

# PUBLIC SCHOOLS OF NORTH CAROLINA

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION | Mark Johnson, Superintendent of Public Instruction

WWW.NCPUBLICSCHOOLS.ORG

## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the SA to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the SA publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

#### School Food Authority (SFA) Name: Warren County Schools

SFA Agreement Number: 930

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): October 29, 2018

#### Date review results were provided to the SFA: November 2, 2018

#### **General Program Participation**

- 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
  - School Breakfast Program
  - 🛛 National School Lunch Program
  - Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
  - Afterschool Snack
  - Special Milk Program
  - Seamless Summer Option
- 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
  - Community Eligibility Provision
  - Special Provision 1
  - Special Provision 2
  - Special Provision 3

### **Review Findings**

- 3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? ∑ Yes □ No
- 4. Is there fiscal action associated with findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

### SCHOOL NUTRITION AND DISTRICT OPERATIONS DIVISION

Lynn Harvey, *Ed.D., RDN, LDN, FAND, SNS, Director* | lynn.harvey@dpi.nc.gov 6324 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-6324 | Phone (919) 807-3506 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

### **REVIEW FINDINGS**

#### A. Program Access and Reimbursement YES NO **Certification and Benefit Issuance** – Validation of the SFA's certification of students' $\boxtimes$ eligibility for free or reduced-price meals benefits **Verification** – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students' $\square$

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\square$ 

eligibility for free and reduced-price meal benefits

Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA's meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed by category

Finding Detail: The determination of a reimbursable meal must occur at the Point of Sale (POS). While the reviewers were able to identify the meals as reimbursable at the serving counter and in the classroom at the school, the cashier could not identify the meals as reimbursable since the hinged trays were closed when the Pre-K students approached the register and the milk was distributed in the classroom by the teacher.

B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality	
YES NO	
Meal Components and Quantities – Validation that meals clai	med for reimbursement
contain the required meal components and quantities	
Finding Detail:	
Offer versus Serve (OVS)(provision that allows students to c	lecline some of the food
<b>components offered</b> ) – Validation of the SFA's compliance with	h OVS requirements, if
applicable	-
Finding Detail: Many school staff assisting students with selection of meals did not allow students to	
decline the milk component. In addition, the school staff gave students a choice	e between a fruit and a
vegetable instead of allowing students to select all fruits and vegetables, if desi	red, as allowed by
signage.	·
<b>Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis</b> – Validation that	t meals offered to
Children through the School Nutrition programs are consistent w	ith federal standards for
calories, saturated fat, sodium, and trans fat	
Finding Detail:	

C. So	C. School Nutrition Environment		
YES	NO		
$\boxtimes$		Food Safety – Validation that all selected schools meet the food safety and storage	
		requirements, and comply with the Buy American provisions specified by the regulations	
Findir	Finding Detail: Leftovers were frozen at the school to be used the next time the items were on the		
menu.	Lefto	vers must be utilized within three (3) days of the initial meal service. Finding 2: The latch	
on the	cooler	door at the school is broken and can be opened even when a padlock is installed on the	
handle	e. This	is a food security concern and a safety concern for the employees. The handle must be	
repair	ed or re	placed immediately. The light in the freezer at the school is very dim. This is a safety	
conce	rn for e	mployees. The light must be repaired or replaced.	
	$\bowtie$	Local School Wellness Policy – Review of the SFA's established Local School	
	$\square$	Wellness Policy	
Findir	ng Deta	il:	
	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	<b>Competitive Foods</b> – Validation of the SFA's compliance with regulations for all food	

		and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal
Findir	ng Deta	il:
	$\boxtimes$	Professional Standards – Validation of the SFA's compliance with required hiring
		standards and annual training requirements
Findir	ng Deta	il:
	0	

D. Civ	D. Civil Rights	
YES	NO	
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Civil Rights</b> – Validation of the SFA's compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the School Nutrition Programs
Findin	ng Deta	il:

E. Re	esource	Management
YES	NO	
$\square$		<b>Resource Management</b> – Validation of the SFA's compliance with overall financial
		health of the School Nutrition Program
	U	il: The School Food Authority (SFA) was overcharged indirect cost in the 2017-2018
		The Local Education Agency (LEA) charged the SFA \$190,000 however; the SFA could
-	•	ed \$112,931.08 per the annual FC1-A form submitted for the 2017-2018 school year.
		in an overcharge of \$77,068.92. Finding 1: The School Food Authority (SFA) was
	0	indirect cost in the 2017-2018 school year. The Local Education Agency (LEA) charged
		0,000 however; the SFA could only be charged \$112,931.08 per the annual FC1-A form
		the 2017-2018 school year. This resulted in an overcharge of \$77,068.92. Finding 2: The
		mpensation insurance expense for the 2017-2018 school year was reviewed and found to
	•	ed by \$3,394.86. Finding 3: The SFA has no written procedure to describe how cash
		re adjusted or voided after cash reconciliation. Finding 4: The required FC1-A reports are
	0	mitted by the due dates. The FC1-A reports are required quarterly, semi-annually and
	•	ach school year. The submission of these reports on or before the due dates are required to
		inancial stability of the School Nutrition Program and for state-wide and legislative
-	•	the day of review (October 30, 2018), there was not a second party review of the money
		for the deposit. Strengthen the procedures for the consolidation of the cashier's money at
		e day to ensure it is accurately counted and verified by two (2) people, including the
depos	1t.	

		Other
Findi	ng Deta	il: