

## PUBLIC SCHOOLS OF NORTH CAROLINA

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION** | Mark Johnson, Superintendent of Public Instruction WWW.NCPUBLICSCHOOLS.ORG

## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the SA to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the SA publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

upon re	quosi.					
School Food Authority (SFA) Name: Z.E.C.A School of Arts and Technology						
SFA A	SFA Agreement Number: 67B					
Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): January 30, 2017						
Date review results were provided to the SFA: February 2, 2017						
Genera	al Program Participation					
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)					
	<ul> <li>School Breakfast Program</li> <li>National School Lunch Program</li> <li>Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program</li> <li>Afterschool Snack</li> <li>Special Milk Program</li> <li>Seamless Summer Option</li> </ul>					
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)					
	☐ Community Eligibility Provision ☐ Special Provision 1 ☐ Special Provision 2 ☐ Special Provision 3					
Review	Findings					
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?  ☐ Yes ☐ No					
4.	Is there fiscal action associated with findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?  Yes No					

## SCHOOL NUTRITION SERVICES SCHOOL OPERATIONS DIVISION

REVIEW FINDINGS							
A. Program Access and Reimbursement							
YES	NO						
$\boxtimes$		<b>Certification and Benefit Issuance</b> – Validation of the SFA's certification of students' eligibility for free or reduced-price meals benefits					
Finding Detail: Finding 1: Six (6) students, five (5) receiving reduced price meal benefits and one (1)							
with a denied meal status became directly certified for free meal benefits on December 8, 2016 due to a							
change in eligibility status due to the natural disaster in Eastern North Carolina in October, 2016. The							
studen	its' mea	al statuses had not been updated on the rosters at the time of the Administrative Review.					
All en	rors we	re corrected during the review and the households were promptly notified of the change in					
meal b	enefits						
Finding: One (1) student became directly certified for free meal benefits on October 13, 2016 but the meal status of the student was not changed from reduced price meal benefits to free meal benefits. The							
error was corrected during the review and the households were promptly notified of the change in meal benefits. A potential reclaim for eligibility certification and benefit issuance errors for breakfast meals							
		e assessed in the amount of \$69.60 and \$14.40 in state reimbursement. NOTE: Since this					
		ow the Federal threshold for the School Breakfast Program, this amount will not be					
	reclaimed.						
A reclaim for eligibility certification and benefit issuance errors for lunch meals served will be assessed							
in the amount of \$177.12.							
		<b>Verification</b> – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students'					
		eligibility for free and reduced-price meal benefits					
Findin	Finding Detail:						

Finding Detail: For each day of the month of January 2017, which was the time of the on-site review, the SFA did not use point of service rosters to document the number of snacks served to students claimed for Federal reimbursement. The use of a roster (or other pre-approved accountability tool) at the point of service ensures students receive one (1) snack (as required by regulation) and serves as a means of verifying and validating the daily counts of snacks that are eligible for federal reimbursement. Accountability at the point of meal/snack service to students is critical to the integrity of the federally-assisted school nutrition program(s). NOTE: The SFA did not claim snacks from the period of January 2, 2017 through January 30, 3017; One thousand two hundred and sixty-nine (1,269) snacks served to students were not claimed for federal reimbursement during the month of January, 2017, since there was no documentation to substantiate the snacks were served in a manner consistent with federal regulations or the SFA's Agreement with the Department of Public Instruction/State Board of Education.

 $\boxtimes$ 

Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA's meal counting and claiming

system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of

Finding: For the month of review, (December, 2016) the SFA did not use point of service rosters to justify the number of snacks claimed on a daily basis. The use of rosters at the point of service ensures students receive one (1) snack and verify the daily count of snacks for federal reimbursement. There will be a reclaim of seven hundred and fifteen (715) snacks in December 2016. A reclaim of \$614.90 for counting and claiming errors in the After School Snack Program will be assessed for December 2016.

Finding: For the other periods, September 2016, October 2016 and November 2016, the SFA did not use point of service rosters to document the number of snacks claimed on a daily basis. The use of rosters at the point of service ensures each students receives only one (1) snack and verifies/validates the daily count of snacks eligible for federal reimbursement. A reclaim for counting and claiming errors in the After School Snack Program will be assessed: September 2016 – one thousand and forty-one (1,041) snacks which is equal to \$895.26; October 2016 – one thousand four hundred and fifty (1,450) snacks which is equal to \$1,247.00; and November 2016 – one thousand three hundred and twenty-six

(1,326	) snack	s which is equal \$1,140.36.				
D M	LD					
B. M YES	NO	tterns and Nutritional Quality				
		Meal Components and Quantities – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement contain the required meal components and quantities				
Findin	g Deta					
		Offer versus Serve (OVS)(provision that allows students to decline some of the food components offered) – Validation of the SFA's compliance with OVS requirements, if				
Findin	applicable   Finding Detail:					
		<b>Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis</b> – Validation that meals offered to children through the School Nutrition programs are consistent with federal standards for calories, saturated fat, sodium, and <i>trans</i> fat				
Findin	ig Deta	il:				
CSa	hool N	utrition Environment				
YES	NO	atrition Environment				
		<b>Food Safety</b> – Validation that all selected schools meet the food safety and storage requirements, and comply with the Buy American provisions specified by the regulations il: Food preparation was observed during breakfast and lunch service at the school site				
which is not permitted for food preparation. The NC Food Code does not allow food preparation for commercial service in non-permitted facilities. All food must be prepared at the permitted facility. Finding 2: The School Nutrition Staff has not received the required four (4) hours of food safety						
training every 3-5 years. Finding 3: The kitchen assessment monitoring form is not being completed at the school site; this form must be completed to meet HACCP requirements.						
Finding 4: The parts per million (ppm) required for the sanitizing solution is not being recorded at the preparation site. There is no documentation to substantiate the solution is strong enough to kill harmful bacteria.						
		<b>Local School Wellness Policy</b> – Review of the SFA's established Local School Wellness Policy				
Finding Detail: Several of the requirements established by the Local Wellness Policy Final Rule under Healthy Hunger Kid Free Act (HHKFA) of 2010 and USDA Policy Memo SP 42-2011 have not been incorporated the school's Local Wellness Policy (LWP), as required, including: How the written policy and assessment will be made available to the public; The appropriate stakeholders are able to participate in the development; Implementation, review and update of the Local Wellness Policy; How						
-	•	ssment will be completed; Nutrition guidelines for snacks; Guidelines regarding classroom				
		Policies on food and beverage marketing.				
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Competitive Foods</b> – Validation of the SFA's compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal				
Findin	ig Deta	il:				
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Professional Standards</b> – Validation of the SFA's compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements				
Finding Detail:						
D. Civil Rights						
D. Civ	vil Rigi NO	ILS				
		Civil Rights – Validation of the SFA's compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the School Nutrition Programs				

Finding Detail:						
E. Resource Management						
YES	NO					
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Resource Management</b> – Validation of the SFA's compliance with overall financial				
		health of the School Nutrition Program				
Finding Detail:						
	$\square$	Other				
Finding Detail:						