Relative Percent |
| ASM | Alternative School Model |
| ASMAS | Alternative Schools' Modified Accountability System |
| AWA | The ACT/WorkKeys Assessment Indicator |
| ASPM | Alternative School Progress Model |
| BOG3 | Beginning-of-Grade 3 Reading Test |
| CCAHSP | Community College Adult High School Program |
| CCR | College and Career Readiness |
| CCRAA | College and Career Readiness Alternate Assessment |
| CGR | Cohort Graduation Rate |
| CIHS | Coranth Percent |
| COC | Coperative Innovative High School |
| CSI | Comprehensive Objective Composite |
| CSI-AT | Ever Day of Fall |
| CSI-LG | Eomprehensive Support and Improvement |
| CSI-LP | Comprehensive Support and Improvement- Additional Targeted |
| CTE | Comprehensive Support and Improvement- Low Graduation Rates |
| DOD | Comprehensive Support and Improvement- Lowest Performing |
| EDS | Career and Technical Education |
| e.g. | Department of Defense |
| EL | Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| ELP | For example |
| ELPM | English Learner |
| EOC | English Learner Progress |
| EOG | End |
| EOY | ESEA |


| Acronym | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| IDEA | Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act |
| i.e. | That is |
| IEP | Individualized Education Program |
| LEA | Leal Education Agency |
| LEA TC | LEA Test Coordinator |
| LEA TA | Long Term Goals |
| LTG | Long Term Goal Summary |
| LTGSUM | Math Course Rigor |
| MCR | Multivariate Response Model |
| MRM | North Carolina |
| NC | North Carolina Department of Administration |
| NCDOA | North Carolina Department of Public Instruction |
| NCDPI | Alternate assessment for EOG and EOC tests |
| NCEXTEND1 | Non-Content Visitor |
| NCV | Participation Summary |
| PARTSUM | Paressional Development |
| PD | Partial Enrollment |
| PE | Partial Enrollment Fall |
| PEF | Partial Enrollment Spring |
| PES | Personal Identifiable Information |
| PEY | Power School |
| PII | Preliminary Scholastic Aptitude Test |
| PS | Regional Accountability Coordinator |
| P-SAT | Regional Computing Consultant |
| RAC | Reporting Summary |
| RCC | Read to Achieve |
| REPSUM | School Accountability Growth |
| RtA | Scholastic Aptitude Test |
| SAG | State Board of Education |
| SAT | School Quality Denominator |
| SBE | School Performance Grades |
| SD | School Performance Grade Summary |
| SPG | School Quality |
| SPGSUM | School Quality Denominator |
| SQ | School Quality Relative Percent |
| SD | Student with Disability |
| SRP | Test Coordinator |
| SWD | Targeted Support and Improvement |
| TC | Targeted Support and Improvement- Additional Targeted |
| TSI | Targeted Support and Improvement- Consistently Underperforming |
| TSI- AT | University of North Carolina |
| TSI-CU | Univariate Response Model |
| UNC | United States Department of Education |
| URM | WIDA-ACCESS Placement Test |
| USED | World-Class Instructional Design and Assessment |
| W-APT |  |
| WIDA |  |
|  |  |
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## Section 1: School Performance Grades Overview | Components and Indicators

### 1.1. School Performance Grade Components

As required by North Carolina General Statute (§115C.83.15) and the North Carolina Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State Plan, the School Performance Grades (SPG) are based on two overall components:

- The Achievement Component: the school's achievement score (80\% is calculated using a composite method that includes the sum of points earned by a school on all indicators measured for that school).
- The Growth Component: the students’ academic growth (20\% compares the actual performance of the school's students to their expected performance which is based on their prior testing results).

The final school performance grade is based on a 15-point scale.

### 1.2. School Performance Grade Indicators

The SPG indicators for elementary and middle schools differ from the indicators for high schools as presented in the table and explanation below.

TABLE 1.2. School performance grade indicators by grade span

| Elementary and middle school indicators | High school indicators |
| :---: | :---: |
| - 3rd Grade Reading Proficiency | - High school mathematics proficiency |
| - 4th Grade Reading Proficiency | NC Math 1 or NC Math 3 |
| - 5th Grade Reading Proficiency | - English II proficiency |
| -6th Grade Reading Proficiency | - Biology proficiency |
| -7th Grade Reading Proficiency | - Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate |
| - 8th Grade Reading Proficiency | - English Learner Progress |
| - 3rd Grade Mathematics Proficiency | - School Accountability Growth |
| - 4th Grade Mathematics Proficiency | English II and high school mathematics |
| - 5th Grade Mathematics Proficiency | - Successful completion of the NC Math 3 course |
| - 6th Grade Mathematics Proficiency | - The ACT/ACT WorkKeys |
| - 7th Grade Mathematics Proficiency |  |
| - 8th Grade Mathematics/NC Math 1 Proficiency |  |
| - 5th Grade Science Proficiency |  |
| - 8th Grade Science Proficiency |  |
| - English Learner Progress |  |
| - School Accountability Growth |  |
| - Reading, mathematics, and science tests |  |

### 1.3. School Performance Grade Indicators for Elementary and Secondary Schools (Kindergarten through Grade Eight) that are not High Schools

The Academic Achievement Indicator (reading and mathematics tests), the Other Academic Indicator (science tests), and the English Learner Progress Indicator comprise 80\% of the total weight for the system of annual meaningful differentiation and include the following indicators:

- End-of-Grade Tests - Students that score at or above Level 3 on annual End-of-Grade (EOG) assessments in reading and mathematics in grades three through eight, NC Math 1 for some students in middle school, and science assessments in grades five and eight are counted for academic growth and performance. The NCEXTEND1 is an alternate
assessment for students with disabilities who are instructed in the North Carolina Extended Content Standards. This assessment is included in the performance measure only, not in growth.
- English Learner Progress - Students who are classified as English learners (EL) take an English language attainment assessment which is included in the accountability model for students in grades three through eight.

The School Quality or Student Success Indicator (school growth) accounts for $20 \%$ of the total weight for the system of annual meaningful differentiation. The $20 \%$ is determined by school growth on the statewide assessments (reading, mathematics, and science). School growth is referred to as School Accountability Growth.

The achievement indicators have much greater weight in the system than the School Quality or Student Success indicator.

As specified in §115C.83.15(b), the school achievement part of the model uses "(i) a composite approach to weigh the achievement elements based on the number of students measured by any given achievement element, and (ii) proportionally adjust the scale to account for the absence of a school achievement element..." Within the Achievement Component, most of the data is based on student performance on the reading and mathematics assessments. Typically, there are three grade levels with these test scores and only one grade level with data for the Other Academic Indicator (science scores).

Likewise, there is a smaller subset of students comprising the English Learner Progress (ELP) indicator. This method of calculation allows for a proportional representation of ELs in relationship to the total school population. If a school does not have the required number of students to report the ELP indicator, its weight will become part of the other indicators in the achievement component.
Table 1.3 illustrates how North Carolina calculates the elementary and middle school accountability indicator measures used in the accountability model. The numbers in the model below are examples.

TABLE 1.3. Elementary and middle school indicator calculations

| Measure | Numerator | Denominator | Score used in <br> final calculation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EOG Reading | 362 | 841 |  |
| EOG Mathematics | 341 | 841 |  |
| EOG Science | 189 | 289 |  |
| EL Progress | 8 | 32 |  |
| Total School Achievement Score | 900 <br> (sum of numerators) | 2003 <br> (sum of denominators) | $900 / 2003=44.9$ |
|  | Growth composite |  | Growth score <br> used in final <br> calculations |
| School Accountability Growth Score <br> (Reading, Math, and Science <br> Composite) | -0.95 |  | 75.2 |

To obtain the total school achievement score, the total number of proficient scores for all indicators are added and then divided by the total number of scores for all indicators. The growth score calculation will be further explained below.

### 1.4. School Performance Grade Indicators for High Schools

The high school model applies the weights in the system, where growth is $20 \%$ of the model, and the remaining indicators, Academic Achievement and School Quality Student Success indicators, are $80 \%$.

The high school indicators making up $80 \%$ of the model are as follows:

- End-of-Course Tests - Students that score at or above Level 3 on four End-of-Course (EOC) assessments - NC Math 1, NC Math 3, English II, and Biology - are counted for performance. NC Math 1, NC Math 3, and English II are also counted for growth. Biology is not part of growth. The NCEXTEND1 is an alternate assessment for students with disabilities instructed in the NC Extended Content Standards and is included in performance only, not in growth.
- Cohort Graduation Rate - The percentage of students who graduate within the defined cohort in four years or less.
- English Learner Progress - Students who are classified as English earners take an English language attainment assessment which is included in the accountability model for students in grade ten.
- Math Course Rigor - The percentage of grade twelve students passing the NC Math 3 course.
- The ACT/WorkKeys - The percentage of grade twelve students meeting either the University of North Carolina System's admissions minimum requirement on a college admissions assessment (a composite score of nineteen on The ACT) or who meet the standard, earning a certificate at the Silver, Gold, or Platinum level, on a nationally normed test of workplace readiness (ACT WorkKeys assessments).

School growth accounts for $20 \%$ of the total weight for the system of annual meaningful differentiation. The $20 \%$ is determined by calculating the school growth on the statewide assessments (reading and mathematics). School growth is referred to as School Accountability Growth.

Table 1.4 illustrates how North Carolina calculates the high school accountability indicator measures used in the accountability model. The numbers in the model below are examples.

TABLE 1.4. High school measure calculations

| Measure | Numerator | Denominator | Score used in final calculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EOC Mathematics | 117 | 269 |  |
| EOC English II | 135 | 274 |  |
| Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate | 284 | 330 |  |
| EL Progress | 9 | 34 |  |
| EOC Biology | 124 | 240 |  |
| The ACT/WorkKeys | 226 | 508 |  |
| Math Course Rigor | 261 | 273 |  |
| Total School Achievement Score | $\begin{gathered} 1156 \\ \text { (sum of numerators) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1928 \\ \text { (sum of denominators) } \end{gathered}$ | 1156/1928=60.0 |
|  | Growth composite index |  | Growth score used in final calculations |
| School Accountability Growth Score <br> (Reading and Math Composite) | -0.95 |  | 75.2 |

Again, when calculating the achievement score for each indicator, the percentage of students who meet the standard is divided by the total number of students for that indicator. To get the total School Achievement Score, the total number of scores or benchmarks meeting the standard for all indicators is added and then divided by the total number of scores or benchmarks for all indicators. Schools spanning both kindergarten through grade eight and high school will use the appropriate indicators accordingly. For example, a kindergarten through grade twelve school will use all the indicators for kindergarten through grade eight and high school.

This model allows for a proportional representation of the indicators with the assessments comprising most of the weight for the model. For example, the ELP indicator in relationship to the reading and mathematics assessment participants is less, giving more weight to the reading and mathematics assessments. If a school does not have the required number of students to report an indicator, the indicator's weight will become part of the other academic indicators in the model.

### 1.5. Calculating a School's Growth Score

Growth for all schools is $20 \%$ of the model as required by General Statute §115C-83.15. School Accountability Growth is generated using EVAAS. EVAAS is a value-added growth model that includes student performance on all applicable subject assessments for that school. The growth
model calculations result in a composite index growth value which determines a growth designation for the school of exceeds expected growth, meets expected growth, or does not meet expected growth. For the purposes of the school performance grades, the growth composite index is converted to a 100-point scale, so it can be combined with the school achievement score to create the overall school performance grade score.

The composite growth value spans a range from - 10.0 to 10.0 (it is possible to achieve values greater than 10.0 or below -10.0 , but those are transformed to -10.0 and 10.0 for use in the accountability model). These composite growth values are transformed to the 100-point score which is rounded to the tenth. This score is used as $20 \%$ of the overall school/subgroup grade. North Carolina reports the growth values that correspond to EVAAS designations for all schools. The distribution of the growth designations (exceeds, met, and did not meet) allows for additional meaningful differentiation between schools and subgroups.

### 1.5.1. Guidelines Applied in Calculation of School Growth

- Elementary and middle schools use Reading EOG, Mathematics EOG/EOC, and Science EOG assessments for growth calculations.
- High schools use Reading and Mathematics EOC assessments for growth calculations.
- EOG and EOC Mathematics assessments taken in the current accountability year are used in school accountability growth calculations. Some assessments are included in growth that may not be included in other accountability measures like proficiency, participation, or long-term goal calculations. For example, students who take NC Math 1 in grade seven will have their NC Math 1 EOC score used when the student is in grade eight for proficiency, participation, and long-term goals. This student's NC Math 1 score will be included in the growth calculation for the year the student was in grade seven.
- Only NC Math 3 EOC assessments of students on the accelerated pathway (i.e., took NC Math 1 prior to grade nine) are used in School Accountability Growth calculations. (All NC Math 3 EOC assessments are used for educator growth calculations.)
- Subgroups receive a growth score for inclusion in the subgroup school performance grade.
- A growth index is only used if there are thirty students included in the growth calculation for the school, subject, or subgroup.
- Summer program scores are not used for growth.
- If two valid scores are received in the same accountability year for a student enrolled in a course that requires an EOC (i.e., student took the assessment in both the fall and spring semesters), both scores are included in Growth calculations.
- If a school or subgroup achieves a composite growth index value below -2.0, the growth expectation was not met.
- If a school or subgroup achieves a composite growth index value of -2.0 to 1.99 , the growth expectation was met.
- If a school or subgroup achieves a composite growth index value equal to or above 2.0, the growth expectation was exceeded.


### 1.6 Summary of Tests Used in Accountability

For a list of tests that are used in accountability calculations please visit
https://www.dpi.nc.gov/2021-22-required-testing-chart.

## Section 2: Calculation of School Performance Grades

### 2.1. School Performance Grades Overview

As described above, School Performance Grades are based on each school's achievement score (80\%) and each school's student academic growth score (20\%). The total school performance score is converted to a 100-point scale and then used to determine a school performance grade of A, B, C, D, or F. The final grade is based on a 15 -point scale: A: 85-100 B: 70-84 C: 55-69 D: $40-54 \mathrm{~F}$ : less than 40.

Schools receive an overall letter grade and a letter grade for each student subgroup (Asian, American Indian, Black, Hispanic, Two or More Races, White, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities, and English Learners). The overall letter grade and subgroup performance is reported when the number of students in a particular group is at least thirty for one or more parts of the accountability model. Schools approved to use the state's Alternative Schools Modified Accountability System will be assigned a letter grade only for the purposes of identifying Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) schools and Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) schools, as required by federal statute.

Schools with grade levels three through eight will also be given a separate score and grade for reading and mathematics. This includes both achievement and growth measures for either reading or mathematics. To obtain the final score and grade, the reading and mathematics grades are calculated the same way as the SPGs.

Table 2.1 provides an example for calculating a school's performance grade.

TABLE 2.1. Basic school performance grade calculation

|  | Score | Multiply by | Input for final grade |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Achievement | 64.4 | .80 | 51.5 |
| Growth | 100.0 | .20 | 20.0 |
| Final Score ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 72 |
| Final Grade |  |  | B |

${ }^{1}$ For reporting purposes, the performance score is rounded to the nearest whole number.

### 2.2. Calculations of School Performance Grades

For all indicators, the denominator must meet the minimum number of students (thirty), after all business guidelines are applied, to be included in the SPG or subgroup grade calculation. Students must meet partial enrollment for each accountability indicator, except Cohort Graduation Rate, to be included in the SPGs.

All non-growth indicators account for $80 \%$ of the SPG. The indicators' denominators are added together to create the composite denominator. The corresponding numerators are added together to make the composite numerator. The numerator is divided by the denominator and multiplied by one hundred to get a total achievement score rounded to the tenth. This score is multiplied by
0.8 (80\%). This result is added to the converted 100-point growth score after it is multiplied by $0.2(20 \%)$ to achieve a final SPG or subgroup grade.

The table below provides an example of a high school calculation, assuming all indicators had at least thirty students in the denominator after all business guidelines are applied:

TABLE 2.2. Sample high school calculation

| Measure | Numerator | Denominator | Achievement score used <br> in final calculations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Achievement <br> Assessments <br> (Combines Reading and Math) | 117 (Math) +135 <br> (English II) | 269 (Math) +274 <br> (English II) |  |
| Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate | 284 | 330 |  |
| EL Progress | 9 | 34 |  |
| EOC Biology | 124 | 240 |  |
| The ACT/WorkKeys Assessments | 197 | 238 | $1127 / 1658=68.0$ |
| Math Course Rigor | 261 | 1127 | 1658 <br> Total$\quad$ (sum of numerators) |

$$
\text { Total Score }=68.0(.8)+75.2(.2)=54.4+15.04=69.44
$$

A similar calculation is conducted to determine the separate reading and mathematics SPG for schools serving grades three through eight. Separate reading and mathematics SPGs are for the whole school only, not subgroups.

When calculating the SPGs and subgroup grades, the achievement score is rounded to the tenth before being combined with the growth score. Prior to assigning all letter grades, the final score is rounded to the whole.

As per the ESSA, SPGs are used to meaningfully differentiate schools for the identification of:

1. Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) schools, and
2. Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) schools.

The following guidelines may apply to schools without enough data for calculations:

- Kindergarten through grade two schools receive the same SPG as the school in the local education agency (LEA) receiving the highest percentage of their students for grade three.
- When a school does not have any indicators with enough data to compute a designation using current year data only, the school's SPG is determined from a combined three-year calculation.
o If a school who does not have enough data to compute a designation is also an approved alternative school, the school has the option to use a three-year calculation or have all scores returned to other schools within the district. The alternative school receives the SPG of the school to which most of the scores were returned. Alternative charter schools will default to a three-year calculation option if needed.


### 2.3. Alternate Calculation for Special Weighting

To ensure school quality or student success measures do not have significantly more weight in the high school (HS) accountability model, a relative percent analysis is conducted to ensure the school growth (20\%) plus the Achievement Relative Percent (HS Math, HS Reading, Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate, and ELP measures) is greater than the relative percent of the school quality or student success measures (Biology, The ACT/WorkKeys Assessments Indicator, and Math Course Rigor).

The following calculations are used for this analysis:
Achievement Denominator (AD) = HS Math denominator + English II denominator + Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate denominator + English Learner Progress denominator

School Quality Denominator (SD) = Biology denominator + The ACT/WorkKeys Indicator denominator + Math Course Rigor denominator

Growth Percent (GP) = 20 (or 0 if not enough data to calculate growth)
Achievement Relative Percent (ARP) $=[\mathrm{AD} /(\mathrm{AD}+\mathrm{SD})] \times 0.8$
School Quality Relative Percent $(\mathrm{SRP})=[\mathrm{SD} /(\mathrm{AD}+\mathrm{SD})] \times 0.8$
If (GP + ARP) < SRP, then school quality has more weight than the achievement indicators. When this occurs, these schools’ measure of annual differentiation is adjusted in the following manner:

The English II EOC, high school Math EOCs, Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate, and ELP academic achievement indicators account for 31\% of the schools’ overall score. The growth academic achievement indicator accounts for $20 \%$ of the overall score. All academic achievement indicators combined account for $51 \%$ of the model. Thus, the high school, school quality measures (Biology, The ACT/WorkKeys Indicator and Math Course Rigor) account for $49 \%$ of the schools' overall score. This ensures that the academic indicators result in a greater weight than the school quality indicators.

### 2.4. Reading and Mathematics EOG and EOC Proficiency Calculation

Annual assessments are administered as follows: EOG Reading and Mathematics at grades three through eight, EOC assessments in NC Math 1, NC Math 3, English II, and the related alternate assessments (NCEXTEND1) for students receiving instruction in the NC Extended Content Standards.
Table 2.4. illustrates the annual assessments administered per grade level, as noted in subsections 2.4 through 2.7.

TABLE 2.4. State assessment administered per grade level ${ }^{1}$

| Grade | Reading | Mathematics | Science | Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | Beginning of Grade/End-of-Grade | End-of-Grade | - | - |
| 4 | End-of-Grade | End-of-Grade | - | - |
| 5 | End-of-Grade | End-of-Grade | End-of-Grade | - |
| 6 | End-of-Grade | End-of-Grade | - | - |
| 7 | End-of-Grade | End-of-Grade | - | - |
| 8 | End-of-Grade | End-of-Grade/NC Math 1 EOC | End-of-Grade | - |
| 9 | - | NC Math 1 EOC | - | - |
| 10 | English II EOC | - | - |  |
| 11 | - | NC Math 3 EOC | Biology EOC | The ACT |
| 12 | - | - | - | WorkKeys |

${ }^{1}$ EOC assessments may be provided at different grade levels depending on student progress.
Each EOG and EOC assessment uses the achievement levels indicated in Table 2.4.1 to report accountability results.

TABLE 2.4.1. Student achievement levels

| Reading, Science, and Mathematics |
| :--- |
| Level 5: Comprehensive Understanding |
| Level 4: Thorough Understanding |
| Level 3: Sufficient Understanding |
| Not Proficient: Inconsistent Understanding |

The assessments are reported as four academic achievement levels (Not Proficient, Level 3, Level 4, and Level 5) with Levels 3-5 demonstrating Grade-Level Proficiency and Levels 4-5 demonstrating on track for Career and College Readiness. School performance grade calculations use scores that meet Grade-Level Proficiency (Levels 3-5).

The denominator and numerator used to calculate the Reading and Mathematics EOG and EOC accountability scores are defined as follows:

1. The denominator includes all current year assessment scores for eligible students in membership (i.e., enrolled in a school) at grades three through eight and in high school courses in which an EOC assessment is required.

- The participation expectation for all assessments is $95 \%$. If a school fails to meet the $95 \%$ participation rule for reading or mathematics, an adjustment is made to the denominator to ensure the denominator accounts for $95 \%$ of students expected to test. See Section 3 for more details.
- Students who are in their first or second year in a U.S. school are not included in proficiency calculations.
- NC Math 1 scores for students taking the course prior to their grade eight year are counted when the student is in grade eight. ${ }^{1}$
- The mathematics high school accountability assessment for students who took NC Math 1 for the first time prior to grade nine is NC Math $3 .{ }^{1}$
- Students with an NCDPI approved medical exemption are excluded from all relevant proficiency calculations.
${ }^{1}$ When a student who took NC Math 1 for the first time prior to grade nine repeats the NC Math 1 course for credit in high school, the NC Math 1 assessment (if administered) is used for accountability SPG calculations only for that year. This student's NC Math 3 assessment will also be used for all accountability calculations including SPGs, long-term goals, and grade eleven participation expectations.

2. The numerator is based on the number of students scoring Grade-Level Proficiency. Annual assessments for SPGs are calculated by determining the percentage of students who score at Level 3 or higher.

- If two valid scores are received in the same accountability year for a student enrolled in an EOC course, the higher score is used for proficiency calculations.
- Students earning credit through the Credit by Demonstrated Mastery program in a course that administers an EOC assessment are counted as proficient.
- Students in grade three who are not proficient on the EOG but receive a Level 3 or higher on the Beginning of Grade 3 (BOG3) Reading Test count as proficient.
- Students who score below Level 3 on an EOG or EOC assessment (or the NCEXTEND1 Alternate) in the current school year and obtain a higher score while enrolled in a summer program, that is completed (and test scores are submitted) before the published end of the accountability year, have the higher score replace the lower score in the performance calculations. This does not apply to grade three reading, as those students take tests to determine proficiency for Read to Achieve and do not follow the same summer program requirements.


### 2.5. Science End-of-Grade Proficiency Calculation

Annual assessments administered are as follows: EOG Science at grades five and eight and the related alternate assessments (NCEXTEND1). The assessments are reported as four academic achievement levels (Not Proficient, Level 3, Level 4, and Level 5) with Levels 3-5 demonstrating Grade-Level Proficiency and Levels 4-5 demonstrating on track for Career and College Readiness. SPG calculations use scores that meet Grade-Level Proficiency (Levels 3-5).

1. The denominator includes all current year assessments for eligible students in membership
(i.e., enrolled in a school) at grades five and eight.

- Students who are in their first or second year in a U.S. school are not included in proficiency calculations.
- Students with an NCDPI approved medical exemption are excluded from all relevant proficiency calculations.

2. The numerator is based on the number of students scoring Grade-Level Proficiency. Annual assessments for SPGs are calculated by determining the percentage of students who score at Level 3 or higher.

- Students who score below Level 3 on an EOG assessment (or the NCEXTEND1 Alternate) in the current school year and obtain a higher score while enrolled in a summer program (i.e., completed and test scores are submitted) before the published
end of the accountability year, have the higher score replace the lower score in the performance calculations.


### 2.6. Biology End-of-Course Proficiency Calculation

Annual assessments administered are as follows: EOC Biology and the alternate assessment (NCEXTEND1). The assessments are reported as four academic achievement levels (Not Proficient, Level 3, Level 4, and Level 5) with Levels 3-5 demonstrating Grade-Level Proficiency and Levels $4-5$ demonstrating on track for Career and College Readiness. SPG calculations use scores that meet grade-level proficiency (Levels 3-5).

1. The denominator includes all current year assessments for eligible students in membership (i.e., enrolled in a school) in high school courses in which an EOC assessment is required.

- Students who are in their first or second year in a U.S. school are not included in proficiency calculations.
- For schools starting with grade nine, biology assessments taken prior to grade nine are banked to grade nine for calculations. Banked biology scores are not included in the school where the student took the assessment at grade eight unless it is the same school in grade nine.
- Students with an NCDPI approved medical exemption are excluded from all relevant proficiency calculations.

2. The numerator is based on the number of students scoring at Grade-Level Proficient. Annual assessments for SPGs are calculated by determining the percentage of students who score at Level 3 or higher.

- If two valid scores are received in the same accountability year for a student enrolled in a course that requires an EOC, the higher score is used for proficiency calculations.
- Students earning credit through the Credit by Demonstrated Mastery program in a course that administers an EOC assessment is counted as proficient.
- Students who score below Level 3 on an EOC assessment (or the NCEXTEND1 Alternate) in the current school year and obtain a higher score while enrolled in a summer program (i.e., completed and test scores are submitted) before the published end of the accountability year, have the higher score replace the lower score in their performance calculations for SPG.


### 2.7. Combined The ACT/WorkKeys Proficiency Calculation (Grade Twelve)

1. The denominator is the number of grade-twelve students who have either a valid The ACT (from grade eleven) or WorkKeys assessment score (the student must also be a CTE Concentrator).

- Students with an approved The ACT or WorkKeys exception are included in the denominator.
- Grade-twelve students enrolled on the first day of spring testing or mid-year graduates who are in grade twelve on the first day of fall testing.
- English learners who take both assessments during their first or second year in a U.S. school are not included.
- Students who do not have a valid The ACT or WorkKeys assessment are not included in the denominator. This includes:
o Students who are instructed on the NC Extended Content Standards and participated in the NCEXTEND1 at grade eleven.
o Students with an NCDPI approved medical exception.
o Students who take the College and Career Readiness Alternate Assessment (CCRAA).
o ELs who earned the score in their first or second year in a U.S. school.

2. The numerator is the number of students who either achieved a composite score of nineteen or higher on The ACT or achieved a Silver, Gold, or Platinum certificate on the WorkKeys assessment.

- Students with an approved The ACT or WorkKeys exception are included in the numerator.
- When an eligible English learner takes the WorkKeys assessment in their third year, in a U.S. school, the score is included. If the WorkKeys assessment is not proficient (Bronze or no certificate awarded), The ACT score is reviewed and if proficient (composite nineteen or higher), the student is included in the numerator.
- A student's WorkKeys score is only considered for inclusion in the numerator if they are also a CTE concentrator.

To define valid The ACT and WorkKeys assessments and for reporting purposes outside the accountability system, the following guidelines are applied to The ACT and WorkKeys assessments in the year the assessments are administered.

### 2.7.1. The ACT Exception

Students may submit The ACT exception request to the public school unit if they have previously met the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) or The ACT college readiness benchmark standards. These students must have previously taken The ACT or the SAT prior to the state administration window and met the eligibility criteria to be exempt from taking the ACT during the state administration.

To meet the eligibility criteria, students must have either SAT or The ACT test scores that meet the following college readiness benchmarks:

SAT college readiness benchmark scores include the subtests indicated in Table 2.7.1.

TABLE 2.7.1. SAT college readiness benchmark scores

| Subtest | Score |
| :--- | :---: |
| Evidence-Based Reading and Writing | 530 |
| Mathematics | 540 |

The ACT college readiness benchmark scores include the following subtests indicated in Table 2.7.1.1.

TABLE 2.7.1.1. The ACT subtest and college readiness benchmark scores

| Subtest | The ACT Score |
| :--- | :---: |
| English | 18 |
| Reading | 22 |
| Mathematics | 22 |
| Science | 23 |

### 2.7.2. WorkKeys Exception

Students who submit a request to the public school unit may not be required to participate in the WorkKeys assessment because they previously took this assessment prior to the state administration window(s) and met the eligibility criteria to be exempt from taking WorkKeys during the state administration. Documentation must be provided indicating the student received a Silver, Gold, or Platinum WorkKeys certificate.

### 2.8. English Learner Progress Proficiency Calculation

1. The denominator is the number of ELs in grades three through eight and ten.
2. The numerator is the number of ELs who met North Carolina's definition of progress toward English language attainment as demonstrated on the language proficiency test, including exiting the English earner status. See Section 6 for more details.

### 2.9. Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate Calculation

The Cohort Graduation Rate is the number of students that are part of a designated cohort.

1. The denominator is the total number of students in the current year's cohort expected to graduate in four years or less.
2. The numerator is the number of students who graduate (earned a high school diploma) in four years or less, as defined by the designated cohort.

### 2.10. Math Course Rigor Calculation

1. The denominator is based on all grade twelve students. Grade twelve students are determined by the grade level at each school's first day of spring data collection along with mid-year graduates, or mid-year certificate earners who were also in grade twelve on the first day of fall data collection.

- Students who transfer into a school are included in the denominator.
- Students who are instructed in the NC Extended Content Standards or on the Occupational Course of study are included in the denominator.

2. The numerator is the number of grade twelve students who have earned credit in the NC Math 3 course.

## Section 3: Participation Requirements for Accountability and Reporting

### 3.1. Participation Requirements Under Federal Law

Section 1111(c)(4)(E) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 defines expectations for assessing all students in reading and mathematics as follows:
"(E) ANNUAL MEASUREMENT OF ACHIEVEMENT-
(i) Annually measure the achievement of not less than 95 percent of all students, and 95 percent of all students in each subgroup of students, who are enrolled in public schools on the assessments described under subsection (b)(2)(B)(v)(I).
(ii) For the purpose of measuring, calculating, and reporting on the indicator described in subparagraph (B)(i), include in the denominator the greater of -
(I) 95 percent of all such students, or 95 percent of all such students in the subgroup, as the case may be; or
(II) the number of students participating in the assessments."

Subsection (b)(2)(B)(v)(I) states ' 'in the case of mathematics and reading or language arts, be administered-(aa) in each of grades 3 through 8; and (bb) at least once in grades 9 through 12."

Subparagraph (B)(i) states "(i) For all public schools in the State, based on the long-term goals established under subparagraph (A), academic achievement-(I) as measured by proficiency on the annual assessments required under subsection (b)(2)(B)(v)(I)."

To ensure that all students are included in the academic assessment accountability measures, schools are held accountable for testing at least $95 \%$ of eligible students on assessments of reading and mathematics. The minimum number of students required to report participation rates for the All Students group and each subgroup is thirty. Grade level eligible students are collected in the school's first day of fall or first day of spring data collections. Participation rates of student groups with less than thirty students will be monitored but not reported. Participation targets are set on the following assessments:

- EOG Reading and NCEXTEND1 Reading (grades three through eight)
- EOG Math and NCEXTEND1 Math (grades three through eight)
o for students in grade eight, either EOG Math 8 or EOC NC Math 1
- EOC current year English II and NCEXTEND1 English II
- EOC current year Math assessment (NC Math 1, NC Math 3, and NCEXTEND1 Math) in high schools

For the 2021-22 school year only, as granted by the ESSA addendum submitted to the U.S. Department of Education, the participation rate for high school reading and mathematics are based on the current year membership and participation of students in NC Math 1, NC Math 3, and English II. Participation consequences for schools who did not meet current year participation will be applied to the academic achievement indicator and long-term goals. This will hold schools to a participation expectation without including students who were unable to participate in testing during the 2020-21 school year due to COVID-19.
The consequences of testing less than $95 \%$ of students in reading or mathematics do not apply when reporting test results outside the accountability system or long-term goals.

### 3.1.1. Missing 95\% End-of-Grade Participation Calculation Example

Table 3.1.1 provides an example of a school not meeting participation requirements. One hundred students were expected to participate in the EOG Reading assessment. The actual number of students that were tested was ninety.

95 (number of students required to test to meet $95 \%$ participation) - 90 (number of students who tested) $=5$

Since this school did not meet the 95\% participation requirement, the proficiency denominator for school performance grades and the reading long-term goal will increase by five. This adjustment causes a negative impact on proficiency in the accountability system and long-term goals, and the school will be flagged as not meeting the participation requirement.

TABLE 3.1.1. Example of a school not meeting EOG participation

|  | Number of <br> students <br> expected to <br> participate in the <br> assessment | Minimum 95\% <br> target number of <br> students <br> expected to <br> participate | Actual number <br> of assessments <br> given | Difference in actual <br> number of assessments <br> and 95\% target <br> (added to denominator) | Denominator |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3-8 <br> Reading EOG | 100 | 95 | 90 | 5 | 95 |

### 3.1.2. Calculations of the Grade Three Through Eight Reading EOG Proficiency for the Accountability Model (SPG)

Continuing with the example provided in Section 3.1.1, out of the ninety assessments administered, seventy were grade-level proficient (Levels 3-5). Without the participation rule applied, the proficiency rate would have been $77.8 \%$ ( $70 / 90=77.8 \%$ ). Once the participation consequence is applied and the denominator is increased by five, the proficiency rate dropped to 73.7\% (70/95 = 73.7\%).

TABLE 3.1.2. EOG GLP proficiency calculation for schools not meeting participation

|  | Number of students <br> expected to <br> participate in the <br> assessment | Actual number of <br> assessments given | Number of <br> proficient students <br> (GLP Levels 3-5) | Difference in actual <br> number of assessments <br> and 95\% target <br> (added to denominator) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3-8 <br> Reading EOG | 100 | 90 | 70 | 5 |

### 3.1.3. Calculations of the Grade Three Through Eight Reading EOG Proficiency for the Long-Term Goals

For the example below, out of the ninety assessments administered, fifty five were career and college ready (Levels $4-5$ ). Without the participation rule applied, the proficiency rate would have been $61.1 \%$ (55/90=61.1\%). Once the participation consequences were applied and the denominator was increased by five, the proficiency rate dropped to $57.9 \% ~(55 / 95=57.9 \%$ ).

TABLE 3.1.3. EOG CCR proficiency calculation for schools not meeting participation

|  | Number of students <br> expected to participate <br> in the assessment | Actual number <br> of assessments <br> given | Number of <br> proficient students <br> (CCR Levels 4-5) | Difference in actual <br> number of assessments <br> and 95\% target <br> (added to denominator) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3-8 <br> Reading EOG | 100 | 90 | 55 | 5 |

### 3.1.4. Missing 95\% Participation End-of-Course Calculation Example

Table 3.1.4 provides an example of a school not meeting participation requirements. Two hundred fifty students were expected to participate in the current year EOC English II assessment. The actual number of students that tested was 230 . To meet the $95 \%$ participation requirement, 238 students should have tested.

238 (number of students required to test to meet 95\% participation) - 230 (number of students who tested) $=8$

Since this school did not meet the 95\% participation requirement, the proficiency denominator for school performance grades and the reading long-term goal will increase by eight. This adjustment causes a negative impact on proficiency in the accountability system and long-term goals, and the school will be flagged as not meeting the participation requirement.

TABLE 3.1.4. Example of a school not meeting English II EOC participation

|  | Number of <br> students <br> expected to <br> participate in the <br> assessment | Minimum 95\% <br> target number <br> of students <br> expected to <br> participate | Actual number <br> of assessments <br> given | Difference in number of <br> assessments and 95\% of <br> students <br> (added to denominator) | Denominator |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English II | 250 | 238 | 230 |  | 8 |

### 3.1.5. Calculations of English II EOC Proficiency for the Accountability Model (SPG)

Proficiency for the accountability model is based on current year assessments. The calculation uses all students with a current year grade-level proficient score (numerator) and the number of assessments given plus any applicable participation denominator adjustment (denominator).

TABLE 3.1.5. EOC proficiency calculations with participation rule applied to school performance grade calculations

|  | Number of <br> students expected <br> to participate in <br> the assessment | Minimum 95\% <br> target number <br> of students <br> expected to <br> participate | Actual <br> number of <br> assessments <br> given | Number of <br> proficient <br> students (GLP <br> levels 3-5) | Difference in actual <br> number of assessments <br> and 95\% target <br> (added to denominator) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EOC English II | 250 | 238 | 230 | 180 | 8 |

Continuing the example above, there were 230 English II EOC current year scores from all grades. Of those, 180 are grade-level proficient (Levels 3-5). Without the participation rule applied, the proficiency rate would have been $78.3 \%$ (180/230=78.3\%). Once the participation consequences were applied and the denominator was increased by eight, the proficiency rate dropped to $180 / 238=75.6 \%$.

### 3.1.6. Calculations of English II EOC Proficiency for the Long-term Goals

Long-term goal proficiency is measured at grade ten for students with an English II score (earned in the current year or in previous years). The calculation uses grade ten students with English II scores meeting career and college readiness (Levels 4-5) in the numerator and the number of students with English II scores at grade ten plus any applicable participation denominator adjustment in the denominator.

TABLE 3.1.6. EOC proficiency calculations with participation rule applied to long-term goal calculations

|  | Number of students <br> with scores (earned in <br> current or previous <br> years) at grade ten | Number of <br> students <br> meeting <br> proficiency | Difference in actual <br> number of assessments <br> and 95\% target <br> (added to denominator) | Calculation <br> including <br> participation <br> rule noted above |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-Term Goals | 235 | 123 <br> $($ Levels 4 or 5) | 8 | $123 /(235+8)=50.6 \%$ |

The denominator for proficiency is the total number of students at grade ten who have a current year or previously banked English score. In the above example (Table 3.1.6), there were 235 English II EOC current year and previously banked scores for grade ten students. In the above example, the school did not meet 95\% participation, so the denominator is increased by $8(235+8=243)$.

In the numerator, of the 235 current year and previously banked English II scores, 123 were career and college ready (Levels 4-5). Without the participation rule applied, the proficiency rate would have been $52.3 \%(123 / 235=52.3 \%)$. Once the participation consequences were applied and the denominator was increased by eight, the proficiency rate dropped to 50.6\% (123/243= 50.6\%).

### 3.2. Participation Rule for Reading and Mathematics

Participation targets are evaluated at the grade level/grade span and by subjects (reading and mathematics) to determine if the number of assessments at that grade level/grade span are greater than or less than $95 \%$ of expected assessments for the All Students group and each subgroup.

1. If the percentage of assessments is greater than $95 \%$ of expected assessments, the denominator is the actual number of assessments administered.
2. If the number of assessments is less than $95 \%$ of expected assessments, the difference between the number of assessments and $95 \%$ of expected assessments will be added to the denominator for school performance grade proficiency calculations and long-term goal calculations.

Schools will have a 95\% participation expectation for any student group that meets a minimum of thirty students. This rule is applied only to the group for which participation was missed. For example, if the Hispanic subgroup missed participation, values are not added to the All Students group if it was above $95 \%$ participation. Special cases where students are included or excluded in participation rates are found in Table 3.8.

### 3.3. Participation for Other Indicators

For EOG Science, EOC Biology, The ACT, and WorkKeys, participation rates will be reported.
Schools not meeting the $95 \%$ participation requirement must submit to the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction:

1. a justification for not meeting participation; as well as
2. a plan of action to ensure at least $95 \%$ of students participate in the subsequent school year.

Also, in any report of participation, schools that did not meet the requirement for the All Students group or for any subgroup will be highlighted and identified with a note indicating participation was not met. Participation will be based on the following group of students for each of the assessments listed below:

- EOG: science at grades five and eight: membership at the relevant grade level(s) in the school's first day of spring data collection.
- Biology: current year course enrollment membership in the school's first day of fall and spring data collections.
- The ACT: membership at grade eleven in the school's March data collection.
- WorkKeys: CTE concentrators in membership at grade twelve in the school’s first day of spring data collection, or if a student was a mid-year graduate and in membership at grade twelve in the first day of fall data collection. Incomplete tests are considered as participants, but the earned score will not count in proficiency calculations (invalid test).

Special cases where students are included or excluded in participation rates are found in Table 3.8.

### 3.4. The ACT Assessment (Grade Eleven Participation)

1. The denominator is based on students enrolled in grade eleven in the March data collection.

- Students whose assessments are declared a misadministration or are vacated by The ACT are included in the denominator.
- Students who are repeating grade eleven, who have a previous score on The ACT assessment (therefore are not eligible to test) do not count against participation and are removed from both the numerator and the denominator.
- Students who take the NCEXTEND1 Alternate Assessment at grade eleven are included in the denominator.
- Students who meet the eligibility requirements and take the CCRAA are included in the denominator.
- Students with a North Carolina Department of Public Instruction approved medical exception do not count in the denominator.
- Students who have an approved The ACT exception request (as noted in section 2.7.1.) submitted by the public school units' test coordinator are included in the denominator.

2. The numerator includes students who took The ACT, one of the alternate assessments for The ACT, or have an approved ACT exception request.

- Students who have an approved The ACT exception request (as noted in section 2.7.1.) submitted by the public school units' test coordinator are included in the
performance measure as meeting the UNC System's minimum composite score of nineteen.


### 3.5. North Carolina College-and-Career Readiness Alternate Assessment

The North Carolina CCRAA was designed in response to House Bill 587 passed by the North Carolina General Assembly during the 2013 Session. House Bill 587 requires the administration of an alternate assessment to the PreACT and The ACT for students who exhibit severe and pervasive delays in all areas of conceptual, linguistic, and academic development as well as in adaptive behaviors, including communication, daily living skills, and selfcare, and who are following a course of study that, upon completion of high school, may not lead to admission into a college-level course of study resulting in a college degree.

The North Carolina Department of Public Instruction offers two versions of the CCRAA. The CCRAA at grade ten is the alternate assessment to the PreACT and is taken at grade ten. The CCRAA at grade eleven is the alternate assessment to The ACT and is taken in grade eleven.

CCRAA for grade eleven test administrations are included in participation calculations for The ACT.

### 3.6. WorkKeys Assessment (Grade 12 Participation)

1. The denominator is based on students at grade twelve who are CTE concentrators. A CTE concentrator is a student who has successfully completed a concentrator course in an approved career pathway. Concentrator courses are second or third-level courses in a career pathway that build upon technical skills acquired in a prerequisite course.

- Grade twelve students include those who are in grade twelve on the first day of spring (FDS) or who are in grade twelve on the first day of fall (FDF) and are mid-year graduates.
- Students with a North Carolina Department of Public Instruction approved medical exception do not count in the denominator.
- Students who have an approved WorkKeys exception request (as noted in section 2.7.2.) submitted by the public school units' test coordinator are included in the denominator.

2. The numerator is the number of CTE concentrators who took the WorkKeys assessment. Students who have an approved WorkKeys exception request (as noted in section 2.7.2.) submitted by the public school units' test coordinator are included in the numerator.

### 3.7. Subgroup Participation Guidelines

- Students categorized as an SWD or EL (at any time during their designated four-year graduation cohort) count in these associated subgroups for calculations of the Cohort Graduation Rate.
- Students who met the defined criteria for exiting EL status at any point in the previous four years are included in the denominator of the EL subgroup for all indicators, except the ELP indicator.
- The following subgroups receive a school performance grade, and all participation requirements are reported for the subgroups in Table 3.7.

TABLE 3.7. Participation subgroups

| All Students (School as a Whole) | Two or More Races |
| :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | White |
| Asian | English Learners |
| Black | Students with Disabilities (SWD) |
| Hispanic | Economically Disadvantaged Students (EDS) |

### 3.8. Special Cases

In some instances, students may not have a regular assessment on record. These students may be included or excluded from the participation calculation and may also count for or against participation. These conditions can be found in Table 3.8.

TABLE 3.8. Condition under which a student missing regular administration test score may or may not count in participation rates

| Condition | EOG | EOC | The ACT | WorkKeys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do Not Count for or Against Participation |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Students with a North Carolina Department of Public Instruction approved medical exception do not count for or against participation calculations. |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Grade eleven repeaters who have a previous The ACT assessment score do not count for or against participation, as they are not eligible to test. |  |  |  |  |
| Count as Nonparticipants |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Students who are absent from the assessment count in participation calculations as nonparticipants. |  |  |  |  |
| 4. 4. Students whose tests were declared a misadministration or are invalidated and did not have an opportunity to test again, count in participation as non-participants. | $V$ | $\checkmark$ | $V$ | V |
| Count as Participants |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Students who take at least one part of a multi-part assessment count as participants. |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Students who are instructed on the North Carolina Extended Content Standards and whose Individualized Education Program designates participation in the NCEXTEND1 Alternate Assessment count in participation calculations as participants. | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 7. Students who have received The ACT or WorkKeys Exemption count in participation calculations as participants. |  |  |  | $N$ |
| 8. Students who meet the eligibility requirements and take the College-and-Career Readiness Alternate Assessment (CCRAA) count in participation calculations as participants. |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Students who earn credit in a course from out of state or private/home school count in participation calculations as participants. |  | , |  |  |
| 10. English Learner (EL) students in their first or second year in U.S. schools count in participation calculations as participants. |  |  |  |  |

### 3.9. NCEXTEND1 Alternate Assessments (Reading and Math Grades Three through Eight, Science Grades Five and Eight, English II, Biology, and NC Math 1 at Grade Ten and Grade Eleven)

State Board policy ACCT-021 requires all students with disabilities to participate in the statewide testing program by taking either the standard test administration with or without accommodations or by participating in an alternate assessment with or without accommodations.

The North Carolina NCEXTEND1 Alternate Assessments of Reading and Mathematics at grades three through eight, science at grades five and eight, English II, NC Math 1, and biology at grade ten and grade eleven are designed for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The assessment process requires students to complete grade-level, multiple-choice questions. The NCEXTEND1 Alternate Assessment questions measure the content standards specified in the North Carolina Extended Content Standards for reading and mathematics and the North Carolina Essential Standards for science.

To determine the eligibility of students that can participate in the NCEXTEND1 Alternate Assessments, the following requirements must be met:

- The student must have a current Individualized Education Program (IEP).
- The student must have a significant cognitive disability:
o The student's disability significantly impacts cognitive functioning and adaptive behaviors, defined as those skills which are essential for someone to live and function independently.
o The student requires extensive and repeated individualized instruction and support to make meaningful gains.
o The student uses substantially adapted materials and individualized methods of accessing information in alternative ways.
- The student must be instructed using the North Carolina Extended Content Standards (i.e., reading and mathematics) and the North Carolina Extended Essential Standards (i.e., science).
- The student must be enrolled in grades three through eight, ten, or eleven, according to PowerSchool. Only those students enrolled in grade eleven for the first time are required to take the NCEXTEND1 Alternate Assessment.

Per G.S. §115C-174.11(c)(4)(b), the "alternate assessment results of students with disabilities shall be included in school accountability reports, including charter and regional schools, provided by the State Board of Education."

### 3.9.1. One Percent Participation

The ESSA requires states to ensure that the total number of students assessed in reading, mathematics, and science using the alternate assessment, based on alternate academic achievement standards, does not exceed $1 \%$ of the total number of all students assessed in each subject. North Carolina uses the number of students taking the NCEXTEND1 Alternate Assessments to make this determination.

The ESSA empowers states to look more carefully at the participation rate on the alternate assessment aligned to alternate academic achievement standards (AA-AAAS) for students with
the most significant cognitive disabilities. Under the ESSA, the total number of students assessed in a subject using an alternate assessment may not exceed $1 \%$ of the total number of students in the state who are assessed in that subject. If a state anticipates that it will exceed the cap with respect to any subject for which alternate assessments are administered in any school year, the state may request a wavier for the cap for the relevant subject for one year.

The regulation in question, 34 C.F.R. §200.6(c)(2), requires state education agencies, such as the NCDPI, to adhere to a $1 \%$ cap for the total number of students assessed statewide in a subject area using an alternate assessment.

### 3.9.2. Participation on the ACCESS or ELs Assessment or North Carolina Alternate ACCESS for ELs (Grades Kindergarten through Twelve):

All eligible students are expected to participate on the Accessing Comprehension and Communication in English State-to-State (ACCESS) for ELs assessment. Students missing assessments may count against progress.

The Alternate ACCESS for ELs is North Carolina's required English language proficiency alternate assessment (designed for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities) that complies with Title One of the federal ESSA as amended by the ESSA Legislation. Per G.S. §115C-174.11(c)(4)(b), the "alternate assessment results of students with disabilities shall be included in school accountability reports, including charter and regional schools, provided by the State Board of Education."

## Section 4: Partial Enrollment Guidelines

North Carolina defines partial attendance (partial enrollment) as enrolled in the school for at least half of the school year in alignment with the requirement of including students in indicators of the accountability system under the ESSA.

The ESSA outlines which measures may be used in the calculation of a school's accountability model for students who are not in membership in a school for at least half of the school year. The law notes that, in the case of a student who has not attended the same school within a public school unit for at least half of a school year, the performance of such a student

1. Must not be used in the accountability model for the following ESSA indicators for each school year, when applicable.

- Reading EOG/English II EOC proficiency
- Mathematics EOG/NC Math 1 and NC Math 3 EOC proficiency
- English II EOC accountability growth
- NC Math 1 and NC Math 3 EOC accountability growth
- English Learner Progress
- Science EOG proficiency
- School quality or student success indicators
o Biology EOC proficiency
o The ACT or WorkKeys
o Reading EOG growth
o Mathematics EOG growth
o Science EOG growth
o Math Course Rigor

2. Shall be used for reporting on the state and public school units' report cards and other public reporting.

### 4.1. Process for Determining Partial Enrollment

North Carolina will use the following dates to determine partial enrollment (PE): partial enrollment yearlong (PEY), partial enrollment fall (PEF), and partial enrollment spring (PES):

1. Yearlong schools/courses (i.e., yearlong EOC and EOG proficiency and growth, The ACT, WorkKeys, and Math Course Rigor).

- Use the first day of school and last day of school values in the student information system in combination with the Enrollment Calendar Table from the student information system to determine the date of the midpoint of the school year (PEY).
- Students with an entry date in the student information system that is on or before PEY will meet the partial enrollment criteria, and these scores will be included in all accountability calculations.

2. Semester courses (EOC).

- Fall Semester:
o Use the Enrollment Calendar Table in the student information system to add four school days to the first day of fall (FDF) test date (FDF+4) to determine the end of semester date (cross-checked with the tenth day of spring semester (10S) date to ensure accuracy); public school unit test coordinators (TCs)
must accurately enter their FDF and 10S dates into the Accountability Collection Date Entry System (ACDE).
o Use the first day of school in PowerSchool and the FDF+4 date to determine fall semester start and end dates.
o Use the student information system Enrollment Calendar Table to determine the midpoint of the fall semester (PEF).
o Students with an entry date in the student information system that is on or before PEF will meet the partial enrollment criteria, and these scores will be included in all accountability calculations.
- Spring Semester:
o Use the Enrollment Calendar Table in the student information system to add five school days to the FDF date (FDF+5) to determine the first day of the spring semester (cross check with 10S date to ensure accuracy); public school unit TCs must accurately enter their FDF and 10S dates into ACDE.
0 Use the FDF+5 date and the last day of school date in student information system to determine the spring semester start and end dates.
o Use the Enrollment Calendar Table in the student information system to determine the midpoint of the spring semester (PES).
o Students with an entry date in the student information system that is on or before PES will meet the partial enrollment criteria, and these scores will be included in all accountability calculations.


## Additional Notes

1. If the semester or year has an uneven number of days, the midpoint will extend the second half of the timeframe by one day.
2. Public school units must modify the data collection and student information system dates as appropriate (refer to outlined authoritative sources in ACDE documentation) when calendars are modified due to weather or other unforeseen circumstances.
3. Partial enrollment applies to the most current enrollment date from the student information system and calculates the consecutive enrollment days concluding at either the end of the semester or end of the year.
4. Summer school test scores (after July 6) are used in accountability calculations for the subsequent school year and by default will meet PE requirements.
5. Students in credit recovery courses and students with approved testing outside the window scores will use PE guidelines for the semester the student is enrolled in the course, not the number of days the student is enrolled.
6. Schools with multiple tracks and schools with non-standard school calendars will also use this methodology.
7. The expectation to assess $95 \%$ of all students is not contingent upon a student meeting the partial enrollment criteria.
8. All students (regardless of PE guidelines) are included in the calculation of participation and the reporting of performance for purposes other than the accountability model.
9. For midyear graduating students at grade twelve, partial enrollment for Math Course Rigor and The ACT/WorkKeys will use PEF status when determining inclusion in the accountability model.
10. For The ACT/WorkKeys indicator, partial enrollment will be based on the student's enrollment information during the grade twelve year.

## Example for Yearlong Courses to Determine Partial Enrollment Year (PEY) Date:



FIGURE 1. Determining partial enrollment year.

## Example for Fall Semester Courses to Determine Partial Enrollment for Fall (PEF) Date:



FIGURE 2. Determining partial enrollment for fall semester.


FIGURE 3. Determining partial enrollment for spring semester.

## Section 5: Alternative Schools’ Modified Accountability System

The Alternative Schools’ Modified Accountability System (ASMAS) was developed to provide additional accountability information on eligible schools and to supplement the SPG (G.S §115C-83.15). North Carolina Administrative Code Rule establishes the eligibility criteria for participation and the options available for eligible schools to use. Eligible schools have a calculated school performance grade only for the purposes of identifying Comprehensive Support and Improvement schools or Targeted Support and Improvement schools under the ESSA. Schools identified by this model continue to be part of accountability reporting and are required to be included in assessment participation reporting. Please refer to the Alternative Schools’ Modified Accountability System Technical Guide for further information.

## Section 6: English Learner Progress

### 6.1. English Learner Progress Measure Overview

The ESSA requires each state to provide an annual assessment of English language proficiency to all students identified as ELs in the schools served by the State Educational Agency. In North Carolina, the annual assessment is the WIDA ACCESS for ELLs (ACCESS). One of the purposes of the ACCESS assessment is to measure student progress toward English language proficiency.

Under the ESSA, English Learner Progress (ELP) must be measured and reported for all ELs in grades kindergarten through grade twelve. The ELP long-term goal and measures of interim progress include results for all ELs in grades kindergarten through grade twelve. However, the accountability indicator associated with EL Progress included in SPGs use the progress of ELs in grades three through eight and ten only.

### 6.2. Defining English Learner Progress

ELP is measured using the ACCESS composite score. The composite score consists of four domains: Reading, Writing, Listening, and Speaking.

Note: The screening tools used to identify students for EL services (WIDA Screener (grades one through twelve) and the WIDA ACCESS Placement Test (W-APT-kindergarten)), are not used to measure ELP.

The criterion for exiting EL status requires students to meet the Comprehensive Objective Composite (COC) set by the state. The COC defines the attainment of English language proficiency by a student reaching an overall composite score of 4.8 or higher. Table 6.2 (EL Expected Exit Year) and Table 6.3.5.4 (English Learner Progress Value) identify the expected number of years to exit EL status and the yearly progress expectations for each student, respectively.

TABLE 6.2. EL expected exit year

| Initial Score on the <br> WIDA ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $1.0-1.9$ | Number of years <br> expected to exit |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 5 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 4 |
| $4.0-4.7$ | 3 |

Students are expected to make progress annually toward the goal of exiting EL status. The initial ACCESS composite score determines the number of school years expected for a student to exit (Table 6.2) and defines the yearly progress needed to meet the expectation (Table 6.3.5.4). The annual ACCESS composite score after the initial year will determine if a student meets or does not meet progress.

In Table 6.2, the number of years to exit is based on the initial ACCESS assessment. For all students identified as ELs and enrolled in North Carolina public schools (prior to the 2017-18 school year), the initial composite score is the one achieved on the 2016-17 ACCESS assessment.

### 6.3. Counting Progress of English Learners

Each EL student will count positively or negatively toward progress. A student must have an initial composite score and a current year composite to measure progress. Examples of how students count are found in Table 6.3.4.

### 6.3.1. Students Counting Positively for English Learner Progress

Students count positively in the EL progress goal or indicator when they meet the expected yearly progress toward exiting, or if they exit EL status in or before the expected exit year.

Below are the English learner performance measures (ELPM) that are used to make this determination:

ELPM \# 1 Students who meet or exceed expected progress as defined in the value table (see Table 6.3.5.4).

ELPM \# 2 Students who take the W-APT or WIDA screener and exit EL status in the same year. (See Table 6.3.4: Student E)

ELPM \# 3 Students who take the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs (ALT-ACCESS) assessment and improve one or more proficiency levels from the previous ALT-ACCESS assessment will count positively. Starting with the 2021-22 school year, students who maintain a composite score of proficiency level P1 or higher for two consecutive years will count positively and exit EL status. (See Table 6.3.5.5 for ALT-ACCESS proficiency levels and Table 6.3.5.6 for ALT-ACCESS exit criterion.) Note: The outcome of the data from the exit criteria will be reviewed at the conclusion of the 2022-23 school year and if there is consistency across the outcomes from the 2021-22 and 2022-23 school years, modifying the criteria to be based on one year will be considered.

ELPM \# 4 Students who take the ALT-ACCESS assessment in the prior year and take a regular ACCESS assessment in the current year, count positively, unless the student has a prior regular ACCESS assessment that can be used as an initial ACCESS assessment.

Note: If a student does not have an initial regular ACCESS assessment, the current year regular assessment becomes the initial ACCESS assessment to measure progress in future years.

### 6.3.2 Students Counting Negatively for English Learner Progress

Students count negatively in the ELP goal or indicator when any of the following conditions are met:

1. Students have an initial composite score and a current year composite score but do not meet expected progress as outlined in Table 6.3.5.4. (See Table 6.3.4 for examples.)

- ELPM \# 5 Students not exiting EL status in the year expected, as reflected in Table 6.3.5.4, negatively count each year until exiting EL status.
- ELPM \# 6 Students who take the ALT-ACCESS assessment and do not improve by at least one proficiency level count negatively in the ELP goal/indicator. (See Table 6.3.5.5 for ALT-ACCESS proficiency levels.)

2. Students have an initial ACCESS composite score but do not have a current year composite score to measure progress.

- Students were absent from the current year administration.
- Students were tested but did not complete all domains to receive a composite score.

3. Students have been enrolled and eligible to test for at least two test administrations, where the first administration is the initial year and progress cannot be measured.

- Students have a current year composite score and were eligible to test in the prior year, but they did not test (e.g., students move to schools within the same district).
- Students were eligible to test in the initial and current year but do not have a valid composite score in either year.

Table 6.3.2 conceptualizes the above descriptions for the conditions of which students count negatively.

TABLE 6.3.2. Counting negatively for English Learner progress
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Initial year } \\ \text { assessment }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Current year } \\ \text { assessment }\end{array} & \text { Condition } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Table 6.3.2 definitions: } \\ \mathrm{Y}=\text { Took assessment }\end{array} \\ \hline \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}} & \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}=\text { Took assessment but did not meet expected } \\ \text { progress }\end{array}\right)$

### 6.3.3 Students That May Count Positively or Negatively After Defining a Trajectory

Students who missed taking the ACCESS Assessment in the initial year but have a score from a subsequent year are placed on a trajectory to measure progress in future years.

ELPM \# 7 To determine the expected trajectory of progress the first assessment taken by the student is placed on the value table in the year they took the assessment (this year is determined by the number of years the student should have had an assessment). Students are expected to meet the annual progress on that row in the value table for the remaining years before their expected exit.

- If the first assessment score is lower than the lowest composite score in that year on the value table (first row of the table), the student is placed on the first row to determine trajectory.
- If the first assessment score falls in between rows indicated on the value table for the associated year, the student is placed on the row that corresponds to the lower of the two table values for that year.

Example 1. Student A is absent for their initial ACCESS assessment and was expected to test, then takes the assessment in year one and scores a 1.6. Student A is expected to make at least a 2.5 the next year (year two).

Example 2. Student B does not have an initial ACCESS assessment score and does not have an ACCESS score in year one but should have had scores both years. Student B then scores a 3.5 in Year 2. Student B counts against the indicator in Year 2 and is expected to score a 4.1 in Year 3 to meet progress.

Example 3. Student C does not have a score in 2016-17 but should have for their initial ACCESS assessment year. Student C takes the assessment in 2017-18 and scores a 3.5, a score that falls between values 3.4 and 3.6 on the value table. The student is placed on the 3.4 row and is expected to make at least a 3.9 in 2018-19.

TABLE 6.3.3. Examples of setting a trajectory ${ }^{1}$

|  | Initial ACCESS <br> Score <br> $(16-17)$ | Year 1 <br> $(\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8})$ | Year 2 <br> $(\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9 )}$ | Year 3 <br> $(\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0})$ | Year 4 <br> $(20-21)$ | Year 5 <br> $(21-22)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student A | Absent | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 4.0 | Exit |
| Student B | No score | No score | 3.5 | 4.1 | Exit |  |
| Student C | No score | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.3 | Exit |  |

${ }^{1}$ Refer to Table 6.3.5.4 for the Value Table.
Note: Students in ELPM \# 7 may have counted against progress in years before obtaining at least two ACCESS scores.

### 6.3.4 Students Counting Neither Negatively nor Positively for English Learner Progress (Not in the Denominator)

ELPM \# 8 Students who transfer from one district to another and have been enrolled and eligible to test for two administrations, where the first administration is the initial year, and the students did not test but have current year composite scores.

ELPM \# 9 Students with disabilities preventing access to one or more domains on the ACCESS assessment must be tested on domains which can be accessed.

- The North Carolina Department of Public Instruction will calculate an alternate composite score for any student who has a documented disability in an IEP or Section 504 Plan, preventing participation in one domain on the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. This does not apply to students who participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs assessment.
- The alternate composite score established using this method will become the baseline for student progress in subsequent years and will count either positively or negatively. Students with disabilities who do not have at least three individual domain scores do not count against EL progress because they cannot attain an overall composite score.

ELPM \# 10 Students granted a medical exception by the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction in the current year or the previous year (only if the previous year is the initial ACCESS assessment).

ELPM \# 11 Students who are enrolled at a school after the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction designated enrollment deadline and were not required to take the ACCESS assessment during the test administration window.

ELPM \# 12 Students who take the regular ACCESS assessment in the prior year and take an ALT- ACCESS assessment in the current year.
Note: The ALT- ACCESS assessment becomes the initial assessment to measure progress in future years based on Table 6.3.5.4. If the student returns to the regular ACCESS assessment, the original initial ACCESS assessment and year are used to measure progress in future years based on Table 6.3.5.4.

ELPM \# 13 Eligible students who withdraw from North Carolina Public Schools during the testing window and do not have a WIDA ACCESS composite score, will not count against progress.

Note: Enrollment data will be collected on the first and last day of the testing window.
TABLE 6.3.4. English language progress examples

|  | Initial <br> ACCESS <br> Score <br> $(16-17)$ | Year 1 <br> $\mathbf{( 1 7 - 1 8 )}$ | Progress <br> met | Year 2 <br> $\mathbf{( 1 8 - 1 9 )}$ | Progress <br> met | Year 3 <br> $(19-20)$ | Progress <br> met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value from <br> Table 9 | 3.1 | 3.7 |  | 4.2 |  | Exit |  |
| Student A | 3.1 | 3.7 | Yes | 4.2 | Yes | 4.7 | No |
| Student B | 3.1 | 3.9 | Yes | Exit | Yes |  |  |
| Student C | 3.1 | 3.5 | No | 4.5 | Yes | Exit | Yes |
| Student D | 3.1 | 3.6 | No | 4.1 | No | Exit | Yes |
| Student E | N/A | 5.0 (Initial <br>  <br> exit) | Yes |  |  |  |  |

### 6.3.5 Guidelines Used to Adjust How Students are Included or Excluded in the ELP Goal or Indicator when Moving in to and out of North Carolina

ELPM \# 14 Students that have an initial North Carolina ACCESS composite score and move out of North Carolina Public Schools to an in state private/home school or out of state (not out of country, see ELPM \#16) and return in a later year, will maintain the initial North Carolina ACCESS composite score as the initial assessment score. After returning, students are expected to make progress based on the initial ACCESS composite score and the expected composite score designated by the yearly progression in Table 6.3.5.4.

Example. A student took the initial ACCESS assessment in 2016-17 and earned a 1.0 composite score, left the state after the second ACCESS assessment in 2017-18, and returned after two years out of state in 2020-21. The student is expected to earn a composite score of at least 4.0 in 2020-21 to achieve progress based on the initial ACCESS assessment given in North Carolina. Table 6.3.5 illustrates this example.

TABLE 6.3.5. Example of progress calculation for students who left North Carolina and returned

|  | Initial <br> ACCESS <br> score NC <br> $(2016-17)$ | Year 1 NC <br> $(2017-18)$ | Year 2 <br> another state <br> $(2018-19)$ | Year 3 <br> another state <br> $(2019-20)$ | Year 4 NC <br> (2020-21) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade level | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Score | 1.0 (Initial) | 1.9 |  |  | 4.0 (Expected) |

ELPM \# 15 Students who enter from out of state, where the ACCESS assessment is administered and were not previously enrolled in NC, use the most recent ACCESS composite score as the initial ACCESS composite score in North Carolina and are expected to make progress as specified in Table 6.3.5.4.

Example. A student took the initial ACCESS assessment in 2016-17 in Colorado and earned a 1.0 composite score. Three years later, the student entered North Carolina with a most recent ACCESS composite score of 3.3. The 3.3 composite score, from Colorado, is considered the initial ACCESS composite score in North Carolina, and the student is expected to score at least a 3.8 to be considered a student making progress the following year. Table 6.3.5.1 provides a way to look at this example.

TABLE 6.3.5.1. Example of progress calculation for students who entered North Carolina from out of state where ACCESS is administered

|  | Initial ACCESS <br> score CO <br> $(\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7 )}$ | ACCESS <br> score CO <br> $\mathbf{( 1 7 - 1 8 )}$ | ACCESS score <br> CO <br> $\mathbf{( 1 8 - 1 9 )}$ | ACCESS score <br> CO <br> $\mathbf{( 1 9 - 2 0 )}$ | Year 1 NC <br> (20-21) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade level | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Score | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3.3 <br> (Initial NC) | 3.8 (Expected) |

Students who enter from out of state, where the ACCESS assessment is not administered, and were not previously enrolled in North Carolina public schools, use the first ACCESS assessment administered in North Carolina (the current year) as the initial ACCESS composite score.

Example. A student took an English Language Proficiency Assessment in a state that does not administer ACCESS, and the student moves to North Carolina. The initial ACCESS composite score is the initial assessment score earned in North Carolina. See Table 6.3.5.2 for this example.

TABLE 6.3.5.2. Example of progress calculation for students who entered North Carolina from out of state where ACCESS is not administered

|  | MN <br> $(\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7 )}$ | MN <br> $\mathbf{( 1 7 - 1 8 )}$ | MN <br> $(\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9 )}$ | Initial ACCESS <br> score NC <br> $\mathbf{( 1 9 - 2 0 )}$ | Year 1 NC <br> $\mathbf{( 2 0 - 2 1 )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade level | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Score | ELP <br> Assessment | ELP <br> Assessment | ELP <br> Assessment | 2.8 (Initial) | 3.3 (Expected) |

ELPM \# 16 Students who leave the United States for two or more consecutive ACCESS assessment cycles must take the WIDA screener upon returning to the country. For these students, the composite score earned during the next ACCESS assessment window is considered a new initial ACCESS composite score.

Note: This rule does not apply to students who leave the United States and miss only one ACCESS assessment window.

Example. A student took the initial assessment in 2016-17 and earned a 1.0 composite score, left the country, and returned after two years in 2020-21. The student must take the WAPT or WIDA screener, and the score earned on the next ACCESS assessment will be the initial ACCESS composite score. Table 6.3.5.3 illustrates this example.

TABLE 6.3.5.3. Example of progress calculation for students who left the country and returned to North Carolina

|  | Initial ACCESS score <br> NC <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7 )}$ | Out of <br> country <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8 )}$ | Out of <br> country <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 8}-\mathbf{1 9 )}$ | New initial <br> ACCESS score NC <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0 )}$ | Year 1 NC <br> $\mathbf{( 2 0 2 0 - 2 1 ) ~}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade level | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Score | 1.0 |  |  | 1.4 | 2.1 <br> (Expected) |

TABLE 6.3.5.4. English Learner Progress value ${ }^{1}$

| Initial ACCESS <br> assessment score | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 4.0 | Exit |
| $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 4.1 | Exit |
| $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | 1.9 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 4.1 | Exit |
| $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.4 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | 2.1 | 2.8 | 3.4 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | 2.2 | 2.8 | 3.5 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.5 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.6 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.6 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | 2.5 | 3.1 | 3.2 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ | 2.7 | 3.4 | 4.2 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ | 2.8 | 3.5 | 4.1 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.2 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ | 2.9 | 3.6 | 4.2 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | 3.0 | 3.6 | 4.2 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 3.1 | 3.7 | 4.2 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ | 3.2 | 3.7 | 4.3 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | 3.2 | 3.8 | 4.3 | Exit |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4.3 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.3 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | 3.6 | 4.2 | Exit |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | 3.7 | 4.2 | Exit |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | 3.7 | 4.3 | Exit |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | 3.8 | 4.3 | Exit |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ | 3.9 | 4.3 | Exit |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | 3.9 | 4.4 | Exit |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | 4.0 | 4.4 | Exit |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | 4.1 | 4.4 | Exit |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | 4.1 | 4.5 | Exit |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | 4.2 | 4.5 |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ | 4.4 | Exit |  |  |  |


| Initial ACCESS <br> assessment score | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.1 | 4.5 | Exit |  |  |  |
| 4.2 | 4.5 | Exit |  |  |  |
| 4.3 | 4.6 | Exit |  |  |  |
| 4.4 | 4.6 | Exit |  |  |  |
| 4.5 | 4.7 | Exit |  |  |  |
| 4.6 | 4.7 | Exit |  |  |  |
| 4.7 | Exit |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Exit Criteria: Students must reach an overall composite score of 4.8.
Note: The formula used to develop the English learner Value table (Table 6.3.5.4) is in the ESSA State Plan.

TABLE 6.3.5.5. Alternate ACCESS for English learner proficiency levels

| Previous Alternate ACCESS score | Current year Alternate ACCESS score needed to <br> achieve progress |
| :--- | :--- |
| A1 (Initiating) | A2 (Exploring) |
| A2 (Exploring) | A3 (Engaging) |
| A3 (Engaging) | P1 (Entering) |
| P1 (Entering) | P1 (Entering) or higher |
| P2 (Emerging) | P1 (Entering) or higher |
| P3 (Developing) | P1 (Entering) or higher |

TABLE 6.3.5.6. Exit criterion for English learners taking Alternate ACCESS

| Initial Alternate <br> ACCESS <br> Composite Score | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-25 |  |  |
| A1 | A2 | A3 | P1 or higher | Exit $^{1}$ |
| A2 | A3 | P1 or higher | Exit $^{1}$ |  |
| A3 | P1 or higher | Exit $^{1}$ |  |  |
| P1 | P1 or higher | Exit $^{1}$ |  |  |
| P2 | P1 or higher | Exit $^{1}$ |  |  |
| P3 | P1 or higher | Exit $^{1}$ |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Student must maintain P1 or higher for two consecutive years to exit.
Summary of Changes for the 2021-22 school year: ELPM\#3 and TABLE 6.3.5.6- Originally, students that took the Alternate ACCESS EL Proficiency test had to maintain P3, the highest level, but could not exit status. Beginning with the 2021-22 school year, students can exit after two years with a composite score of P1 or higher.

## Section 7: Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate Manual

The North Carolina Department of Public Instruction Office of Accountability and Testing produces the Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate Manual which contains procedures for computing and auditing the cohort graduation rate at the school, public school unit, and state level.

The four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate (ACGR) is the group of students who begin as first-time ninth graders in a defined school year and graduate with a regular high school diploma in four years or less (see the Cohort Graduation Rate manual at https://www.dpi.nc.gov/media/13509/download?attachment for more information). The cohort is "adjusted" by adding any students transferring into the cohort and subtracting any students who transfer out, immigrate to another country, or die during the years covered by the cohort. The four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate measures the percentage of students in a ninth-grade cohort that graduate with a regular high school diploma in four years or less and students transferring in and out of the cohort can impact the school's rate.

The Office of Accountability and Testing has implemented a formalized state monitoring plan for electronic monitoring of public school units to verify withdrawal of students reported in the prior school year's cohort graduation rates. The monitoring is conducted by teams of state-level and regional staff members tasked with conducting monitoring and with requesting written evidence or documentation to verify the withdrawals of students from the cohort. For more information regarding the monitoring process and additional details, access the Cohort Graduation Rate manual.

## Section 8: Use of NC Math 1 and NC Math 3 Tests in Accountability and Reporting

In May 2017, the North Carolina SBE approved the use of flexibility afforded to states in the ESSA for grade eight students who are enrolled in NC Math 1. This flexibility allows grade eight students to take only one mathematics summative assessment (i.e., NC Math 1 EOC test), thus eliminating double testing for these students. With this flexibility, students who take NC Math 1 in grade eight or earlier use the NC Math 3 EOC test results for high school accountability.

For the purposes of understanding how tests count in the following calculations, two pathways (Standard and Accelerated) have been identified and are defined as follows:

Standard Pathway: Taking the NC Math 1 course for the first time in grade nine or higher. Accelerated Pathway: Taking the NC Math 1 course for the first time in grade eight or earlier.

Note: Students enrolled in the NC Math 1 or NC Math 3 course in spring 2019-20 received a C code for the associated EOC. This C code is used to set the math pathway.

### 8.1. Mathematic Tests Used to Calculate the $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ Participation Rate Requirements

- The following tests are combined for students in membership at grade eight to determine if a school has met the $95 \%$ participation rate requirement in mathematics for all students and each subgroup of students:
o Grade eight Mathematics EOG test.
o NC Math 1 EOC test for students currently enrolled in the NC Math 1 course in grade eight.
o NC Math 1 EOC test (banked) for students previously enrolled in the NC Math 1 course prior to grade eight.
- High school math participation rate calculations will be based on current year enrollment in Math 1 and Math 3.


### 8.2. Mathematic Tests Used in School Performance Grades

Students who are enrolled in NC Math 1 for the first time in grades nine or higher:

- Must enroll in NC Math 1 by the end of grade eleven.
- The NC Math 1 EOC test is used in school performance grades the year the test is taken.
- Students who transfer in from another state, private school or homeschool with an NC Math 1 credit earned in grades nine or higher are not expected to take the NC Math 1 EOC test. However, these students are expected to take the NC Math 3 EOC test when enrolled in the NC Math 3, but the tests are not used for school performance grades.
- Students who are enrolled in NC Math 1 for the first time in grades nine or higher and are enrolled in NC Math 3 in a future year, must take the NC Math 3 EOC test. The NC Math 3 EOC test is not used for school performance grades.

Students who are enrolled in NC Math 1 for the first time in grade eight:

- Take the NC Math 1 EOC test only and must not take the grade eight Mathematics EOG test.

0 All grade-level Mathematics EOG tests (grades three through seven and grade eight students who are not enrolled in NC Math 1) are combined with grade eight NC Math 1 EOC tests to calculate the school's mathematics proficiency score.

- Are expected to be enrolled in NC Math 3 by the end of grade eleven and the NC Math 3 EOC test is used as the mathematics proficiency measure in school performance grades the year the students take the course.
o This rule applies to students who transfer in from another state, private school, or homeschool with an NC Math 1 credit earned in the students' grade eight year.
- Students who repeat the NC Math 1 course for credit in grade nine after taking NC Math 1 in grade eight:
o Will follow the current SBE policy, Repeating a Course for Credit (CCRE-001), to determine if taking the NC Math 1 EOC test is required again in grade nine. For students who take the NC Math 1 EOC test again in grade nine, the test is used as a high school mathematics proficiency score in the calculation of school performance grades for the year the student is enrolled in the course.
o Are expected to be enrolled in NC Math 3 by the end of grade eleven and the NC Math 3 EOC test is used as the mathematics proficiency measure in school performance grades the year the students are enrolled in the course.
- Students who are enrolled in NC Math 1 prior to grade eight:
o Take the appropriate grade level mathematics EOG test which is used in the mathematics proficiency measure in school performance grades and the NC Math 1 EOC test.
- The NC Math 1 EOC test is banked until the student is enrolled in grade eight. At that time, it is used as the grade eight mathematics proficiency measure in school performance grades. Students with a banked NC Math 1 EOC test must not take the grade eight Mathematics EOG test in their grade eight year.
- Students will take the appropriate test for the mathematics course
in which they are enrolled in grade eight (i.e., NC Math 3 EOC).
o Are expected to be enrolled in NC Math 3 by the end of grade eleven and the NC Math 3 EOC test is used as the mathematics proficiency measure in school performance grades in high school.
- If NC Math 3 is taken in grade eight or prior, the NC Math 3 EOC test is banked to the high school.
- This rule applies to students who transfer in from another state, private school, or homeschool with a NC Math 1 credit earned in a grade prior to grade eight.


### 8.3. Mathematic Tests Used to Calculate School Accountability Growth

Mathematics tests completed in the current accountability year are used in school accountability growth calculations regardless of where the test is used for academic achievement (proficiency), participation, or long-term goal calculations. Only NC Math 3 EOC tests for students on the accelerated pathway are used in school accountability growth calculations. All NC Math 3 EOC tests are used for educator growth calculations.

### 8.4. Mathematic Tests Used to Calculate Long-Term Goals

The mathematics grades three through eight long-term goals and measure of interim progress status for all subgroups, including the All Students group, are calculated by combining the grades three through eight mathematics EOG tests (at available grade levels) and the NC Math 1 EOC tests for grade eight students (when applicable).

- The mathematics grade eleven long-term goals and measure of interim progress status for all students and each subgroup of students are calculated as follows:
- The NC Math 1 EOC tests for students at grade eleven who were enrolled in NC Math 1 for the first time in grades nine through eleven, will be combined with
- The NC Math 3 EOC tests for students at grade eleven who were enrolled in NC Math 1 for the first time in grade eight or earlier.
- Schools with nontraditional grade-level configurations (e.g., six through twelve, kindergarten through twelve, etc.) will have long-term goals and measures of interim progress for mathematics grades three through eight and mathematics grade eleven depending on each school's grade level configurations. Please see the business guidelines concerning long-term goals for more information.


FIGURE 4. Mathematics Pathways.
Test administration is expected in the grade level(s)/course(s) where students are in membership - Students who transfer from private, out-of-state, or homeschools, and are awarded credit for a course will follow the path based on the grade level the credit is attributed.


FIGURE 5. Accelerated Math Pathways.

## Section 9: Federal and State School Identifications

Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) and Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) schools are identified as defined in the North Carolina ESSA State Plan. General Statute (insert statute for low performing designations) identifies low performing statuses for schools and districts. Information on state-level school identifications is in 9.8 of this section.

There are three categories of CSI schools and two categories of TSI schools. The identification criteria, first year of identification, frequency of identification, exit criteria, and timeline for applying exit criteria for each designation are detailed below.

Note: Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and waivers from accountability for the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years, timelines and identification and exit criteria have been adjusted in accordance with the ESSA Addendum approved by the U.S. Department of Education in April 2022.

The categories of each designation are:

## Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools <br> CSI - Low Performing (CSI-LP) <br> CSI - Low Graduation Rate (CSI-LG) <br> CSI - Additional Targeted Support Not Exiting Such Status (CSI-AT)

## Targeted Support and Improvement Schools

TSI - Consistently Underperforming (TSI-CU)
TSI - Additional Targeted Support (TSI-AT)

### 9.1. Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools

North Carolina must identify schools for comprehensive support and improvement as defined in the ESSA State plan. Listed below are the three categories of identification:

- CSI-Lowest Performing Schools (CSI-LP)
- CSI-Low Graduation Rates (CSI-LG)
- CSI-Additional Targeted Support Not Exiting Such Status (CSI-AT)

For the 2018-19 identification year, only CSI-LP and CSI-LG schools were identified. Due to the implications of COVID-19, the second identification of CSI-LP and CSI-LG schools was shifted to the fall of 2022-23. CSI-AT is still on track to be identified in the fall of 2024-25.

### 9.2. CSI - Lowest Performing Schools

CSI-LP schools are the lowest performing 5\% of all schools receiving Title I, Part A funds (served) in the state.

- Process of identifying the lowest $5 \%$ during an identification year:
o Identify the total number of Title I served schools in the previous school year.
- Determine the number of schools that comprise 5\% of Title I served schools.
o Remove from consideration, closed schools, schools in the identification year that have become non-Title I schools and, on a case-by-case basis, schools whose configuration has changed (i.e., supporting less than $50 \%$ of the same student population excluding natural grade promotion).
o Determine the overall SPG score of the highest performing school in the bottom 5\% of current Title I served schools.
o All eligible schools with the same score or lower are identified as CSI-LP.
- Ties at the highest score may result in greater than $5 \%$ of schools identified.
- CSI-LP schools are identified every three years. The first identification occurred in 201819. The next identification group is in the fall of 2022-23, using 2021-22 data.
o The first year of identification for CSI-LP schools is a planning year with implementation for three additional years.
- CSI-LP schools can exit every four years. Due to the impacts of COVID-19, the exit criteria for the fall of 2022-23 has been adjusted to the following:
o Use data from the 2018-19 and 2021-22 to determine if a school is above the lowest $5 \%$ of Title I served schools based on the SPG score for the most recent and previous school year, or
o Use data from 2021-22 to determine if a school is above the lowest 5\% of Title I served schools and has a 2021-22 growth designation of meets or exceeds.
- CSI-LP schools can also be identified as CSI-LG schools.
- CSI-LP schools cannot be identified as TSI-Consistently Underperforming Subgroups (TSI-CU), TSI-Additional Targeted Support (TSI-AT) or CSI-Additional Targeted Support Not Exiting Such Status (CSI-AT).


### 9.2.1. 2022-23 Steps for CSI-LP Identification

- Exit schools that meet the above exit criteria.
o Schools that cannot exit move into Tier 2. This tier indicates that schools will receive additional support to implement more rigorous interventions.
- Identify the bottom 5\% of Title I schools that meet the above identification criteria.
o Fall 2022-23 identified schools that are not already Tier 2 schools (newly identified) are labeled Tier 1 . This tier indicates the school has been newly identified and will be provided additional support to implement interventions.
- The CSI_LP list will contain both Tier 1 and Tier 2 schools and may exceed 5\% of Title 1 schools.

For more information on this process, see Figure 6.

### 9.3. CSI - Low Graduation Rates

North Carolina identifies high schools with a four-year cohort graduation rate less than $66.7 \%$ as needing comprehensive support and improvement regardless of Title I status.

- Process of identifying low graduation rates:
o Determine whether the minimum- N for the cohort graduation rate is greater than or equal to thirty students.
o If it is, identify schools with a cohort graduation rate below 66.7\%.
- CSI-LG schools are identified every three years. The first identification occurred in 2018-19. The next identification group is in the fall of 2022-23, using 2021-22 data.
o The first year of identification for CSI-LG schools is a planning year with implementation for three additional years.
- Schools can exit CSI-LG identification every four years if the following exit criteria are met:
o Have a four-year cohort graduation rate greater than or equal to $66.7 \%$ in the most recent year and the previous year (using data from the 2020-21 and 2021-22 school years).
- CSI-LG schools can also be identified as CSI-LP schools.
- CSI-LG schools, not identified as CSI-LP, can also be identified as TSI-CU, TSI-AT, or CSI-AT schools.


### 9.3.1. 2022-23 Steps for CSI-LG Identification

- Exit schools that meet the above exit criteria.
o Schools that cannot exit move into Tier 2. This tier indicates that schools will receive additional support to implement more rigorous interventions.
- Identify schools that meet the above identification criteria.
o Fall 2022-23 identified schools that are not already Tier 2 schools (newly identified) are labeled Tier 1. This tier indicates the school has been newly identified and will be provided additional support to implement interventions.
- The CSI_LG list will contain both Tier 1 and Tier 2 schools

For more information on this process, see Figure 6.

### 9.4. CSI - Additional Targeted Support Not Exiting Such Status

- Title I served schools unable to exit the TSI-AT identification by the end of the six-year identification period associated with TSI-AT are identified as CSI-AT.
- CSI-AT schools are identified every six years.
o The first year of identification is in the 2024-25 school year.
- Schools exit CSI-AT identification if the requirements of exiting TSI-AT are met during the CSI-AT exit year (every four years).


### 9.5. Targeted Support and Improvement Schools

North Carolina must identify schools for targeted support and improvement as defined in the ESSA State plan. These schools receive support at the local level for the identified underperforming subgroups. Listed below are the two categories of identification:

- TSI - Consistently Underperforming Subgroups (TSI-CU)
- TSI - Additional Targeted Support (TSI-AT)

TSI-CU is identified annually using the most recent and previous two years of data. Due to the implications of COVID-19 the identification will resume with the fall 2022-23 identification using three years of data (2017-18, 2018-19, and 2021-22).

The first group of TSI-AT schools were identified in the fall of 2018-19. Due to the implications of COVID-19, the second identification of TSI-AT schools is shifted to the fall of the 2022-23
school year. To realign the TSI-AT identification with the timeline in the ESSA State plan, another identification for TSI-AT will occur in the fall of the 2024-25 school year.

### 9.6. TSI - Consistently Underperforming Subgroups

All schools, regardless of Title I status, are eligible for the TSI-CU identification. Schools already identified as CSI-LP cannot be identified as TSI-CU. Schools are identified annually as TSI-CU when one or more subgroup(s) receive an "F" School Performance Grade for the most recent and the previous two years.

- The process of annually identifying TSI-CU schools is outlined below:
o For the 2022-23 identification, the State is using three years of data (2017-18, 2018-19, and 2021-22 school years) to determine the TSI-CU schools.
- Schools exit TSI-CU identification if the following exit criteria are met:
o Receive a letter grade of "D" or better for the identified subgroup(s) for two consecutive years (the most recent and previous year). For the exit in fall 202223, 2018-19 and 2021-22 data will be used.
- Exit criteria are applied annually.


### 9.7. TSI - Additional Targeted Support (TSI-AT)

All schools, regardless of Title I status, are eligible for TSI-AT identification every three years.

- Schools are identified as TSI-AT when:
o the school has one or more subgroup(s) where the subgroup performance grade score is at or below the highest identified CSI-LP school's All Students group during the identification year; and
o the school is on the TSI-CU list for the subgroup(s) for the current year. Schools cannot be both CSI-LP and TSI-AT.
- Schools can be TSI-CU and TSI-AT.

Schools exit TSI-AT identification after three years if the subgroup(s) identifying the school as TSI-AT meets one of the following exit criteria in the exit year. Due to the impacts of COVID19, the fall 2022-23 exit criterion have been changed to the following:

- Meets the measure of interim progress for the identified subgroup(s) in both reading and mathematics, or
- No longer a subgroup whose overall performance as measured by the School Performance grade score is at or below the score designated for CSI-LP identification and previously identified subgroup(s) improved performance on the School Performance Grade score as compared to the score at the time of identification, or
- Obtains a three-year subgroup growth designation of meets or exceeds (using 2017-2018, 2018-2019, and 2021-2022 data) in the EVAAS system for the identified subgroup(s), or
- Obtains a two-year subgroup growth designation of meets or exceeds (using 2018-2019 and 2021-2022 data) in the EVAAS system for the identified subgroup(s).


### 9.7.1. 2022-23 Steps for TSI-AT Identification

- Exit schools that meet the above criteria.
- Schools identified in 2018-19 that were unable to exit, are labeled as Cohort 1.
- Schools that were identified in 2022-23, are labeled as Cohort 2.
- Cohorts are used to track the school's status for annual exit prior to possible identification as CSI-AT.


## Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools



Step 2: Identify all 2022-23
Comprehensive Support and
Improvement- Low Performing schools


FIGURE 6. Step-by-step process to identify 2022-23 Comprehensive Support and Improvement schools.

The information displayed on the following pages provide graphic representations of all CSI and TSI identifications.

| Designation | Eligible Schools | Year of Identification (Start of School Year) | Identification Timeline | Identification Criteria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comprehensive Support and ImprovementLow Performing (CSI-LP) | All Title I Served Schools | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Group 1 } \\ & 2018-19 \\ & \\ & \text { Group 2 } \\ & 2022-23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Every 3 years | Lowest 5\% SPG score of Title I served schools using the NC statewide system of annual meaningful differentiation (School Performance Grades) |
| Comprehensive Support and ImprovementLow Graduation Rates (CSI-LG) | All High Schools | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group 1 } \\ & 2018-19 \\ & \\ & \text { Group 2 } \\ & 2022-23 \end{aligned}$ | Every 3 years | Graduation rate below 66.7\% |
| Comprehensive Support and ImprovementAdditional Targeted Support Not Exiting Such Status (CSI-AT) | Title I Served TSI-AT Identified Schools | 2024-25 | Every 6 years | Unable to exit TSI-AT |


| Designation | Eligible Schools | Year of Identification (Start of School Year) | Identification Timeline | Identification Criteria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Targeted Support and <br> Improvement- <br> Consistently Underperforming Subgroups (TSICU) | All Schools Except CSI-LP | 2022-23 | Annually | 2022-23 Identification Criteria: One or more of the same subgroup(s) with a designation of " $F$ " on the NC statewide system of annual meaningful differentiation (School Performance Grades) for the most recent and previous two years. (For the fall 2022-23 identification 2017-18, 2018-19, and 2021-22 data will be used.) Identification Criteria (2023-24 forward): One or more of the same subgroup(s) with a designation of " F " on the NC statewide system of annual meaningful differentiation (School Performance Grades) for the most recent and previous two years. |
| Targeted Support and ImprovementAdditional Targeted Support (TSI-AT) | All TSI-CU <br> Schools | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group 1 } \\ & \text { 2018-19 } \\ & \\ & \text { Group 2 } \\ & 2022-23 \end{aligned}$ | Every 3 years | Subgroup(s) performance grade at or below highest CSI-LP identified school and school's subgroup(s) is identified as TSI-CU in the identification year |

TABLE 9.7.1.2. CSI Exit Criteria

| Designation | Eligible Schools | Year of Identification (Start of School Year) | Exit Criteria | Exit Year (End of School Year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comprehensive Support and ImprovementLow Performing (CSI-LP) | All Title I <br> Served <br> Schools | Every 3 years <br> Group 1 <br> 2018-19 <br> Group 2 <br> 2022-23 | 2022-23 Exit Criterion: <br> 1) Achieve above the lowest 5 percent of Title I served schools for the most recent and previous school year (2018-19 and 2021-22); or <br> 2) Achieve above the lowest 5 percent of Title I served schools in the 2021-22 school year and have a growth designation of meets or exceeds. <br> Exit Criteria (2023-24 forward) <br> Return to the ESSA State Plan Exit Criteria Achieve above the lowest 5 percent of Title 1 served schools for the most recent and previous school year AND meet measure of interim progress for the All Student subgroup in all subjects (reading and math). | Every 4 years <br> Group 1 <br> 2021-22 <br> Group 2 <br> 2025-26 |
| Comprehensive <br> Support and ImprovementLow Graduation Rates (CSI-LG) | All High Schools | Every 3 years <br> Group 1 <br> 2018-19 <br> Group 2 <br> 2022-23 | Exit Criterion: <br> Graduation rate greater than or equal to $66.7 \%$ in the most recent and previous year. | Every 4 years <br> Group 1 <br> 2021-22 <br> Group 2 <br> 2025-26 |
| Comprehensive Support and ImprovementAdditional Targeted Support Not Exiting Such Status (CSI-AT) | Title I Served TSI-AT Identified Schools | Every 6 years <br> Group 1 <br> 2024-25 | Same as TSI-AT in the exit year | Every 4 years <br> Group 1 <br> 2027-28 |


| Designation | Eligible Schools | Year of Identification (Start of School Year) | Exit Criteria | Exit Year <br> (End of School Year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Targeted Support and <br> Improvement- <br> Consistently Underperforming Subgroups (TSICU) | All Schools Except CSILP | Annually | 2022-23 Exit Criteria: Achieve a letter grade of "D" or higher on the NC statewide system of annual meaningful differentiation (School Performance Grades) for previously identified subgroups in the most recent and previous year. (For the 2022-23 fall exit, 2018-19 and 2021-22 data will be used.) Exit Criteria (2023-24 forward): Achieve a letter grade of "D" or higher on the NC statewide system of annual meaningful differentiation (School Performance Grades) for previously identified subgroups in the most recent and previous year. | Annually |
| Targeted Support and <br> Improvement- <br> Additional <br> Targeted Support <br> (TSI-AT) | All TSI-CU <br> Identified <br> Schools | Every 3 years <br> Group 1 <br> 2018-19 <br> Group 2 <br> 2022-23 | 2022-23 Exit Criterion: <br> 1) Identified subgroup(s) achieve a three-year growth designation of meets or exceeds (using 2017-18, 2018-19, and 2021-22 data); or <br> 2) Identified subgroup(s) achieve a two-year growth designation of meets or exceeds (using 2018-19 and 2021-22 data); or <br> 3) Are on track to meet the subgroup(s) twelve-year proficiency goals in reading and mathematics; or <br> 4) There is no longer a subgroup whose overall performance as measured by the School Performance Grade score is at or below the score designated for CSI-Low Performing identification and previously identified subgroup(s) improved performance on the School Performance Grade score as compared to the score at the time of identification. <br> Exit Criterion (2023-24 forward): <br> 1) Identified subgroup(s) achieve a three-year growth index of 1.0 or higher; or <br> 2) Are on track to meet the subgroup(s) twelve-year proficiency goals in reading and math; or <br> 3) There is no longer a subgroup whose overall performance as measured by the School Performance Grade score is at or below the score designated for CSI-Low Performing identification and previously identified subgroup(s) improved performance on the School Performance Grade score as compared to the score at the time of identification. | Every 3 years <br> Group 1 <br> 2021-22 <br> Group 2 <br> 2024-25 |

TABLE 9.7.1.4. CSI school identification timeline

| CSI Timeline for Identification of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSI-Low <br> Performing | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 |
|  | Identification Criteria: Perform in the lowest 5 percent of all Title 1 served schools using the NC statewide system of annual meaningful differentiation (School Performance Grades). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Continue services for priority | $\begin{gathered} \text { Identify } \\ \text { 2018-19 CSI } \end{gathered}$ | Maintain support for | Maintain support for | Maintain support for | Exit criteria applied for 2018-19 identified schools | Maintain support for 2018-19 and | Maintain support for 2018-19 and 202223 identified schools that did not meet exit criteria |
|  | schools using <br> NC ESEA <br> flexibility definition | schools (planning year) | 2018-19 <br> identified schools | 2018-19 <br> identified schools. | 2018-19 <br> identified schools. | Identify 2022-23 CSI schools (planning year) | identified schools that did not meet exit criteria | $\begin{gathered} \text { Identify } \\ \text { 2024-25 (planning year) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2022-23 Exit Criterion: 1) Achieve above the lowest 5 percent of Title I served schools for the most recent and previous school year (2018-19 and 2021-22); or 2) Achieve above the lowest 5 percent of Title I served schools in the 2021-22 school year and have a growth designation of meets or exceeds. <br> Exit Criteria (2023-24 forward): Achieve above the lowest 5 percent of Title 1 served schools for the most recent and previous school year AND meet measure of interim progress for the All Student subgroup in all subjects (reading and math). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSI-Low <br> Graduation <br> Rate | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 |
|  | Identification Criteria: High schools with a four-year cohort graduation rate below 66.7 percent. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Continue services for priority | Identify 2018-19 CSI | Maintain support for | Maintain support for | Maintain support for | Exit criteria applied for 2018-19 identified schools | Maintain support for 2018-19 and | Maintain support for 2018-19 and 202223 identified schools that did not meet exit criteria |
|  | schools using <br> NC ESEA <br> flexibility definition | schools (planning year) | 2018-19 <br> identified <br> schools | 2018-19 <br> identified <br> schools | 2018-19 <br> identified <br> schools | Identify 2022-23 CSI schools (planning year) | identified schools that did not meet exit criteria | Identify $2022-23$ CSI schools (planning year) |
|  | Exit Criteria: Achieve a four-year cohort graduation rate above or equal to 66.7 percent for the most recent and previous school year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSI- <br> Additional <br> Targeted <br> Support Not <br> Exiting Such <br> Status | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 |
|  | Identification Criteria: Failure to exit TSI-Additional Targeted Support status after six years and receive Title 1 funds. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Identify 2024-25 CSI-Additional <br> Targeted Support Not Exiting Such Status since being identified in 2018-19 (planning year) |
|  | Exit Criteria: Same as exiting Additional TSI status: 1) Identified subgroup(s) achieve a three-year growth index of 1.0 or higher; or 2 ) Are on track to meet the subgroup(s) twelveyear proficiency goals in reading and math; or 3) There is no longer a subgroup whose overall performance as measured by the School Performance Grade score is at or below the score designated for CSI-Low Performing identification and previously identified subgroup(s) improved performance on the School Performance Grade score as compared to the score at the time of identification. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 9.7.1.5. TSI- Consistently Under Performing school identification timeline

| TSI Timeline for Identification of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSI- <br> Consistently <br> Under <br> Performing | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 |
|  | 2022-23 Identification Criteria: One or more of the same subgroup(s) with a designation of " $F$ " on the NC statewide system of annual meaningful differentiation (School Performance Grades) for the most recent and previous two years. (For the fall 2022-23 identification 2017-18, 2018-19, and 2021-22 data will be used.) <br> Identification Criteria (2023-24 forward): One or more of the same subgroup(s) with a designation of " F " on the NC statewide system of annual meaningful differentiation (School Performance Grades) for the most recent and previous two years. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Continue services for focus schools |  | Identify | Due to COVID-19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Due to } \\ & \text { COVID-19 } \\ & \text { impact, } \end{aligned}$ | Identify Consistently Underperforming subgroup schools | Identify Consistently Underperforming subgroup schools | Identify Consistently Underperforming subgroup schools |
|  | using NC ESEA <br> flexibility definition | identification criteria) | Underperforming subgroup schools | schools were not identified or exited | schools were not identified or exited | Exit Criteria applied for 2018-19 identified schools | Exit Criteria applied for 2022-23 identified schools | Exit Criteria applied for and 2023-24 identified schools |
|  | 2022-23 Exit Criteria: Achieve a letter grade of "D" or higher on the NC statewide system of annual meaningful differentiation (School Performance Grades) for previously identified subgroups in the most recent and previous year. (For the 2022-23 fall exit, 2018-19 and 2021-22 data will be used.) <br> Exit Criteria (2023-24 forward): Achieve a letter grade of "D" or higher on the NC statewide system of annual meaningful differentiation (School Performance Grades) for previously identified subgroups in the most recent and previous year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 9.7.1.6. TSI- Additional Targeted Support school identification timeline

| TSI Timeline for Identification of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSI- <br> Additional <br> Targeted <br> Support | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 |
|  | Identification Criteria: Schools where any subgroup's performance is less than the top CSI identified school's All Students subgroup total score and have been identified as schools with one or more consistently underperforming subgroups for the identification year. For the 2022-23 identification, the pool is all schools whose subgroup's performance is less than the top CSI identified school's All Student's subgroup and the school's subgroup(s) is TSI-CU for the current year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N/A | Identify 2018-19 TSI <br> schools using 201718 score | Maintain TSI Identification | Maintain TSI Identification | Maintain TSI Identification | Maintain TSI Identification of 2018-19 <br> identified schools unable to exit | Maintain TSI Identification of 2018-19 identified schools unable to exit and 2022-23 identified schools | Move to CSIAdditional Targeted Support not exiting such status (schools identified in 2018-19 unable to exit, Title One served schools only) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Identify 2022-23 <br> TSI schools using 2021-22 data |  | Identify 2024-25 TSI schools using 2023-24 data |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Exit Criteria applied for 2018-19 identified schools | Exit Criteria applied for 2018-19 identified schools | Exit Criteria applied for 2018-19 and 2022-23 identified schools |

2022-23 Exit Criterion: 1) Identified subgroup(s) achieve a three-year growth designation of meets or exceeds (using 2017-18, 2018-19, and 202122 data); or 2) Identified subgroup(s) achieve a two-year growth designation of meets or exceeds (using 2018-19 and 2021-22 data); or 3) Are on track to meet the subgroup(s) twelve-year proficiency goals in reading and mathematics; or 4) There is no longer a subgroup whose overall performance as measured by the School Performance Grade score is at or below the score designated for CSI-Low Performing identification and previously identified subgroup(s) improved performance on the School Performance Grade score as compared to the score at the time of identification.
Exit Criterion (2023-24 forward): 1) Identified subgroup(s) achieve a three-year growth index of 1.0 or higher; or 2) Are on track to meet the subgroup(s) twelve-year proficiency goals in reading and math; or 3) There is no longer a subgroup whose overall performance as measured by the School Performance Grade score is at or below the score designated for CSI-Low Performing identification and previously identified subgroup(s) improved performance on the School Performance Grade score as compared to the score at the time of identification.

### 9.8. Low-Performing State Identifications

North Carolina identifies low-performing public school units annually. There are four designations for low-performing public school units:

- Low-Performing School
- Low-Performing District
- Recurring Low-Performing School
- Continually Low-Performing Charter Schools

Low-Performing Schools. A low-performing school has a School Performance Grade of 'D' or 'F', and a growth status of 'Met' or 'Not Met.'

Low-Performing District. Low-performing districts are defined as districts that have greater than $50 \%$ of schools identified as low performing.

Recurring Low-Performing School. A recurring low-performing school is identified as low-performing, as defined above, in any two of the last three years. For the purposes of this list, charter schools are not identified as recurring low-performing schools.

Continually Low-Performing Charter Schools. A continually low-performing charter school is identified as low-performing, as defined above, in any two of the last three years.

## Section 10: Long-Term Goals

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to develop ambitious, yet attainable longterm goals and measures of interim progress in the following areas:

- Reading at grades three through eight
- Mathematics at grades three through eight
- Reading at high school (grade ten)
- Mathematics at high school (grade eleven)
- English Learner Progress
- Cohort Graduation Rate

These goals were set by the State Board of Education. Long-term goals may be adjusted if changes to assessments take place that drastically alter the outcomes of the assessment. When adjustments are needed, an amendment to the NC ESSA State Plan must be submitted and approved by the USED.

For the 2020-21 school year, the USED approved an addendum that granted North Carolina the ability to shift the long-term goal timelines for Academic Achievement, Graduation Rate, and English Language Proficiency by two years. This shift will extend the timeline from a tenyear model to a twelve-year model. This will allow the NCDPI the ability to move the goals from 2019-20 and 2020-21 forward two years, beginning with the 2021-22 school year. See figures eight through eleven for further clarification.

### 10.1. Long-Term Goals for the All Students Group

The academic progress goals (reading and mathematics) are set to improve the All Students group career-and-college readiness proficiency (Achievement Levels 4 and 5) by at least twenty percentage points over a twelve-year period.

The ELP goal is set to increase English learner’s progress toward reaching English language proficiency from $25.3 \%$ to $60.0 \%$ over a twelve-year period.

The CGR goal has been set to increase to 95\% over a twelve-year period.
Each school has its own baseline but is expected to match the state increase of these measures in the All Students group by the same amount (academic goals) or to the same goal (ELP and CGR) by the end of twelve years.

### 10.2. Long-Term Goals for Subgroups

In addition to long-term goals for the All Students group, long-term goals for reportable subgroups exist to ensure a closing of gaps between the economically disadvantaged and non-economically disadvantaged subgroups. The goal decreases the gaps of these two groups by approximately ten percentage points which equates to an approximately $33 \%$ reduction in the gap. The reduction of these two groups will also result in a reduction of gaps between other subgroups such as American Indian to White, Black to White, and Hispanic to White.

### 10.3. Measures of Interim Progress

To assist in tracking progress toward obtaining the state's long-term goals, yearly measures of interim progress were established at the state level. Measures of interim progress are equal improvement increments over twelve years that result in the overall twelve-year goal. These yearly progress measures are then applied to each school to set yearly measures of interim progress, from their current level of performance, for the All Students group and each subgroup. The result of every school meeting their goals should advance the state to achieve its goals.

### 10.4. Rules for All Goals

- Goals are set for individual schools and for the state. Goals are not set for local education agencies.
- The minimum-N number of students needed for all long-term goal calculations and determinations, regardless of subject or subgroup, is thirty.

0 Less than thirty students in the baseline year:

- If a school does not have a goal due to insufficient data in the baseline year, but achieves enough data in a subsequent year, the school will have a goal from that point forward with the same measure of interim progress. expectations as the state until the end of the state's twelve-year timeframe. 0 Less than thirty students in subsequent years:
- If a school has enough data in a group for a subject to set a long-term goal, the goal is set for the school for the duration of the state goal.
- If the school does not have enough data in subsequent years, the trajectory remains the same, but the determination of whether the school met or did not meet the target is not reported.
- When the school has enough data again in another year for that group and subject, the expectation of interim progress follows the expectation from the first year the group had enough data.
- The baseline year for academic progress and cohort graduation rate goals was the 201516 school year. There were no measures of interim progress for the 2016-17 school year. Measures of interim progress for these measures began being reported after the 2017-18 school year.
- Measures of interim progress for the English Learner Progress goal were reported after the 2017-18 school year. The baseline for this measure was set using 2016-17 data.
- Measures of interim progress for Academic Achievement, Graduation Rate, and English Language Proficiency are shifted forward by two years beginning with the 2019-20 school year.
- Long-term goals for closed and reconfigured schools are as follows:
o Closed Schools:
- When a school closes, (i.e., no longer uses the school code assigned by Financial and Business Services), long-term goals and measures of interim progress are no longer calculated for the school.
- Results from the most recent year the school was open are reported along with other accountability measures for that school year. These results are found in the static data results posted to the Office of Accountability and Testing website and in local reports provided securely to public school units.
- Future targets and reporting are eliminated once the Office of Accountability and Testing receives confirmation of official school closure from authoritative sources within the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction.
o Reconfigured Schools:
- Schools that reconfigure, but maintain the same school code, continue to have the long-term goals and measures of interim progress as defined by the baseline year for each year of the long-term goals.
- When a school no longer has enough data to yield a result (minimum-N) for all students or any subgroup of students, the measures of interim progress will no longer populate results, but will continue to show the established targets.
- Any new goals that have enough data will begin to populate using the first year where there is enough data as the baseline.
- Only when the State Board of Education changes the long-term goals and the school no longer has the grade levels necessary to create long-term goals, are goals dropped or changed for a reconfigured school.


### 10.5. Additional Rules for Academic Progress Goals

- Goals are based on the percent of students achieving the career-and-college readiness proficiency standard (Achievement Levels 4 and 5).
- If any subgroup does not meet the $95 \%$ participation requirement, an appropriate denominator adjustment is included in the calculations. See the NC Participation Business Rule document for more information.
- ELs in their first or second year in U.S. schools are not included in long-term goal calculations. Students who have exited EL status within the last four years are included in the EL subgroup for the purposes of long-term goal calculations and determinations.
- High school goals are based on all grade ten students’ English II EOC scores regardless of when they took the assessment.
- High school goals are based on grade eleven students’ Mathematics EOC scores regardless of when they took the assessment. See the NC Math 1 Exception Business Rules for more information.
- If a school's measure of interim progress target reaches $95 \%$ or higher for either the All Students group or any subgroup, from that point forward, the measure of interim progress expectation is an increase of 0.5 percentage points, rather than the state defined yearly increase.


### 10.6. Additional Rule for Cohort Graduation Rate Goals

If a school's measure of interim progress target reaches $95 \%$ or higher for either the All Students group or any subgroup, from that point forward, the measure of interim progress expectation is an increase of 0.5 percentage points, rather than the state defined yearly increase.

### 10.7. Additional Rules for English Learner Progress

- Percent is based upon the number of EL students in grades K-13 who met their yearly goal set by NC toward English language proficiency or exited the EL status. See the English Learner Progress Business Rules for more information.
- All EL students, regardless of time in U.S. schools, are included in the long-term goal calculations.
- If a school's measure of interim progress target reaches $95 \%$ or higher for either the All Students group or any subgroup, from that point forward, the measure of interim progress expectation is an increase of 0.5 percentage points, rather than the state defined yearly increase.

State level math, reading, Cohort Graduation Rate, and English Learners Progress long-term goals are in the figures below.


FIGURE 7. State level reading grades three through eight.


FIGURE 8. State level mathematics grades three through eight.
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FIGURE 9. State level reading high school.


FIGURE 10. State level mathematics high school.


FIGURE 11. Four-year Cohort Graduation Rate.


FIGURE 12. English Learner Progress (grades nine through twelve).

## Section 11: General Business Rules Applied for Accountability and Reporting

Listed below are the general business rules that apply to the accountability system and reporting calculations. This section provides a snapshot view of most business rules applied and can serve as a less detailed summary for understanding the detailed rules used to compute scores.

- N/A indicates the business rule is not applicable in aggregate calculations.
- A blank cell indicates the business rule is not used in aggregate calculations.
- A check indicates the business rule is used in aggregate calculations.

Unless otherwise noted, Table 11 applies to the reading, mathematics, and science assessment indicators; Table 11.1 applies to the Cohort Graduation Rate (CGR); Math Course Rigor (MCR) and ACT/WorkKeys Assessment (AWA) indicators; Table 11.2 applies to the English learner progress indicator; and Table 11.3 applies to the growth indicator.

TABLE 11. General rules applied to reading, mathematics, and science indicators

| Rule | Participation | Reporting | Long-Term Goals | School Performance Grades |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partial Enrollment required for inclusion |  |  |  | V |
| Participation rule denominator adjustment applied (reading/mathematics assessments only) | N/A |  | $V$ | $V$ |
| Uses current year EOC scores | $V$ | $\sqrt{V}$ |  | V* |
| Uses scores at a specific grade level for EOC (i.e., grade ten or grade eleven) |  |  | $\sqrt{*}$ |  |
| Requires a minimum number of students (minimum-N) for inclusion | N/A | 10 | 30 | 30 |
| Reading, mathematics, and science tests use Levels 3, 4, and 5 (Grade-Level Proficient) | N/A | $V$ |  | $V$ |
| Reading, mathematics, and science tests uses Levels 4 and 5 (Career-and-College Ready) | N/A | $V$ | $V$ |  |
| Accountability subgroup reporting (Racial/Ethnic, Students with Disabilities (SWD), English Learner (EL), and Economically Disadvantaged (EDS)) | $V$ | $V$ | $V$ | $V$ |
| Additional subgroups reporting Military Connected, Academically, or Intellectually Gifted (AIG), Homeless and Foster Care | $V$ | $V$ |  |  |
| Inclusion of data for English Learners (EL) in their first or second year in U.S. schools | $V$ | $V$ |  |  |

* Except students taking NC Math 3 in high school who are on the Standard Pathway.

TABLE 11.1. General rules applied to Cohort Graduation Rate, Math Course Rigor, and ACT/WorkKeys Assessment indicators

| Rule | Reporting | Long-Term Goals <br> CGR ONLY | School Performance <br> Grades |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partial Enrollment required for inclusion (MCR and <br> AWA only) |  |  |  |
| Requires a minimum number of students <br> (minimum-N) for inclusion | 10 |  |  |
| Accountability subgroup designations as noted in <br> Table 11 | V |  |  |
| Additional subgroups as noted in Table 11 | V |  |  |
| Inclusion of data for EL students in their first or <br> second year in U.S. schools - CGR and MCR only | V |  |  |
| Inclusion of data for EL students in their first or <br> second year in U.S. schools - AWA only | V |  |  |

TABLE 11.2. General rules applied to the English Learner Progress indicator

| Rule | Reporting | Long-Term Goals | School Performance <br> Grades |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partial Enrollment required for inclusion |  |  | $\mathbf{~}$ |
| Requires a minimum number of students <br> (minimum-N) for inclusion | 10 | 30 | 30 |
| Accountability subgroup designations as noted in <br> Table 11 | V |  |  |
| Additional subgroups as noted in Table 11 | V |  |  |
| Grade levels included | K-13 | K-13 | 3-8, 10 |

TABLE 11.3. General rules applied to the Growth indicator

| Rule | Reporting | School Performance Grades |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Partial Enrollment required for inclusion |  |  |
| Uses current year EOG and EOC scores |  |  |
| Requires a minimum number of students <br> (minimum-N) for inclusion |  |  |
| Accountability subgroup designations as noted in <br> Table 11 |  |  |
| Additional subgroups of AIG and homeless as noted <br> in Table 11 |  |  |
| Inclusion of data for EL Students in their second year <br> in U.S. schools (Growth) - Growth is not calculated <br> for students in their first year in U.S. Schools |  |  |

The ACT and ACT WorkKeys will be reported as separate performance measures. Participation will be calculated for both as detailed in the Participation Business Rules located in Section 3.

Section 12: Every Student Succeeds Act Accountability Model

### 12.1. ESSA State Plan



FIGURE 13. The ESSA state plan accountability system.
The above chart summarizes the different indicators used in calculation of the School Performance Grades and Long-term Goals. The full North Carolina ESSA State Plan provides detailed information on these calculations. This document is available at https://www.dpi.nc.gov/media/8459/download.

