

PUBLIC SCHOOLS OF NORTH CAROLINA

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION | Mark Johnson, Superintendent of Public Instruction

WWW.NCPUBLICSCHOOLS.ORG

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the SA to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the SA publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority (SFA) Name: Franklin County Schools

SFA Agreement Number: 350

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): November 13, 2017

Date review results were provided to the SFA: November 17, 2017

General Program Participation

- 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
 - School Breakfast Program
 - National School Lunch Program
 - Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 - Afterschool Snack
 - Special Milk Program
 - Seamless Summer Option
- 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
 - Community Eligibility Provision
 - Special Provision 2
 - Special Provision 2

Review Findings

- 3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? ∑ Yes □ No
- 4. Is there fiscal action associated with findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

SCHOOL NUTRITION SERVICES SCHOOL OPERATIONS DIVISION

Lynn Harvey, Ed.D., RDN, LDN, FAND, SNS Chief | lynn.harvey@dpi.nc.gov 6324 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-6324 | Phone (919) 807-3506 | Fax (919) 807-3516 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

REVIEW FINDINGS					
A. P	rogran	Access and Reimbursement			
YES	NO				
	\square	Certification and Benefit Issuance – Validation of the SFA's certification of students' eligibility for free or reduced-price meals benefits			
		Verification – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students' eligibility for free and reduced-price meal benefits			
	\boxtimes	Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA's meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed by category			
Findir	ng Deta	il:			

B. M	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality			
YES	NO			
	\boxtimes	Meal Components and Quantities – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement		
 		contain the required meal components and quantities		
Findir	1g Deta	11:		
	\boxtimes	Offer versus Serve (OVS)(provision that allows students to decline some of the food components offered) – Validation of the SFA's compliance with OVS requirements, if applicable		
Findir	ng Deta	il:		
	\boxtimes	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis – Validation that meals offered to children through the School Nutrition programs are consistent with federal standards for calories, saturated fat, sodium, and <i>trans</i> fat		
Findir	ng Deta	il:		

C. School Nutrition Environment

YES	NO	
\boxtimes		Food Safety – Validation that all selected schools meet the food safety and storage
		requirements, and comply with the Buy American provisions specified by the regulations
Findir	ng Deta	il: A Time as a Public Health Control (TPHC) procedure must be written for documenting
meals	sent on	i field trips. Since the food is leaving campus, the food must be monitored using time
contro	ol and d	isposed of after four (4) hours. Finding 2: A procedure must be written and implemented
to doc	ument	how Pre-K meals will be controlled when transported to the classroom. Since food is not
leavin	g the co	ontrol of the SFA, food may be monitored by temperature, using a temperature monitoring
form of	or by tii	ne, with a Time as a Public Health Control (TPHC) procedure. Finding 3: On the daily
kitche	n asses	sment monitoring form, the parts per million (ppm) for wipe and spray sanitizer was not
being	recorde	ed and November's daily kitchen assessment had not been started for the month when
review	ver aske	ed for the form on November 14, 2017. The reviewer provided technical assistance by
review	ving the	e form with the manager and explaining to her corrections that needed to be made when
filling	out the	e form. Cooling logs are not completed to track the cooling of leftovers. Cooling logs are
requir	ed to m	onitor the cooling process of all hot Temperature Control for Safety (TCS) foods.
Findir	ng 4: A	documentation error of temperatures is occurring in Section one (1) of the production
record	l. Temp	eratures taken once the food is cooked or prepared are not being recorded correctly and
leftov	er temp	eratures are not being recorded. The employee is recording the cooked/prepared target
tempe	ratures	instead. Finding 5: Herbs, spices, and flavorings were not date marked.
	\boxtimes	Local School Wellness Policy – Review of the SFA's established Local School

		Wellness Policy		
Finding Detail:				
	\square	Competitive Foods – Validation of the SFA's compliance with regulations for all food		
		and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal		
Finding Detail:				
	\boxtimes	Professional Standards – Validation of the SFA's compliance with required hiring		
		standards and annual training requirements		
Findir	ng Deta	il:		
	-			

D. Civil Rights			
YES	NO		
	\square	Civil Rights – Validation of the SFA's compliance with civil rights requirements as	
		applicable to the School Nutrition Programs	
Findir	ng Deta	il:	

E. Re	E. Resource Management						
YES	NO						
		Resource Management – Validation of the SFA's compliance with overall financial health of the School Nutrition Program					
Findir	ng Deta	il: Financial reports dating back to the semi-annual report of school year 2014-15 show					
		consistencies in reporting the financial status of the School Nutrition Program. In 2016-17,					
		mi-annual or annual reports were submitted in the School Nutrition Technology System.					
		istencies allow room for error and discrepancies in financial reporting of School Nutrition					
-		r the School Food Authority (SFA) as well as the State Agency. For the 2016-2017 year of					
		nnual reporting is pending the receipt of the final audit. The State Agency School					
		alysis worksheet was used to conduct the Financial Management Review based on data					
		e 30, 2017 trial balance. Although the School Nutrition Administrator has been diligently					
	•	Iminister the School Nutrition Program, oftentimes, she is unaware of the current financial					
		school meal program(s). Finding 1: Financial reports dating back to the semi-annual					
		bol year 2014-15 show significant inconsistencies in reporting the financial status of the					
		tion Program. In 2016-17, neither the semi-annual or annual reports were submitted in the					
		tion Technology System. These inconsistencies allow room for error and discrepancies in orting of School Nutrition operations for the School Food Authority (SFA) as well as the					
		For the 2016-2017 year of review, the annual reporting is pending the receipt of the final					
		ate Agency School Nutrition Analysis worksheet was used to conduct the Financial					
		Review based on data from the June 30, 2017 trial balance. Although the School Nutrition					
	Administrator has been diligently working to administer the School Nutrition Program, oftentimes, she						
	is unaware of the current financial status of the school meal program(s). Finding 2: Sales tax refunds						
	should be applied at the closeout of each school year and refunded to the School Nutrition account						
	when received by the Local Education Agency. The 2014-15 sales tax refund was posted to fund 5 in						
		117 in the amount of \$1,737.00. This refund is typically paid to the Local Education					
		e school year 2015-2016; however, the refund did not post in the School Nutrition account					
-	•	ol year 2017-18. The sales tax refund for the school year 2015-16 has not been received.					
		everal adult meal charges were noted on the negative balance report for the district. Adult					
meal of	charges	are unallowable. Adults may prepay for meals by putting money on their individual					
		school account for adult charges could be set up and used for adults to borrow against so					
that th	e charg	e is not carried by the School Nutrition Program.					

Other

Finding Detail	1:			