

PUBLIC SCHOOLS OF NORTH CAROLINA

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION | Mark Johnson, Superintendent of Public Instruction

WWW.NCPUBLICSCHOOLS.ORG

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the SA to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the SA publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority (SFA) Name: Haywood County Schools

SFA Agreement Number: 440

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): November 14, 2016

Date review results were provided to the SFA: November 18, 2016

General Program Participation

- 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
 - School Breakfast Program
 - National School Lunch Program
 - Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 - Afterschool Snack
 - Special Milk Program
 - Seamless Summer Option
- 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
 - Community Eligibility Provision
 - Special Provision 1
 - Special Provision 2
 - Special Provision 3

Review Findings

- 3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?
- 4. Is there fiscal action associated with findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

SCHOOL NUTRITION SERVICES SCHOOL OPERATIONS DIVISION

Lynn Harvey, Ed.D., RDN, LDN, FAND, SNS Chief | lynn.harvey@dpi.nc.gov 6324 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-6324 | Phone (919) 807-3506 | Fax (919) 807-3516 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

REVIEW FINDINGS

| A. Program Access and Reimbursement | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| YES | NO | | | | |
| | \square | Certification and Benefit Issuance – Validation of the SFA's certification of students' eligibility for free or reduced-price meals benefits | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Verification – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students' eligibility for free and reduced-price meal benefits | | | |

Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA's meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed by category

Finding Detail: Observation of the breakfast in the classroom program at Jonathan Valley Elementary School revealed an error in recording meal components. If a student selects a single menu item such as milk, the selection was not being recorded on the roster by the teaching staff.

 \boxtimes

| B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| YES | NO | | | | |
| | | Meal Components and Quantities – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement | | | |
| | | contain the required meal components and quantities | | | |
| Findir | Finding Detail: A review of meal pattern compliance revealed the breakfast menu item and After | | | | |
| School Snack Program (ASSP) menu items offered a 1.8 oz. powdered sugar donut that the | | | | | |
| manufacturer advertised as meeting one (1) grain equivalent; however, the documentation was based on | | | | | |
| the outdated 14.75 grain equivalent calculation instead of the newest USDA Policy Memo SP 30 - 2012 | | | | | |
| Grain | Requir | ements for the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and School Breakfast Program | | | |
| (SBP) | . Using | the current grain equivalency, the donut was determined to provide .75 grain equivalent, | | | |
| makin | ig it an | insufficient meal component on the breakfast and ASSP menus in October, 2016. | | | |
| A fiel | d trip w | as planned on the day of review (October 6, 2016) for a group of fifty (50) students at | | | |
| Clyde | Eleme | ntary School. The vegetable component in the bagged lunch was insufficient by one-fourth | | | |
| (1/4) cup since students are required to have three-fourth $(3/4)$ cup to meet the daily minimum | | | | | |
| requir | ements | | | | |
| - | | | | | |
| | | Offer versus Serve (OVS)(provision that allows students to decline some of the food | | | |
| | \square | components offered) – Validation of the SFA's compliance with OVS requirements, if | | | |
| | | applicable | | | |
| Finding Detail: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis – Validation that meals offered to | | | |
| | \boxtimes | children through the School Nutrition programs are consistent with federal standards for | | | |
| | | calories, saturated fat, sodium, and <i>trans</i> fat | | | |

Finding Detail: Nutrition Standards for the School Breakfast Program limit saturated fat to no greater than 10% of total calories averaged over a week. The nutrition analysis submitted for the targeted review school for the week of October 17-21 indicated 11.87% of the total calories were from saturated fats, exceeding the allowable level of 10%.

| C. School Nutrition Environment | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|
| YES | NO | |
| | \boxtimes | Food Safety – Validation that all selected schools meet the food safety and storage requirements, and comply with the Buy American provisions specified by the regulations |
| Finding Detail: | | |

| | | Local School Wellness Policy – Review of the SFA's established Local School Wellness Policy | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Finding Detail: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Competitive Foods – Validation of the SFA's compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal | | | |
| Finding Detail: | | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Professional Standards – Validation of the SFA's compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements | | | |
| Finding Detail: | | | | | |
| D. Civil Rights | | | | | |
| YES | NO | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Civil Rights – Validation of the SFA's compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the School Nutrition Programs | | | |
| Finding Detail: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| E. Resource Management | | | | | |

| E. Resource Management | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|---|--|--|
| YES | NO | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Resource Management – Validation of the SFA's compliance with overall financial health of the School Nutrition Program | | |
| Finding Detail: | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Other | | |
| Finding Detail: | | | | |