

PUBLIC SCHOOLS OF NORTH CAROLINA

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION | Mark Johnson, Superintendent of Public Instruction WWW.NCPUBLICSCHOOLS.ORG

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the SA to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the SA publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority (SFA) Name: The Learning Center						
SFA Agreement Number: 20A						
Date of	Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): May 21, 2018					
Date re	eview results were provided to the SFA: May 23, 2018					
Genera	l Program Participation					
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply) School Breakfast Program					
	 National School Lunch Program ☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program ☐ Afterschool Snack ☐ Special Milk Program ☐ Seamless Summer Option 					
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply) Community Eligibility Provision Special Provision 1 Special Provision 2 Special Provision 3					
Review	Findings					
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? ☐ Yes ☐ No					
4.	Is there fiscal action associated with findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? Yes No					

SCHOOL NUTRITION SERVICES SCHOOL OPERATIONS DIVISION

REVIEW FINDINGS					
A. Program Access and Reimbursement					
YES	NO				
	\boxtimes	Certification and Benefit Issuance – Validation of the SFA's certification of students'			
		eligibility for free or reduced-price meals benefits			
	\boxtimes	Verification – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students'			
		eligibility for free and reduced-price meal benefits			
	\boxtimes	Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA's meal counting and claiming			
		system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of			
		reimbursable meals claimed by category			
Finding Detail:					

B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality				
YES	NO			
		Meal Components and Quantities – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement		
		contain the required meal components and quantities		

Finding Detail: Finding: Production records for one (1) of fifteen (15) operating days for the month of review (April 2018) did not accurately document the amount of fruit for students as part of the reimbursable meal at breakfast. There were twenty-three (23) breakfasts on April 2, 2018 for which fruit was not accurately documented as part of the reimbursable meal. A potential reclaim exists for meal component errors at breakfast for twenty-three (23) breakfast; the amount of the potential reclaim is \$35.54. Since this amount is below the Federal threshold, this amount will not be reclaimed. Finding: Production records for numerous days in the 2017-2018 school year did not accurately document a grain offered with the Salad Bar for students as part of the reimbursable meal at lunch. This is considered a missing meal component. The following is a breakdown by month of the reclaim of meals claimed for the missing grain with the Salad Bar at lunch: May 2018 (Month Onsite) •Sixtynine (69) lunches. There will be a reclaim for production record errors at lunch for sixty-nine (69) meals; the amount of the reclaim for May 2018 at lunch is \$164.15. April 2018 (Month of Review) •One hundred sixty-nine (169) lunches. There will be a reclaim for production record errors at lunch for one hundred sixty-nine (169) meals; the amount of the reclaim for April 2018 at lunch is \$395.87. March 2018 •One hundred ninety-six (196) lunches. There will be a reclaim for production record errors at lunch for one hundred ninety-six (196) meals; the amount of the reclaim for March 2018 at lunch is \$461.88. February 2018 •One hundred fifty-seven (157) lunches. There will be a reclaim for production record errors at lunch for one hundred fifty-seven (157) meals; the amount of the reclaim for February 2018 at lunch is \$370.75. January 2018 •One hundred fourteen (114) lunches. There will be a reclaim for production record errors at lunch for one hundred fourteen (114) meals; the amount of the reclaim for January 2018 at lunch is \$269.30. December 2017 •Sixty-six (66) lunches. There will be a reclaim for production record errors at lunch for sixty-six (66) meals; the amount of the reclaim for December 2017 at lunch is \$154.22. November 2017 •Ten (10) lunches. There will be a reclaim for production record errors at lunch for ten (10) meals; the amount of the reclaim for November 2017 at lunch is \$21.43. October 2017 • One hundred forty-eight (148) lunches. There will be a reclaim for production record errors at lunch for one hundred forty-eight (148) meals; the amount of the reclaim for October 2017 at lunch is \$346.80. September 2017 •Sixty-two (62) lunches. There will be a reclaim for production record errors at lunch for sixty-two (62) meals; the amount of the reclaim for September 2018 at lunch is \$143.90. August 2017 • Thirty-seven (37) lunches. There will be a reclaim for production record errors at lunch for thirty-seven (37) meals; the amount of the reclaim for August 2017 at lunch is \$87.43. Finding 2: Production records for one (1) of seventeen (17) operating days in November 2017 did not document milk as part of the reimbursable meal at lunch. There were one hundred forty-eight (148) lunch meals on November 30, 2017 for which milk was not documented as

for one \$349.7 Serve, during 2018 a menu meat/r	e hundi 71. Find did no g the mo and Ma plannin neat alt	mbursable meal at lunch. There will be a reclaim for production record errors at breakfast red forty-eight (148) meals; the amount of the reclaim for November 2017 at lunch is ling 3: The production records for grades K-1 students that do not participate in Offer vs treceive the required daily serving of three-fourth (3/4) cup vegetable on several days onth of review (April 3, 2018, April 20, 2018, April 23, 2018, April 25, 2018, May 15, y 16, 2018). This is considered an insufficient portion size. Finding 4: The grades K-1 ag for the daily peanut butter and jelly sandwich entrée did not meet the weekly minimum ternate requirement of nine (9) ounce as only seven (7) ounce meat/meat alternate was tudents selecting this entrée choice.
	\boxtimes	Offer versus Serve (OVS)(provision that allows students to decline some of the food components offered) – Validation of the SFA's compliance with OVS requirements, if applicable
Findin	g Deta	
		Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis – Validation that meals offered to children through the School Nutrition programs are consistent with federal standards for calories, saturated fat, sodium, and <i>trans</i> fat
with n CFR 2 standa record	ct nutrition attrition 210.10 aurdized	il: The Nutrient Analysis software database is not being updated to represent current tion values or recipes used, resulting in an invalid nutrient analyses. SFA's must comply a standards and menu planning approaches for breakfasts and lunches as prescribed in 7 and 220.8; use and maintain accurate and complete daily food production records, valid quantity recipes, nutrient analyses, current manufacturer's product specifications and other red to substantiate that minimum meal pattern requirements and required dietary standards etc.
C Co	haal N	(v.4.vistican Europiacana care
YES	NO NO	futrition Environment
		Food Safety – Validation that all selected schools meet the food safety and storage
		requirements, and comply with the Buy American provisions specified by the regulations
		requirements, and comply with the Buy American provisions specified by the regulations Local School Wellness Policy – Review of the SFA's established Local School
Findin incorp includ develor Nutritic Charter regular policy and befor nurstuden determ and pravailal	ag Deta orate a ing: Ho opment, ion Pro er Schoo tions for for ma everage trition put welln nines co ogress	Local School Wellness Policy – Review of the SFA's established Local School Wellness Policy il: Finding: The board approved Local Wellness Policy (LWP) must be revised to ll requirements of the final rule of the Healthy Hunger Free Kids Act of 2010 (HHFKA), ow potential stakeholders are made aware of their ability to participate in LWP implementation, review and update; Language denoting the requirement that School gram will adhere to federal meal regulations; Language addressing requirement that the ol adhere to federal Smart Snacks Nutrition Standards and state competitive food or all food and beverages sold to students during the school day; The Charter School's recting and advertising on the school campus, during the school day of only those foods that, at a minimum, meet Smart Snacks Nutrition Standards; Strengthening specific goals promotion and education, physical activity, and other school-based activities that promote ess; Language regarding conducting an assessment at a minimum, every three years that compliance with the wellness policy, how the LWP compares to model wellness policies made in attaining the LWP goals; and How the assessment of the LWP will be made the public. Competitive Foods – Validation of the SFA's compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal
Findin incorp includ develor Nutritic Charter regular policy and befor nurstuden determ and pravailal	ing Deta porate a ing: Ho popment, ion Pro er Schoo tions fo for ma everage trition I in welln nines co ogress ble to th	Local School Wellness Policy – Review of the SFA's established Local School Wellness Policy il: Finding: The board approved Local Wellness Policy (LWP) must be revised to ll requirements of the final rule of the Healthy Hunger Free Kids Act of 2010 (HHFKA), ow potential stakeholders are made aware of their ability to participate in LWP implementation, review and update; Language denoting the requirement that School gram will adhere to federal meal regulations; Language addressing requirement that the ol adhere to federal Smart Snacks Nutrition Standards and state competitive food or all food and beverages sold to students during the school day; The Charter School's recting and advertising on the school campus, during the school day of only those foods that, at a minimum, meet Smart Snacks Nutrition Standards; Strengthening specific goals promotion and education, physical activity, and other school-based activities that promote ess; Language regarding conducting an assessment at a minimum, every three years that compliance with the wellness policy, how the LWP compares to model wellness policies made in attaining the LWP goals; and How the assessment of the LWP will be made the public. Competitive Foods – Validation of the SFA's compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal
Findin incorp includ develor Nutritic Charter regular policy and befor nurstuden determ and pravailal Findin	ing Deta porate a ing: Ho popment, ion Pro er Schoo tions fo for ma everage trition I in welln nines co orgress ble to th	Local School Wellness Policy – Review of the SFA's established Local School Wellness Policy It: Finding: The board approved Local Wellness Policy (LWP) must be revised to requirements of the final rule of the Healthy Hunger Free Kids Act of 2010 (HHFKA), ow potential stakeholders are made aware of their ability to participate in LWP implementation, review and update; Language denoting the requirement that School gram will adhere to federal meal regulations; Language addressing requirement that the ol adhere to federal Smart Snacks Nutrition Standards and state competitive food or all food and beverages sold to students during the school day; The Charter School's reketing and advertising on the school campus, during the school day of only those foods so that, at a minimum, meet Smart Snacks Nutrition Standards; Strengthening specific goals promotion and education, physical activity, and other school-based activities that promote east; Language regarding conducting an assessment at a minimum, every three years that compliance with the wellness policy, how the LWP compares to model wellness policies made in attaining the LWP goals; and How the assessment of the LWP will be made the public. Competitive Foods – Validation of the SFA's compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal in the standards of the standards of the SFA's compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements

D. Civil Rights				
YES	NO			
	\boxtimes	Civil Rights – Validation of the SFA's compliance with civil rights requirements as		
		applicable to the School Nutrition Programs		
Finding Detail:				
E. Re	E. Resource Management			
YES	NO			
	\boxtimes	Resource Management – Validation of the SFA's compliance with overall financial		
		health of the School Nutrition Program		
Finding Detail:				
	\boxtimes	Other		
Finding Detail:				