

# PUBLIC SCHOOLS OF NORTH CAROLINA

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION | Mark Johnson, Superintendent of Public Instruction

WWW.NCPUBLICSCHOOLS.ORG

# STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the SA to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the SA publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

## School Food Authority (SFA) Name: Iredell-Statesville Schools

SFA Agreement Number: 490

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): December 11, 2017

#### Date review results were provided to the SFA: December 15, 2017

#### **General Program Participation**

- 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
  - School Breakfast Program
  - National School Lunch Program
  - Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
  - Afterschool Snack
  - Special Milk Program
  - Seamless Summer Option
- 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
  - Community Eligibility Provision
  - Special Provision 1
  - Special Provision 2
  - Special Provision 3

#### **Review Findings**

- 3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? ∑ Yes □ No
- 4. Is there fiscal action associated with findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

## SCHOOL NUTRITION SERVICES SCHOOL OPERATIONS DIVISION

Lynn Harvey, Ed.D., RDN, LDN, FAND, SNS Chief | lynn.harvey@dpi.nc.gov 6324 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-6324 | Phone (919) 807-3506 | Fax (919) 807-3516 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

	REVIEW FINDINGS					
A. Program Access and Reimbursement						
YES	NO					
	$\square$	<b>Certification and Benefit Issuance</b> – Validation of the SFA's certification of students' eligibility for free or reduced-price meals benefits				
Findir	Finding Detail:					
1 man	iig Deta					
$\square$		Verification – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students'				
		eligibility for free and reduced-price meal benefits				
	Finding Detail: The Verification Specialist did not review the paycheck correctly and used the net pay					
		oss income. On three applications submitted for verification, the overtime amount on the				
pay st	ub caus	ed the household to exceed the eligibility status due to income.				
		Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA's meal counting and claiming				
$\square$		system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of				
		reimbursable meals claimed by category				
		il: All meal counts were accurately captured on the day of review; however, the point of				
		located at the beginning of the service line. All point of sale (POS) meal counts must be				
made	at the e	nd of the service line, after the cashier reviews the complete tray and determines the meal				
is con	nplete a	nd reimbursable, or determines the meal must be purchased as a la carte.				
<b>B.</b> M	Ieal Pa	tterns and Nutritional Quality				
YES	NO					
		Meal Components and Quantities - Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement				
$\square$		contain the required meal components and quantities				
Findir	ng Deta	il: On the lunch 9-12 Meal Component and Quantities Worksheet (MCQW), there is not				
enoug	h red/o	range offered across the week. There is only one $(1)$ cup and one-eighth $(1/8)$ cup that can				
count	toward	s the subgroup. One $(1)$ and one-fourth $(1/4)$ cup must be served across the week. Finding				
		red vegetable subgroups are not offered on all reimbursable serving lines as planned for all				
studer	nts to ha	we equal access throughout the week. Finding 3: All meal components are not positioned				
		pint of service for the reimbursable lines.				
•	· ·	Offer versus Serve (OVS)(provision that allows students to decline some of the food				
	$\square$	components offered) – Validation of the SFA's compliance with OVS requirements, if				
		applicable				
Findir	ng Deta					
		<b>Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis</b> – Validation that meals offered to				
	$\square$	children through the School Nutrition programs are consistent with federal standards for				
		calories, saturated fat, sodium, and <i>trans</i> fat				
Findir	ng Deta					
Thong Down.						
C. Se	chool N	utrition Environment				
YES	NO					
		<b>Food Safety</b> – Validation that all selected schools meet the food safety and storage				
	$\square$	requirements, and comply with the Buy American provisions specified by the regulations				
Endia	ng Doto					

<b>T</b> ' 1'	D		
Finding Detail:			
	$\boxtimes$	Local School Wellness Policy – Review of the SFA's established Local School	
		Wellness Policy	
Finding Detail:			
	$\boxtimes$	Competitive Foods – Validation of the SFA's compliance with regulations for all food	
		and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal	
Finding Detail:			

**Professional Standards** – Validation of the SFA's compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements

Finding Detail:

 $\square$ 

D. Civil Rights				
YES	NO			
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Civil Rights</b> – Validation of the SFA's compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the School Nutrition Programs		
Finding Detail:				

E. Resource Management				
YES	NO			
		Resource Management – Validation of the SFA's compliance with overall financial		
		health of the School Nutrition Program		
Finding Detail: Several equipment purchases were noted during the Procurement Review that exceeded				
the \$5,000 threshold which requires State Agency (SA) pre-approval. A review of the School Nutrition				
Techn	Technology System revealed no entries for SA approval in the 2016-2017 school year. The purchases			
requir	requiring approval are listed below: Walk in cooler and assembly for Pressley School - \$13,374.71;			
Conve	Convection steamer for Scotts Elementary - \$16,037.06; and a Convection steamer for North Iredell			
High	High School - \$15,823.56. Finding: The Manager's Notebooks are not the most updated version given			
to the	to the Administrative Review team to review during the on-site school reviews. The Central Office			
provid	provided the 2017-2018 Meals Counting and Claiming Manual to reviewers and the information related			
to Fin	to Financial Information (Petty Cash and Cashier Responsibilities) did not match the information in the			
Mana	Manager's Notebooks at South-Iredell High School and at East Iredell Elementary. The receipt of			
mone	money after each meal service is not being verified correctly as required by the School Food			
Autho	Authority's (SFA's) written procedures. The requirement for the Manager or Person in Charge (PIC) to			
compl	complete a second count to verify each cashier's drawer prior to breakfast service and after each meal			
servic	e is not	being followed.		



Finding Detail: