

PUBLIC SCHOOLS OF NORTH CAROLINA

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION | Mark Johnson, Superintendent of Public Instruction

WWW.NCPUBLICSCHOOLS.ORG

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the SA to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the SA publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority (SFA) Name: Roanoke Rapids Graded School District

SFA Agreement Number: 421

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): June 27, 2018

Date review results were provided to the SFA: July 5, 2018

General Program Participation

- 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
 - School Breakfast Program
 - National School Lunch Program
 - Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 - Afterschool Snack
 - Special Milk Program
 - Seamless Summer Option
- 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
 - Community Eligibility Provision
 - Special Provision 1
 - Special Provision 2
 - Special Provision 3

Review Findings

- 3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? ∑ Yes □ No
- 4. Is there fiscal action associated with findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

SCHOOL NUTRITION SERVICES SCHOOL OPERATIONS DIVISION

Lynn Harvey, Ed.D., RDN, LDN, FAND, SNS Chief | lynn.harvey@dpi.nc.gov 6324 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-6324 | Phone (919) 807-3506 | Fax (919) 807-3516 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

REVIEW FINDINGS			
A. P	rogram	Access and Reimbursement	
YES	NO		
\boxtimes		Certification and Benefit Issuance – Validation of the SFA's certification of students' eligibility for free or reduced-price meals benefits	
Findir	Finding Detail: Finding: One (1) household application with two (2) students was incorrectly classified		
Once error a the mo and V review eligibit notice reclain	for meal benefits. The application was erroneously classified as eligible for reduced meal benefits. Once the benefits issuance documents were reviewed, it was determined that the application was in error and changed to a paid meal status. All Eligibility activities must be conducted in accordance with the most current edition of the "Eligibility Manual for School Meals: Federal Policy for Determining and Verifying Eligibility." Note: The benefit issuance error was corrected immediately. Prior to the reviewers leaving the school, the Point of Sale (POS) system was updated to accurately reflect student eligibility status for both students and the household was notified in writing providing a ten (10) day notice of reduction in benefits due to errors found during the benefits issuance review. The potential reclaim for benefit issuance errors for breakfast is \$20.86. The potential reclaim for meal benefit issuance errors for lunch is \$93.24. The potential reclaim of state breakfast funds is \$4.20.		
\boxtimes		Verification – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students' eligibility for free and reduced-price meal benefits	
Finding Detail: Of the nine applications verified, three (3) were verified incorrectly. When the SFA			
considered the income on the submitted pay stubs, the net income was verified instead of the gross			
income. During the verification process, gross household income is to be verified.			
	\boxtimes	Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA's meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed by category	

Finding Detail:

B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
YES	NO	
	\boxtimes	Meal Components and Quantities – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement
		contain the required meal components and quantities
Finding Detail:		
		Offer versus Serve (OVS)(provision that allows students to decline some of the food
		components offered) – Validation of the SFA's compliance with OVS requirements, if
		applicable
Finding Detail:		
		Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis – Validation that meals offered to
		children through the School Nutrition programs are consistent with federal standards for
		calories, saturated fat, sodium, and trans fat
Finding Detail:		

C. School Nutrition Environment		
YES	NO	
	\boxtimes	Food Safety – Validation that all selected schools meet the food safety and storage requirements, and comply with the Buy American provisions specified by the regulations
	\boxtimes	Local School Wellness Policy – Review of the SFA's established Local School Wellness Policy
Finding Detail:		

	\boxtimes	Competitive Foods – Validation of the SFA's compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal
Finding Detail:		
	\boxtimes	Professional Standards – Validation of the SFA's compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements
Findir	ng Deta	il:

D. Civil Rights

YES	NO	
\square		Civil Rights – Validation of the SFA's compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the School Nutrition Programs
Finding Detail: (Seamless Summer) The required non-discrimination statement was not included on		

Finding Detail: (Seamless Summer) The required non-discrimination statement was not included fliers distributed during the last week of school.

E. Resource Management		
YES	NO	
		Resource Management – Validation of the SFA's compliance with overall financial
		health of the School Nutrition Program
Finding Detail: School Nutrition (SN) purchased a walk-in freezer in the 2016-17 school year and part		
of the	price p	aid included the installation of a loading dock in the amount of \$4,500.00. The
assessment of indirect cost to the SN program covers these types of expenditures and may not be billed		
directly. Using funds directly for this type of expense is unallowable based on the USDA federal		
regula	tions.	
	\square	Other

	\boxtimes	Other
Findir	ng Deta	il: