# Mike Beaver, Purchasing Agent North Carolina Department of Public Instruction 301 N. Wilmington Street Raleigh, NC 27601 Important RFP Addendum

December 29, 2015

### FAILURE TO RETURN THIS RFP ADDENDUM IN ACCORDANCE WITH INSTRUCTIONS MAY SUBJECT YOUR PROPOSAL TO REJECTION

RFP Number: **40-IT00114-15** COMMODITY: Exceptional Children Accountability Tracking System

ADDENDUM Number: 02 OPENING DATE/TIME: 1/20/2016 @ 2pm EST

PURCHASER: Mike Beaver

### **INSTRUCTIONS:**

- Please make the following change(s) in the RFP referenced above: <u>SEE ATTACHED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS</u> on the following page.
- 2. Check **ONLY** one of the following categories and return one properly executed copy of this addendum along with your proposal at the opening time and date.
  - Proposal has already been mailed. Changes resulting from this addendum are as follows:
  - Proposal has already been mailed. **NO CHANGES** resulted from this addendum.
  - Proposal has <u>NOT</u> been mailed and <u>ANY CHANGES</u> resulting from this addendum are included in our proposal.

Execute Addendum: OFFEROR:

ADDRESS (CITY & STATE):\_\_\_\_\_

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_

NAME & TITLE (Typed):\_\_\_\_\_

### RFP# 40-IT00114-15 Addendum 02 Questions and Answers December 29, 2015

## State's Response to Vendor Questions:

| STATE'S RESPONSE<br>TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |                       |  |   |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| ADDENDUM 02- VENDOR<br>QUESTION #   | CITATION              | QUESTION   | Answer  |  |
| (1)   | Section II C. 7)      | "Submission of Offer Vendor(s)<br>1/11/16" Many vendors had already<br>made plans for the Holidays prior to<br>the release of the RFP. Given the<br>spirit of the Holidays will DPI grant<br>a 2-3 week delay in the submission<br>date? Could you answer this<br>question quickly so that we can all<br>plan accordingly? | The Vendor<br>Proposal<br>Submission<br>deadline has<br>been extended<br>by two weeks<br>from 1/11/16 to<br>1/20/16.  |  |
| (2)   | General               | Are all education institutions using<br>the state's current Student<br>Information System (SIS),<br>PowerSchool?   | Yes. All education<br>institutions within The State<br>use PowerSchool.   |  |
| (3)   | General               | Will all education institutions in the<br>state use the awarded system and<br>will it be mandated by the state for<br>all three modules; Special<br>Education, Medicaid and MTSS?  | The State does not<br>mandate the use of<br>ECATS except for<br>required<br>submission of data<br>as determined by<br>The State. An<br>example of required<br>data submissions<br>are Active Child<br>Counts and the<br>Exited Child Count. |  |
| (4)   | Section III 9) d. ix. | How many years of data will need<br>to be converted and from which<br>systems?   | Special Education<br>data for three<br>school years prior<br>to and the school<br>year of ECATS<br>implementation<br>must be converted<br>from the current<br>CECAS system.   |  |

| STATE'S RESPONSE<br>TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED |                   |   |   |
|---|-------------------|---|---|
|   | IN WRITING BY 2:0 | 00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 20  | 15  |
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION #                  | CITATION          | QUESTION  | Answer  |
| (5)   | General Medicaid  | At what capacity do users at the<br>state anticipate accessing the<br>Medicaid module?  | Not clear what the<br>question is asking.<br>If the question is<br>referring to how<br>many DPI staff will<br>be accessing the<br>Medicaid module,<br>likely <25 and only<br>in a view mode. If<br>the question is<br>referring to school<br>based staff using<br>the Medicaid<br>module, it will be<br>every SLP, PT and<br>OT in each district. |
| (6)   | General           | How do districts process/submit their Medicaid claims today?  | Most use a 3rd<br>party vendor; 2<br>LEAs submit their<br>own claims directly.  |
| (7)   | General           | Is there an existing Medicaid<br>billing/consulting contract in place?<br>If so:  | At the LEA level,<br>but not with DPI.<br>DPI is not a<br>Medicaid provider.  |
|   |                   | <ul><li>a. With whom?</li><li>b. How long has the state used</li></ul>  | N/A   |
|   |                   | the services of this organization?  | N/A   |
|   |                   | c. How does the state pay for<br>these services? Percentage?<br>Fixed annual fee? Per claim? Per<br>transaction?  | N/A   |
|   |                   | d. How much did the state pay<br>for these services in each of the<br>last three (3) fiscal years?  | N/A   |
|   |                   | e. How do staff currently<br>document their services? Paper<br>logs?  | LEAs with vendors use<br>web-based documentation;<br>LEAs submitting their own<br>claims have a paper<br>system.  |
|   |                   | f. With the introduction of this<br>new contract, would the district's<br>goal be to eliminate all paper logs<br>or will some services still utilize<br>paper logs? | This would remain<br>a local<br>decision/preference   |
| (8)   | General           | Does the state use an existing<br>automated Medicaid Management<br>System? If so:   | No. DPI is not a<br>Medicaid provider.  |
|   |                   | Which one?     When did the state install this  | N/A   |
|   |                   | When did the state install this<br>system and begin using it in<br>everyday use?  | N/A   |

| STATE'S RESPONSE                 |   |  |   |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
|                                  | TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |  |   |  |  |
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION   | Answer  |  |  |
|                                  |   | • What are the reasons the state is looking for a replacement for this system?   | N/A   |  |  |
| (9)                              | General   | Do any of the districts in the state<br>have any associated audit findings<br>within the Medicaid program the<br>awarded vendor should be made<br>aware of?  | NC Medicaid is not<br>currently auditing<br>LEA claims. The<br>Office of State<br>Auditor investigated<br>an LEA Medicaid<br>program in 2014-15<br>with resulting<br>corrective actions for<br>LEA and DPI.                                 |  |  |
| (10)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-3.1.9                           | Medicaid requirement BR-3.1.9<br>states, "The Medicaid Module shall<br>produce forms that are authorized<br>to serve as official business<br>process forms." Please define and,<br>if possible, provide examples of<br>these forms.  | A representative but<br>not exhaustive list of<br>forms would include<br>Parental Consent to<br>Release Information<br>to State Medicaid<br>Agency, Annual<br>Notification, and any<br>needed forms for<br>FFS, MAC, and cost<br>reporting. |  |  |
| (11)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-3.1.29                          | Medicaid requirement BR-3.1.29<br>states, "The Medicaid Module<br>should collect and allow<br>modifications to a directory of LEA<br>contacts who may or may not have<br>access to the Medicaid Module."<br>Please define the type of<br>modifications LEA contacts would<br>need to make. | If the LEA Medicaid<br>Administrator/contact<br>changed, the LEA<br>would need to update<br>name/contact<br>information for the<br>new person filling the<br>role.  |  |  |
| (12)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-3.1.37                          | Medicaid requirement BR-3.1.37<br>states, "The Medicaid Module shall<br>allow users to print batches of<br>forms for one or more students<br>without having to open the forms."<br>Please define and, if possible,<br>provide example of these forms                                       | An example of this<br>requirement is the<br>system allows the<br>user to select one or<br>more students in a<br>grading period to<br>print treatment notes<br>without having to<br>open each treatment<br>note.                             |  |  |

| A                                |   |  |   |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION   | Answer  |  |  |
| (13)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-3.1.67  | Medicaid requirement BR-3.1.67<br>states, "The Medicaid Module shall<br>allow assessment data to populate<br>business process forms and reports<br>where needed." Please define the<br>assessment data, forms and<br>reports.  | Assessment data =<br>student performance<br>data.<br>See BR-3.1.11 for a<br>representative but<br>not exhaustive list of<br>forms.<br>Reports are<br>produced containing<br>data as specified per<br>user entered criteria.   |  |  |
| (14)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-3.1.93  | Medicaid requirement BR-3.1.93<br>states, "The BI Tool shall allow<br>access, based on user security<br>level, to the combined data set of<br>the Special Education, MTSS,<br>Medicaid modules and other data<br>stored in the ECATS ODS." Please<br>define the "BI Tool."   | "BI Tool" is a<br>Business Intelligence<br>Tool allowing users<br>to access current as<br>well as historical data<br>while applying filters,<br>sorting criteria, slicing<br>and dicing of data in<br>varying ways, and<br>allowing drill down to<br>record level<br>capability. Additional<br>information can be<br>found in Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications BR-<br>1.1.91. |  |  |
| (15)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-3.1.174 | Medicaid requirement BR-3.1.174<br>states, "The Medicaid Module shall<br>validate that services provided are<br>appropriate for the diagnosis."<br>Does this mean that the system<br>should validate against the correct<br>ICD-10 code?   | Yes.  |  |  |
| (16)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-3.1.189 | Medicaid requirement BR-3.1.189<br>states, "The Medicaid Module shall<br>provide interfaces to various LEA<br>financial systems. The LEAs use<br>several accounting packages. The<br>export to the financial systems shall<br>be in the form of a flat file or an<br>agreed upon file format." Please<br>define the data that must be<br>exported. | All data required for<br>the annual LEA Cost<br>Report. See URL<br>http://qa.dhhs.state.n<br>c.us/dma/cost/learep<br>orts.htm   |  |  |
|                                  |   | Do the districts currently submit<br>claims for all services allowable<br>under the state plan? If not:<br>• Which services are not  | Yes   |  |  |
|                                  |   | currently billed?  | N/A   |  |  |

| State's Response  |  |   |   |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |  |   |   |  |
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION #  | CITATION   | QUESTION  | Answer  |  |
|   |  | <ul> <li>Is it the state's intention for<br/>districts to begin submitting<br/>claims on all allowable<br/>services?</li> </ul> | N/A   |  |
| (17)  | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications -<br>Special<br>Education<br>Module - Child<br>Counts: BR-<br>1.1.94 to BR-<br>1.1.102, | Can the state please provide the following state-wide counts:   |   |  |
|   |  | a. Special education child count  | April 2015: 201,177<br>December 2014:<br>196,146  |  |
|   |  | b. Special education students with one or more related services   | Approximately<br>84,807   |  |
|   |  | c. How many (approximate) of<br>the special education students are<br>Medicaid eligible?  | Based on CECAS<br>LEAs 13-14 school<br>year had 67,189 and<br>for the 14-15 school<br>year 57,067   |  |
| (18)  | General  | Which Special Education (IEP)<br>Management System is the state<br>currently using?   | The State is currently<br>using a customized<br>commercial off the<br>shelf product called<br>the Comprehensive<br>Exceptional Children<br>Accountability<br>System (CECAS).  |  |
|   |  | a. When did the state install this system and begin using it in everyday use?   | CECAS was<br>implemented in 2004<br>and users began<br>using it at<br>implementation.   |  |
|   |  | <ul> <li>What are the reasons the<br/>state is looking for a replacement<br/>for this system</li> </ul>                         | A replacement is<br>being sought due to<br>age of the product<br>and the needed<br>addition of MTSS and<br>Medicaid modules.  |  |
| (19)  | Section III 9) d.<br>iv; Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br>TNG-2 and<br>TNG-4                                     | How would the District want to receive this training?   | The vendor should<br>provide a training<br>plan for all phases of<br>implementation. On-<br>site "Train the<br>Trainer" training<br>should be included.<br>See Appendix B<br>Module Technical<br>Specifications TNG-2<br>and TNG-4. |  |

| STATE'S RESPONSE                 |   |   |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
|                                  | TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |   |  |  |  |
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION  | Answer   |  |  |
|                                  |   | a. Vendor-led classes for all staff members?  | The vendor should<br>provide on-site "Train<br>the Trainer" training<br>for in-house staff as<br>well as LEA/Charter<br>school staff. See<br>Appendix B Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications TNG-<br>4.               |  |  |
|                                  |   | b. Train-the-Trainer approach<br>where vendor trains a cadre of<br>district trainers who would then<br>provide end-user training?   | The vendor should<br>provide on-site "Train<br>the Trainer" training<br>for in-house staff as<br>well as LEA/Charter<br>school staff. See<br>Appendix B Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications TNG-<br>4.               |  |  |
| (20)                             | General   | Can you please complete the following table of Medicaid recovery state-wide?  |  |  |  |
|                                  |   | School Year   | Total # Submitted<br>Claims  |  |  |
|                                  |   | 2012-2013   | Request this<br>information from<br>Robin Morrison at<br>DMA,<br>robin.morrison@dhhs<br>.nc.gov  |  |  |
|                                  |   | 2013-2014   | Request this<br>information from<br>Robin Morrison at<br>DMA,<br>robin.morrison@dhhs<br>.nc.gov  |  |  |
|                                  |   | 2014-2015   | Request this<br>information from<br>Robin Morrison at<br>DMA,<br>robin.morrison@dhhs<br>.nc.gov  |  |  |
| (21)                             | Section III 9) d. ix.   | In Section III, part IX, it was<br>mentioned that the vendor will be<br>responsible for the cleansing and<br>migration of essential data from<br>existing systems. Does NCDPI<br>have a list of existing systems that<br>is currently being used for the<br>Special Education Data? | The State is currently<br>using a customized<br>commercial off the<br>shelf product called<br>the Comprehensive<br>Exceptional Children<br>Accountability<br>System (CECAS) to<br>house its Special<br>Education Data. |  |  |

| STATE'S RESPONSE<br>TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |                       |  |   |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION #  | CITATION              | QUESTION   | Answer  |  |
| (22)  | Section III 9) d. ix. | Is the Special Education Data<br>coming from a single source for<br>conversion purposes (State<br>Managed System) or will the data<br>need to be gathered at each district<br>level? | The State is currently<br>using a customized<br>commercial off the<br>shelf product called<br>CECAS to house its<br>Special Education<br>Data. Data are<br>housed in a state<br>managed system.<br>NCDPI will work with<br>the selected vendor<br>to determine these<br>requirements. |  |

| STATE'S RESPONSE  |                       |   |   |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|
| TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |                       |   |   |
| Addendum 02- Vendor<br>Question #   | CITATION              | QUESTION  | Answer  |
| (23)  | Section III 9) d. ix. | Does NCDPI have a template of<br>Sped Data elements that will need<br>to be converted? Minimum<br>requirements? | DPI will work with the selected vendor to define specific data to be converted. The following list is representative of the data to be converted.  Referral received by school date Referral determination date Conduct evaluation? Consent to evaluate date Consent to evaluate given? Consent to evaluate given? Evaluation purpose Consent to evaluate given? Eligibility date Eligible? Primary disability Private school non- participation date Consent for placement date Consent for placement given Initial IEP/PSSP begin and end dates Part C to B plan date Current and 3 most recent IEP/PSSP begin and end dates, LRE setting, list of services, tests and accommodations Transportation type All COSF data if student is <7 PRC eligibility status and most recent Student Information Form Current Case Manager Current Closed/Verified EC forms as pdf |

| STATE'S RESPONSE  |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |   |   |   |  |
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION #  | CITATION  | QUESTION  | Answer  |  |
|   | VII 16)<br>CONFIDENTIALIT<br>Y AND DATA<br>SECURITY           | Section vi. stated: "The Vendor will<br>conduct regular third-party security<br>audits of the Module application<br>and share the results with NCDPI.<br>These types of audit are usually at<br>a cost. What is the acceptable<br>annual frequency of these audits<br>and will the Vendor be<br>compensated for conducting these<br>audits? | The State is seeking a<br>hosting solution with<br>established security<br>policies and standards,<br>which conducts yearly<br>security assessment<br>reviews to ensure those<br>guidelines are followed<br>and updated as needed<br>to protect clients from<br>potential threats. As<br>stated in the RFP, "the<br>Vendor acknowledges<br>its responsibility for<br>securing personal<br>identifying information<br>collected by the State<br>and stored in any<br>Vendor site or other<br>Vendor housing<br>systems, including but<br>not limited to computer<br>systems, networks,<br>servers, or databases,<br>maintained by Vendor<br>or its agents or<br>subcontractors in<br>connection with the<br>Services. Vendor<br>warrants, at its sole<br>cost and expense, that<br>it shall implement<br>processes and maintain<br>security of personal<br>identifying information;<br>provide reasonable<br>care and efforts to<br>detect fraudulent<br>activity involving<br>personal identifying<br>information; and<br>promptly notify the<br>Agency of any<br>breaches of security<br>involving personal<br>identifying information."<br>The acceptable<br>frequency of the audit is<br>yearly and the Vendor<br>is responsible for the<br>cost. See VII.16)<br>CONFIDENTIALITY<br>AND DATA SECURITY. |  |
| (25)  | Appendix A:<br>Module Service<br>Specifications,<br>BR-1.1.74 | BR-1.1.74 A seamless<br>transition from the old system to the<br>new system is needed. The current<br>system resides in a SQL Server<br>database.   |   |  |

Г

#### STATE'S RESPONSE TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR ANSWER CITATION QUESTION **QUESTION #** Is it correct to assume that Data are housed in a all LEA data is state managed system which consolidated in one consists of multiple database or multiple databases? databases. The State is currently using a customized commercial off the shelf product called What is the current the Comprehensive Exceptional Children system(s) being used? Accountability System (CECAS) to house its Special Education Data. (26) BR-1.1.58 The Special Education Module shall integrate with the Student Information System (PowerSchool) to send EC Appendix A data to PowerSchool. EC data is Module Service sent to PowerSchool when a Specifications: student begins actively receiving BR-1.1.58 services, at any point that the IEP is updated (selected fields), and when a student stops (exits) receiving services. The following data elements are currently being sent to PowerSchool: Primary Disability Secondary Disability • Plan Type Environmental Settina Is it possible to be more • Program/Services specific in regards to data Begin Date being sent to the Student Program/Services Information Systems? Is End Date there a list of data • EC Exit Date elements that will be • EC Exit Reason required? Tests Accommodations Specifics for Accommodations See Appendix A Module Service Specifications BR-1.1.58 and BR-2.1.59 for more information.

| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION   | QUESTION  | Answer   |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| (27)                             | Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br><b>PRM-4</b>  | The ECATS Service shall be<br>designed to recover full<br>functionality within Recovery Time<br>Objective of 24 hours. Does this<br>refer to disaster recovery?<br>Recovery from database backup,<br>etc., after catastrophic compromise<br>of resources? | Yes. The system<br>must recover from a<br>failure within 24<br>hours. See Appendix<br>B Module Technical<br>Specifications PRM-<br>4, PRQ-35, and<br>PRQ-48.                           |
| (28)                             | Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br><b>WEB-11</b> | The ECATS Service should have a transaction completion time (after the enter key is pressed) of less than 3 seconds. Would this be Max or average?  | Ninety percent (90%)<br>of transactions<br>should occur within<br>two seconds or less<br>for screens. See<br>Appendix B Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications PRM-6<br>through PRM-16. |
| (29)                             | Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br><b>WEB-20</b> | The ECATS Service shall supply history and rollback of content.   |  |
|                                  |  | <ul> <li>No problem in regards to<br/>supplying history. Is it a<br/>requirement to have an<br/>"Undo" button before a<br/>form is finalized?</li> </ul>  | It is not required to<br>have an "Undo"<br>button before a form<br>is finalized.   |

| STATE'S RESPONSE<br>TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| ADDENDUM 02- VENDOR                               |   |  |  |  |  |
| QUESTION #  | CITATION  | QUESTION   |  |  |  |
| (30)  | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.56, BR-<br>2.1.56, BR-<br>3.1.56, BR-1.1.5 | What is the specific role of the<br>PowerSchool SIS system in your<br>ECATS architecture?  | PowerSchool is the<br>authoritative source<br>of demographics and<br>other data on<br>students. Entry of<br>students into the<br>ECATS modules<br>occurs only when<br>PowerSchool sends<br>the student data to<br>ECATS. Other data<br>that PowerSchool is<br>authoritative for may<br>also be included in<br>what is sent from<br>PowerSchool to<br>ECATS.<br>PowerSchool to<br>ECATS.<br>PowerSchool will<br>also receive data<br>from the Special<br>Education Module<br>and the MTSS<br>Modules. See<br>Appendix A Module<br>Service<br>Specifications BR-<br>1.1.56, BR-2.1.56,<br>BR-3.1.56, BR-<br>1.1.58, and BR-<br>2.1.59. |  |  |
| (31)  | Section IV Cost,<br>A: ECATS Cost<br>Template   | Item 1. Firm Fixed Price: Does the<br>NCDPI want the year 1 and year 2<br>fee to be consolidated into one<br>figure or should it be itemized by<br>the year? | The contract term is<br>two years with the<br>option to extend for a<br>one year period. The<br>cost for the first term<br>should include year 1<br>and year 2 to match<br>the contract term.<br>See Section II B. 8)<br>Contract Term.  |  |  |
| (32)  | Section IV Cost<br>C, D.  | Please clarify where we could<br>access the "Cost Response Form"<br>mentioned as Optional in this item.  | The Cost Response<br>Form for the<br>Alternative Cost<br>Response is to be<br>proposed by the<br>Vendor if they<br>choose to propose an<br>alternate cost. See<br>Section IV Cost<br>Proposal C.   |  |  |

| STATE'S RESPONSE  |              |  |   |  |
|---|--------------|--|---|--|
| TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |              |  |   |  |
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION #  | CITATION     | QUESTION   | Answer  |  |
| (33)  | Attachment A | Is the NCDPI currently requesting<br>vendors to develop an ECATS<br>ODS or does this module currently<br>exist today?              | NCDPI is requesting<br>vendors of the<br>Special Education<br>Module to develop<br>and maintain the<br>ECATS ODS. See<br>Appendix A Module<br>Service Specification<br>BR-1.1.83.1, BR-<br>1.1.83.2, BR-1.1.84,<br>and PRQ-1.   |  |
| (34)  | Attachment A | What is the difference between the ECATS ODS and the NCDPI ODS modules?  | The ECATS ODS is<br>the combined data<br>set of all three<br>ECATS modules and<br>any other data<br>deemed necessary.<br>The NCDPI ODS is<br>an agency level ODS<br>that stores data from<br>other departments<br>within the agency as<br>well as data sent to it<br>from the ECATS<br>ODS. See Appendix<br>A Module Service<br>Specification BR-<br>1.1.83.1, BR-<br>1.1.83.2, BR-1.1.84,<br>BR-1.1.104, BR-<br>2.1.104, and BR-<br>3.1.104. |  |
| (35)  | Attachment A | Please clarify what the terms "Set<br>of Assessments" and EC SEA<br>Activities" listed on your ECATS<br>diagram specifically mean? | EC SEA Activities<br>includes:<br>(1) Comprehensive<br>LEA Report (CLEAR)<br>(2) Professional<br>Development<br>(3) Coaching Logs<br>(4) Reports for<br>collected data<br>(5) State level "LEA<br>Reporting Indicators<br>1- 8"<br>(6) Custom SEA<br>reports<br>NCDPI will work with<br>the selected vendor<br>to define specific<br>requirements for the<br>set of Assessments.  |  |

| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION   | QUESTION  | Answer  |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| (36)                             | Section III 10.n   | A complete list of customers is<br>considered proprietary information<br>for most Vendors. Will NCDPI<br>consider a list of references (in<br>addition to the 3 required in 10.m)<br>as a satisfactory response to 10.n?<br>10.n Product Interfaces: The<br>Vendor must provide a list of all<br>Customers and their products that<br>the ECATS Service they are<br>proposing currently interfaces and<br>is operational, or interfaced with<br>and was operational within the last<br>3 years. | The State is looking<br>to review customer<br>interfaces with a<br>statewide<br>implementation. A<br>representative list of<br>your customers with<br>a statewide<br>implementation is<br>sufficient.   |
| (37)                             | Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br>PRQ-2 | The ECATS Service shall have<br>data that is updated in real-time.<br>Is it possible to specify which data<br>is required to be updated in real<br>time?  | Data entered by<br>users into any of the<br>three ECATS<br>modules must be<br>updated in real time<br>when users make<br>changes to that data.<br>See Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications BR-<br>1.1.2, BR-2.1.3, and<br>BR-3.1.4 and<br>Appendix B Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications PRQ-<br>2. |

|                                  | STATE'S RESPONSE  |   |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
|                                  | TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |   |  |  |  |
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION  | Answer   |  |  |
|                                  | Section 1<br>Introduction<br>Paragraph 4 and<br>6, Section III 9)<br>10).             | "Federal regulations require that<br>states collect, maintain and report<br>data on exceptional children in<br>support of No Child Left Behind<br>(NCLB) and Individuals with<br>Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)."<br>Here DPI states reporting<br>requirements which are well known.<br>"Reports produced from the ECATS<br>ODS may contain any combination<br>of data, based on user access,<br>from the Special Education, MTSS,<br>and Medicaid modules." "Reports<br>produced from the ECATS ODS<br>may contain any combination of<br>data, based on user access, from<br>the Special Education, MTSS, and<br>Medicaid modules." To report on<br>"any combination of data" is very<br>expensive. Can DPI be more<br>specific on exactly what data is<br>required in the ODS other than the<br>ability to obtain the reports<br>requested in Paragraph 4? Please<br>specifically request what is<br>"required" so that each vendor can<br>respond with the cost for the exact<br>requirement. | <ul> <li>NCDPI will work with the vendor to define detailed requirements. The following is a representative list of data that will be required:</li> <li>Combined set of data from Special Education, MTSS, and Medicaid</li> <li>Data to complete all CIPP Indicators as required by the SPP/APR such as exceptional children data, graduation data, dropout data, assessment data, discipline data, racial and ethnic data, gender data, postsecondary data, parent involvement data, mediation, due process, complaint, and facilitation data.</li> <li>Data to aid in completing Indicator 17 of the CIPP Indicators which include all data as previously noted plus retention data, attendance data, course credit data.</li> <li>BR-1.1.56, BR-1.1.64, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.103, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.103, BR-1.1.108, BR-1.1.109, BR-1.1.109, BR-1.1.101, BR-1.1.109, BR-1.1.101, BR-1.1.101, BR-1.1.101, BR-1.1.101, BR-1.1.102, BR-1.1.101, BR-1.1.102, BR-1.1.102, BR-1.1.103, BR-1.1.103, BR-1.1.103, BR-1.1.103, BR-1.1.103, BR-1.1.103, BR-1.1.103, BR-1.1.104, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.103, BR-1.1.104, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.105, BR-1.1.103, BR-1.1.113, BR-1.1.113, BR-1.1.113, BR-1.1.114, BR-1.1.115, BR-1.1.114, BR-1.1.112, BR-1.1.123, BR-1.1.124, BR-1.1.123, BR-1.1.128, BR-1.</li></ul> |  |  |

| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION   | QUESTION  | Answer   |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| (39)                             | Section I<br>Introduction<br>Paragraph 6 and<br>Section II<br>Paragraph 14<br>Alternate Offers | "The vendor must include all of the<br>modules in an offer." "Vendor may<br>submit alternate offers for various<br>levels of service(s) or products<br>meeting specifications. Alternate<br>offers must specifically identify the<br>RFP requirements and<br>advantage(s) addressed by the<br>alternate offer. Any alternate offers<br>must be clearly marked with the<br>legend as shown herein. Each offer<br>must be for a specific set of<br>Services or products and offer at<br>specific pricing. If a Vendor<br>chooses to respond with various<br>service or product offerings, each<br>must be an offer with a different<br>price and a separate RFP offer.<br>Vendors may also provide multiple<br>offers for software or systems<br>coupled with support and<br>maintenance options, provided,<br>however, all offers must satisfy the<br>specifications." The paragraph in<br>Section I says the vendor must<br>include all the modules but the<br>"Alternate Offer" section states "<br>various levels of services(s) or<br>products meeting specifications.<br>Does this mean a vendor can bid<br>one of the modules and not the<br>others? | The Vendor must include all<br>of the modules in an offer.<br>The Vendor is responsible<br>for the integration of all<br>three modules, the<br>development and<br>maintenance of the single<br>user interface and the<br>ECATS ODS. The Vendor<br>cannot use the Alternate<br>Offer to bid on less than<br>three of the modules. See<br>page 5 of the RFP. |

| STATE'S RESPONSE<br>TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |                          |   |  |  |
|---|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION #  | CITATION                 | QUESTION  | Answer   |  |
| (40)  | Section II C. 4)         | "Components for Evaluation –<br>Listed in order of importance" Will<br>DPI provide the weights associated<br>with each of the components for<br>evaluation?   | Weights are not used in the<br>adjectively ranked process.<br>All responsive proposals will<br>be evaluated and<br>adjectively ranked based on<br>the strengths and<br>weaknesses in how the<br>vendor meets the state's<br>requirements as determined<br>by the evaluation team.<br>See Section II C 6)<br>Evaluation Method. The<br>Evaluation Teams will follow<br>the process as outlined in<br>the 'RFP Optional-<br>Terms.doc' Section I C.<br>Evaluation Process which<br>can be downloaded from<br>http://it.nc.gov/document/ins<br>tructions-and-optional-<br>terms-preparation-rfp |  |
| (41)  | Section III 1)<br>and 4) | "Agencies and Vendors should<br>refer to these architecture<br>documents when implementing<br>enterprise applications and/or<br>infrastructure." IAM does not<br>appear in the State Architecture.<br>Please point to a reference in the<br>Statewide Architecture describing<br>the interface between AIM and a<br>Statewide SSO so that vendors can<br>design to the Statewide<br>Architecture. | We can provide the<br>interface details to IAM,<br>which can be used by the<br>vendor. As long as you can<br>integrate with SAML<br>(preferred) or LDAP you are<br>in compliance.  |  |

| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR | 0   | 0   | Answer  |
|--------------------|---|---|---|
| QUESTION #         | CITATION  | QUESTION  |   |
| (42)               | Section III 6)<br>and Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br>PQR9 | "All offers shall include<br>specifications and technical<br>literature sufficient to allow the<br>State to determine that the<br>equipment meets all requirements.<br>This technical literature will be the<br>primary source for evaluation. If a<br>requirement is not addressed in the<br>technical literature it must be<br>supported by additional<br>documentation and included with<br>the offer. Offer responses without<br>sufficient technical documentation<br>may be rejected." "The ECATS<br>Service shall not require any on<br>premise serves infrastructure to be<br>purchased or maintained by NCDPI<br>and PI-13 Educational<br>Institut9ions." Section III 6) says<br>specifications and technical<br>literature for the " <b>equipment</b> " must<br>be submitted and that the technical<br>literature will be a primary source<br>for evaluation. Appendix B lists<br>platform requirements but there are<br>no technical " <b>equipment</b> "<br>specifications does DPI want to see<br>and what are the evaluation factors<br>and weights associated with those<br>technical specifications? Please<br>explain the evaluation process of<br>technical literature when each<br>vendor will have a different SaaS<br>solution requiring differing technical<br>solutions. | SaaS in a private cloud is a<br>mandatory requirement so<br>there are no 'equipment'<br>technical specification<br>requirements. See PRQ-<br>54. Provide software used<br>and the software version. |

| ADDENDUM 02- VENDOR | CITATION   | QUESTION  | Answer  |
|---------------------|--|---|---|
| QUESTION #<br>(43)  | Section III 6)   | "All offers shall include<br>specifications and technical<br>literature sufficient to allow the<br>State to determine that the<br>equipment meets all requirements.<br>This technical literature will be the<br>primary source for evaluation. If a<br>requirement is not addressed in the<br>technical literature it must be<br>supported by additional<br>documentation and included with<br>the offer. Offer responses without<br>sufficient technical documentation<br>may be rejected." How many<br>CPUs and how much memory per<br>CPU is being used in the present<br>solution? What is the total amount<br>of disk spaced used for that<br>solution? Does it provide an<br>acceptable response? | This is expected to be a<br>cloud based solution.<br>Vendors are to create<br>specifications according to<br>their proposed solution and<br>data provided. See<br>Operational Limits and<br>Scalability tab in the<br>Appendix B.   |
| (44)                | Section III 9) 8.  | "The functionality must interface<br>with other systems including, but<br>not limited to, the state Student<br>Information System (SIS) and the<br>ECATS Operational Data Store<br>(ODS)." In order to properly<br>interface to "other systems" please<br>list the systems, the connectivity<br>methodology, and what data is to<br>be exchanged between the<br>systems.  | The vendor must propose<br>the connectivity<br>methodology that is part of<br>their proposed solution.<br>Data exchanged between<br>systems outside of ECATS<br>will be "as deemed<br>necessary" and include, but<br>are not limited to, LEA level<br>assessment data,<br>academic, behavioral,<br>disciplinary, observational<br>data, etc |
| (45)                | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.9 | "The MTSS Module shall produce<br>forms that are authorized to serve<br>as official business process forms."<br>BR.2.1.9 says "produce forms" and<br>BR.2.1.12 says the Tier I-III<br>Problem-Solving Form and the<br>Demographic Form. NC has<br>published a Form B and Form C as<br>well as the Tier I-III MTSS forms<br>and the Tier I-III MTSS review<br>forms. Which forms does DPI want<br>in the application? Please provide<br>copies of the forms.   | There is no state level Form<br>B or Form C. We will have<br>example forms for the<br>selected vendor for Tier II<br>and III to put in the system.<br>No Tier I forms will be<br>utilized. See BR-2.1.12.   |

|                                  |   |   | A   |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Addendum 02-Vendor<br>Question # | CITATION  | QUESTION  | Answer  |
| (46)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.12 | "Users shall be able to enter a very<br>minimal amount of data to satisfy<br>compliance requirements or choose<br>to enter an entire form."<br>Compliance requirements are<br>typically associated with IEPs and<br>not MTSS forms. Please list the<br>minimal MTSS compliance<br>requirements.   | There are no compliance requirements.   |
| (47)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.18 | "The MTSS Module shall provide a<br>single screen displaying key data<br>(dates of referral, evaluation, tier<br>date change, problem solving<br>dates, rate of improvement, etc.) for<br>easy access." What is meant by<br>the word "evaluation" in the MTSS<br>context?   | The MTSS module needs to<br>connect with the IEP<br>module so any evaluation<br>information can be<br>connected.  |
| (48)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.21 | "The MTSS Module shall contain a<br>user interface which can be easily<br>modified by authorized users as<br>business rules change to respond<br>to altered circumstances or<br>conditions. This would include, but<br>is not limited to, an easy way to<br>add, modify, remove, inactivate and<br>crosswalk codes, code<br>descriptions, locking/unlocking of<br>fields, etc." Codes are typically<br>associated with IEPs and Medicaid<br>billing. Specifically what codes are<br>the applicable codes in MTSS? | An example of codes and<br>code descriptions would be<br>an LEA level assessment,<br>not state test, which the<br>LEA would enter into the<br>system using a test code<br>and then the name of the<br>test as the code description.<br>When the test displays on<br>forms, the LEA's defined<br>code description will display<br>on forms as the test name.<br>NCDPI will work with the<br>selected vendor to<br>determine these<br>requirements. |
| (49)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.22 | "The MTSS Module should allow<br>authorized users at the LEA level<br>the option to customize business<br>rules that define the flow of data<br>entry in the business process but<br>maintain the rules applied to the<br>business process by NCDPI."<br>Please list any MTSS business<br>rules DPI wants implemented. In<br>the past five years NCDPI has not<br>requested any business rules on<br>any of the forms mentioned in BR-<br>2.1.9.  | NCDPI will work with the<br>selected vendor to<br>determine these<br>requirements.  |
| (50)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.29 | "The MTSS Module should collect<br>and allow modifications to a<br>directory of LEA contacts who may<br>or may not have access to the<br>MTSS Module." Please list what<br>modifications DPI would want to<br>make.   | The district would make all<br>modifications for personnel<br>changes. This includes but<br>is not limited to name<br>changes, contact address<br>changes and email address<br>changes.   |

| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION  | Answer   |  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| (51)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR.2.1.31               | "The MTSS Module shall support<br>the entry and assignment to<br>facilities of providers and their<br>associated services for the<br>reporting of personnel, service<br>delivery and Medicaid information.<br>This includes providers that may or<br>may not be users of the MTSS<br>Module. Providers may be<br>individual persons or a provider<br>organization." The MTSS process<br>is prior to the IEP process,<br>assignment of providers and<br>Medicaid billing. Please describe<br>the "service delivery and Medicaid<br>billing information" required in the<br>MTSS context.   | The IEP and MTSS<br>processes are not separate<br>as described in the<br>question. In terms of<br>service delivery, a provider<br>may have relevant<br>information that would be<br>available and housed within<br>the MTSS module (e.g.,<br>progress monitoring data for<br>small groups, diagnostic<br>assessment data, fidelity<br>data, etc.). IEP information<br>and MTSS information<br>should be connected. |  |
| (52)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.56-BR-<br>2.1.59 | "Integrate with PowerSchool" -<br>Since PowerSchool is multiple<br>instances does DPI want the<br>vendor to integrate with each<br>instance of PowerSchool to obtain<br>and send data? Presently to get<br>information from a district instance<br>the district has to load an API<br>written by the vendor. To push<br>data to PowerSchool the district<br>would be required to allow the<br>establishment of tables and the<br>ability for the vendor to write to the<br>tables. Or should data be<br>requested and received from the<br>PowerSchool data warehouse? If<br>from the data warehouse, what are<br>the interface specifications? | Data is needed from<br>PowerSchool in real time for<br>the entry and update of<br>student demographics.<br>ECATS should send data in<br>real time to PowerSchool to<br>populate EC and MTSS<br>data within screens viewed<br>by LEAs within<br>PowerSchool. The vendor<br>should propose the<br>methodology that best fits<br>their solution.  |  |
| (53)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.56               | "The MTSS Module shall integrate<br>with the Student Information<br>System (PowerSchool) to receive<br>new or changed demographic data<br>including LEA/School, or any other<br>data deemed Necessary, for<br>students." Our understanding is<br>PowerSchool cannot send only<br>changed information with the<br>version that exists in NC at the time<br>of these questions submission. Will<br>the version allowing only the<br>changes be in place in time for the<br>demonstration?   | ECATS modules must<br>consume any changes to<br>data that PowerSchool<br>sends whether it includes<br>changes only or complete<br>sets of data that have<br>changes applied after the<br>initial data has been placed<br>in the modules.   |  |

| ADDENDUM 02- VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION   | Answer  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| (54)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.59                   | "The MTSS Module shall integrate<br>with PowerSchool to send MTSS<br>data to PowerSchool." In order to<br>send data to PowerSchool tables<br>may have to be created in<br>PowerSchool. Who will be<br>responsible for the table creations<br>in all instances?   | The ECATS vendor will not<br>be responsible to table<br>creation within<br>PowerSchool instances.   |  |  |
| (55)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.60                   | "The ECATS Service shall receive<br>EC, MTSS, and Medicaid data from<br>outside sources (3rd parties) for<br>already established student<br>demographic records in the ECATS<br>Service. The ECATS Service shall<br>allow EC, MTSS, or Medicaid data<br>to be added, modified, or deleted<br>by outside sources based on rules<br>established in the ECATS Service."<br>What are the outside sources and<br>specifically what data is to be<br>received from these outside<br>sources? What rules are to be<br>established for reception of the<br>data? Which system is the<br>authoritative source should there<br>be discrepancies? | The vendor should propose<br>a methodology for importing<br>data from 3rd parties. The<br>3rd parties will be required<br>to meet the methodology<br>proposed. Rules, as<br>defined by the business<br>owner, for validating data<br>should be applied by the<br>vendor before data is<br>consumed into ECATS.<br>Data should not be allowed<br>into ECATS unless these<br>validations are met. Once<br>consumed into ECATS,<br>ECATS is then the<br>authoritative source of the<br>data. |  |  |
| (56)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR2.1.63,<br>1.1.63, 3.1.63 | "The MTSS Module shall notify the<br>old and new LEAs when a transfer<br>is received from PowerSchool and<br>when the transfer has been<br>completed by either LEA." Since<br>PowerSchool is the authoritative<br>source for transfers, shouldn't the<br>transfer notification come from<br>PowerSchool rather than the<br>Special Ed, MTSS and Medicaid<br>system?  | Different personnel are<br>responsible for working in<br>various systems. Users of<br>ECATS should be notified<br>by the modules they have<br>access to when students<br>transfer between LEAs.<br>This allows the user to be<br>sure that the transfer in<br>PowerSchool was made<br>within ECATS.   |  |  |
| (57)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.75                   | "The ECATS Service should<br>support migration of historical data<br>from systems outside of NCDPI into<br>the new system. This data may be<br>held in 3rd party systems<br>containing MTSS, Medicaid, and<br>EC data." Please identify what<br>systems, the data to be migrated<br>and the format.  | The vendor should propose<br>a methodology for importing<br>data from 3rd parties. The<br>3rd parties will be required<br>to meet the methodology<br>proposed.  |  |  |

| A                                 |   |  | <b>A</b>   |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| ADDENDUM 02- VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION   | Answer   |
| (58)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.76                               | "The ECATS Service shall be able<br>to process small to large amounts<br>of data without a degradation in<br>performance." The terms "small"<br>and "large" and "degradation" are<br>open to interpretation. Please<br>define these terms by specifying a<br>small amount of data, a large<br>amount of data and what would be<br>degradation. Does DPI mean the<br>total number of connections without<br>degradation or the total amount of<br>data transferred at an instant in<br>time or both? For example is<br>degradation something greater than<br>a three second delay between a<br>"small" and "large" amount of data<br>processed? | The vendor should define<br>these terms as they relate<br>to their proposed solution.  |
| (59)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.77, BR-<br>2.1.77, BR-<br>3.1.77 | "The ECATS Service shall be<br>optimized to take advantage of high<br>speed connectivity, but also deliver<br>superior performance when<br>connection speeds are significantly<br>lower." Please define "high speed"<br>and lower speed and what would<br>be considered to be "superior<br>performance."   | The vendor should define<br>these terms as they relate<br>to their proposed solution.<br>For example, high speed<br>connectivity means leased<br>lines, low speed would<br>mean dial up or 3g wireless.<br>By superior performance<br>would mean response time<br>would still stay around the<br>same. |
| (60)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.87                               | "The MTSS Module shall provide<br>Error reports containing data Errors<br>which need correction. These Error<br>reports shall be available for<br>downloading and printing and<br>provide a User-Friendly description<br>of each Error." Since the MTSS<br>forms differ from IEP and Medicaid<br>forms in these forms have no logic<br>or simply disallow the entry of<br>incorrect data, please identify what<br>errors DPI thinks would be<br>associated with the MTSS forms<br>data entry.  | The error report will contain<br>errors that are found in<br>validating business rules<br>and field values. An<br>example would be missing<br>attendance data within the<br>problem solving field.   |
| (61)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.147                              | "The Service shall integrate with<br>the NCDPI PBIS system to move<br>data between the two systems and<br>for the purpose of building reports<br>with data from both systems."<br>Please provide NCDPI PBIS<br>interface specifications and a list of<br>data that will be required to transfer<br>to NCDPI PBIS and from NCDPI<br>PBIS.   | The vendor should propose<br>the methodology for data<br>exchange that is best for<br>their proposed system. A<br>representative set of data to<br>be transferred includes<br>office discipline referrals<br>and PBIS implementation<br>data (ex: SET, BSET, TFI).                                     |

| ADDENDUM 02- VENDOR | CITATION   | QUESTION   | Answer   |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| QUESTION #          |  |  |  |
| (62)                | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.150 | "The MTSS Module shall collect<br>data on professional development<br>for teachers and other school staff<br>to enable such personnel to deliver<br>scientifically based academic and<br>behavioral interventions." What<br>specific "data on professional<br>development for teachers and other<br>school staff" is DPI requesting the<br>vendor to store? Is DPI requiring a<br>relationship between professional<br>development and interventions<br>delivery in the MTSS software? If<br>so, what professional development<br>is associated with the ability to<br>deliver each intervention? Has this<br>been decided at the DPI level yet? | A general data relationship<br>would not be required (e.g.,<br>documentation of PD would<br>not be necessary to provide<br>the intervention). The<br>following is a representative<br>list of general data: number<br>of sessions, contact hours,<br>course title, coaching<br>sessions, etc |
| (63)                | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.151 | "The MTSS Module shall collect<br>data on educational evaluations<br>related to CEIS." Please explain<br>what data should be collected.  | NCDPI will work with the selected vendor to determine these requirements.  |
| (64)                | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.152 | "The MTSS Module shall collect<br>data on behavioral evaluations<br>related to CEIS." Please explain<br>what data should be collected.   | NCDPI will work with the<br>selected vendor to<br>determine these<br>requirements.   |
| (65)                | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.153 | "The MTSS Module shall collect<br>data on educational services and<br>supports implemented as related to<br>CEIS." Please explain what data<br>should be collected.  | A representative list of fields<br>to be collected include:<br>descriptions of services and<br>supports, length of time<br>receiving service/support.  |
| (66)                | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.154 | "The MTSS Module shall collect<br>data on behavioral services and<br>supports implemented as related to<br>CEIS." Please explain what data<br>should be collected.   | A representative list of fields<br>to be collected include:<br>descriptions of services and<br>supports, length of time<br>receiving service/support.  |
| (67)                | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.164 | "The MTSS Module should allow<br>users to build a cost benefit<br>analysis of instructional practices<br>and programs." Does DPI want to<br>build a cost benefit analysis based<br>on instructional practices and<br>programs or on interventions and<br>their effectiveness?  | Both. This would be a<br>platform to aggregate and<br>then associate instructional<br>practices / programs across<br>the school, district, and<br>state with fidelity data and<br>with student outcome data.   |
| (68)                | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.9   | Does DPI and/or DMA have "official<br>business process forms?" If so,<br>please provide the appropriate form<br>number DMA.  | see BR-3.1.11 for a representative but not exhaustive list of forms  |

| ADDENDUM 02- VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION  | Answer  |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| (69)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.29               | "The Medicaid Module should<br>collect and allow modifications to a<br>directory of LEA contacts who may<br>or may not have access to the<br>Medicaid Module." Please list what<br>modifications DPI would want to<br>make.   | Modifications include the<br>addition, updating, and<br>removal of LEA contacts<br>data from the directory list.  |
| (70)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.56-BR-<br>3.1.59 | "Integrate with PowerSchool" Since<br>PowerSchool is multiple instances<br>does DPI want the vendor to<br>integrate with each instance of<br>PowerSchool to obtain and send<br>data? Presently to get information<br>from a district instance the district<br>has to load an API written by the<br>vendor. To push data to<br>PowerSchool the district would be<br>required to allow the establishment<br>of tables and the ability for the<br>vendor to write to the tables. Or<br>should data be requested and<br>received from the PowerSchool<br>data warehouse? If from the data<br>warehouse, what are the interface<br>specifications? | Data is needed from<br>PowerSchool in real time for<br>the entry and update of<br>student demographics.<br>ECATS should send data in<br>real time to PowerSchool to<br>populate EC and MTSS<br>data within screens viewed<br>by LEAs within<br>PowerSchool. The vendor<br>should propose the<br>methodology that best fits<br>their solution. |
| (71)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR 3.1.56               | "The Medicaid Module shall<br>integrate with the Student<br>Information System (PowerSchool)<br>to receive new or changed<br>demographic data including<br>LEA/School, or any other data<br>deemed Necessary, for students."<br>Our understanding is PowerSchool<br>cannot send only changed<br>information with the version that<br>exists in NC at the time of these<br>questions. Will the version allowing<br>only the changes be in place in<br>time for the demonstration?  | ECATS modules must<br>consume any changes to<br>data that PowerSchool<br>sends whether it includes<br>changes only or complete<br>sets of data that have<br>changes applied after the<br>initial data has been<br>consumed into the modules.  |
| (72)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.59               | "The Medicaid Module shall<br>integrate with PowerSchool to send<br>Medicaid data to PowerSchool." In<br>order to send data to PowerSchool<br>tables may have to be created in<br>PowerSchool. Who will be<br>responsible for the table creations<br>in all instances?  | The ECATS vendor will not<br>be responsible to table<br>creation within<br>PowerSchool instances.   |

| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION   | QUESTION   | Answer   |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| (73)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.60  | "The ECATS Service shall receive<br>EC, MTSS, and Medicaid data from<br>outside sources (3rd parties) for<br>already established student<br>demographic records in the ECATS<br>Service. The ECATS Service shall<br>allow EC, MTSS, or Medicaid data<br>to be added, modified, or deleted<br>by outside sources based on rules<br>established in the ECATS Service."<br>What are the outside sources and<br>specifically what data is to be<br>received from these outside<br>sources? What rules are to be<br>established for reception of the<br>data? Which system is the<br>authoritative source should there<br>be discrepancies?         | The vendor should propose<br>a methodology for importing<br>data from 3rd parties. The<br>3rd parties will be required<br>to meet the methodology<br>proposed. Rules, as defined<br>by the business owner, for<br>validating data should be<br>applied by the vendor<br>before data is consumed<br>into ECATS. Data should<br>not be allowed into ECATS<br>unless these validations are<br>met. Once consumed into<br>ECATS, ECATS is then the<br>authoritative source of the<br>data. |
| (74)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.75  | "The ECATS Service should<br>support migration of historical data<br>from systems outside of NCDPI into<br>the new system. This data may be<br>held in 3rd party systems<br>containing MTSS, Medicaid, and<br>EC data." Please identify what<br>systems, the data to be migrated<br>and the format.  | The vendor should propose<br>a methodology for importing<br>data from 3rd parties. The<br>3rd parties will be required<br>to meet the methodology<br>proposed.   |
| (75)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.76  | "The ECATS Service shall be able<br>to process small to large amounts<br>of data without a degradation in<br>performance." The terms "small"<br>and "large" and "degradation" are<br>open to interpretation. Please<br>define these terms by specifying a<br>small amount of data, a large<br>amount of data and what would be<br>degradation. Does DPI mean the<br>total number of connections without<br>degradation or the total amount of<br>data transferred at an instant in<br>time or both? For example is<br>degradation something greater than<br>a three second delay between a<br>"small" and "large" amount of data<br>processed? | The vendor should define<br>these terms as they relate<br>to their proposed solution.  |
| (76)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.174 | "The Medicaid Module shall<br>validate that services provided are<br>appropriate for the diagnosis."<br>Please provide a list of services the<br>State has approved as valid for<br>each diagnosis code.   | DPI is not aware of a list<br>like this. If it exists, it would<br>be a DMA resource.  |

| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION   | Answer  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| (77)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.175  | "The Medicaid Module shall insure<br>claims involving fully federally<br>funded therapist FTE are not<br>submitted." Will DPI be responsible<br>for providing a current list of<br>teachers at each district who are<br>federally funded? Would DPI want<br>the vendor to get this information<br>from each district's payroll<br>management system? If so, please<br>provide interface specifications for<br>these payroll systems. | No, the vendor would need<br>to work with the LEAs to get<br>that data.   |  |
| (78)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.189  | "The Medicaid Module shall provide<br>interfaces to various LEA financial<br>systems. The LEAs use several<br>accounting packages. The export<br>to the financial systems shall be in<br>the form of a flat file or an agreed<br>upon file format." Please provide<br>names of LEA financial systems<br>and interface specifics so costs can<br>be attached to this task. How many<br>different systems?                             | This question needs to be<br>addressed by each LEA<br>local finance team.   |  |
| (79)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.200  | "Checkwrite which is NOT X12N".<br>Please explain where the<br>"Checkwrite" information is to come<br>from and the interface<br>requirements.  | NCDPI will work with the selected vendor to define specific requirements.   |  |
| (80)                             | Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br>PRQ-16 | "The ECATS Service shall comply<br>with all state and federal laws and<br>mandates for protecting personally<br>identifiable information." Is DPI<br>requiring compliance with the<br>HIPAA Security Rule including<br>encryption at rest?   | Encryption is an<br>addressable item under<br>HIPAA. If at a minimum<br>your solution does not<br>include encryption of HIPAA<br>data at rest, NCDPI would<br>need to understand the<br>reasoning.        |  |
| (81)                             | Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br>OLS-3  | "The ECATS Service shall incur<br>minimal impact on the ECATS<br>Service when adding servers."<br>Please explain this in more detail<br>and define "minimal."  | Minimal impact means the<br>least amount of downtime<br>for users as is possible to<br>accomplish the task. The<br>vendor should define the<br>term minimal as they relate<br>to their proposed solution. |  |
| (82)                             | Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br>SEC-34 | "The ECATS Service should allow<br>protection of history logs from<br>unauthorized access." Is DPI<br>requiring the separation of the data<br>and the history logs per the HIPPA<br>Security Rule?   | HIPAA does apply to a certain subset of the data.   |  |

| STATE'S RESPONSE  |  |   |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |  |   |  |  |
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION #  | CITATION   | QUESTION  | Answer   |  |
| (83)  | Introduction pg.<br>5  | Does the NCDPI have a<br>requirement or expected timeline<br>for completion of the<br>implementation of the Special<br>Education, MTSS, and Medicaid<br>modules requested in this RFP?  | The timeline is dependent<br>upon the length of time for<br>BAFO and contract award<br>but we are currently<br>expecting January 2017 for<br>implementation for the<br>Special Education Module.<br>We expect Medicaid will be<br>implemented February 2017<br>and MTSS implementation<br>July 2017 or November<br>2017, MTSS<br>implementation date will be<br>dependent upon the<br>percentage of customization<br>needed. All dates are<br>approximations and subject<br>to change. |  |
| (84)  | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.22; BR-<br>2.1.22; BR-<br>3.1.22; BR-<br>1.1.23; BR-<br>1.1.23; BR-<br>2.1.23 | Question 1: The RFP does not<br>specifically reference that the<br>ECATS system will be mandated.<br>Appendix A, BRs-1.1.22, 2.1.22,<br>and 3.1.22 state, "The [Special Ed,<br>Medicaid, MTSS Module] Module<br>should allow authorized users at<br>the LEA level the option to<br>customize business rules that<br>define the flow of data entry in the<br>business process but maintain the<br>rules applied to the business<br>process by NCDPI." Appendix A,<br>BRs 1.1.23 and 2.1.23 state, "The<br>[Special Ed, Medicaid, MTSS<br>Module] should allow authorized<br>users at the LEA level the option to<br>customize forms but maintain the<br>rules applied to the forms by<br>NCDPI." Please confirm (a) if the<br>ECATS system/modules will be<br>mandated for usage by PK-13<br>entities, and (b) if not, will PK-13<br>entities be required to use the<br>ECATS system for federal<br>reporting? | The State does not<br>mandate the use of ECATS<br>except for required<br>submission of data as<br>determined by The State<br>such as federal and state<br>reporting. An example of<br>required data submissions<br>are Active Child Counts and<br>the Exited Child Count.  |  |

| A                                |  |   | <b>A</b>  |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION   | QUESTION  | Answer  |
| (85)                             | Section III.10.f -<br>Page 19  | Question 2: On page 19, Section III<br>10 f. Implementation Methodology,<br>the RFP states, "The Vendor must<br>describe their implementation<br>methodology and processes for<br>activating or enabling the ECATS<br>Service for a new PK-13<br>Educational Institution. The Vendor<br>must describe the roles and<br>responsibilities for the Vendor,<br>NCDPI and the PK-13 Educational<br>Institutions during implementation."<br>Is there (a) a preferred rollout<br>approach or order for implementing<br>the service modules? And (b) a<br>preference to roll out modules<br>tiered gradually or to all PK-13<br>entities at one time?         | The rollout will be a phased<br>approach beginning with the<br>Special Education module,<br>then Medicaid module, then<br>MTSS module. Each<br>module will be released to<br>all LEAs that choose to use<br>ECATS. Prior to<br>implementation of a<br>particular module, it will go<br>through a piloting stage with<br>PK-13 entities as chosen by<br>The State. |
| (86)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-1.1.20; BR-<br>2.1.20; BR-<br>3.1.20 | Question 3: In Appendix A (BRs-<br>1.1.20, 2.1.20, and 3.1.20) the RFP<br>states, "Click a link on the student's<br>demographic page that will email<br>the NCDPI helpdesk ticket logging<br>system. The link should allow the<br>user to select from a list of issues<br>that will be submitted in the email<br>along with other required fields<br>such as user email address. The<br>issue list should be configurable<br>and maintained by state level<br>administrative users." (a) Is the<br>NCDPI helpdesk ticket logging<br>system web-based? (b) If the<br>ECATS system provides a link, how<br>will users login to the ticketing<br>system? | The NCDPI helpdesk has<br>an email address that users<br>use when sending in tickets.<br>Users do not log directly<br>into the ticketing system.  |
| (87)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-1.1.24;BR-<br>2.1.24                 | Question 4: In Appendix A (BRs-<br>1.1.24 and 2.1.24) the RFP states,<br>"The [Special Ed and MTSS<br>Module] should allow users at the<br>LEA and school level to enter and<br>manage codes and code<br>descriptions on their customized<br>features in the [Special Ed and<br>MTSS Module]. These codes<br>should populate fields within<br>screens/forms based on the user<br>accessing the [Special Ed and<br>MTSS Module] Module." Please<br>clarify what is meant by codes and<br>code descriptions that would be<br>entered and managed in the<br>ECATS system?  | An example of codes and<br>code descriptions would be<br>an LEA level assessment,<br>not state test, which the<br>LEA would enter into the<br>system using a test code<br>and then the name of the<br>test as the code description.<br>When the test displays on<br>forms, the LEA's defined<br>code description will display<br>on forms as the test name.       |

| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION   | QUESTION   | Answer   |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| (88)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-1.1.120                              | Question 5: In Appendix A (BR-<br>1.1.120) the RFP states, "Indicator<br>8: The Special Education Module<br>shall collect data at the LEA/school<br>level and at state level of children<br>with IEPs based on a configurable<br>statistical formula." What is the<br>statistical formula?   | Currently, for Indicator 8 we<br>use the Schools Effort to<br>Partner with Parents Scale<br>(SEPPS) standard value of<br>600.  |
| (89)                             | Section III.c -<br>Page 16   | Question 6: On page 16, Section III<br>c., Medicaid Objectives, the last<br>sentence states, "The claims must<br>be processed without users having<br>to use the services of a third party<br>and without adding additional staff."<br>(a) Should responders include<br>pricing for the submission of<br>Medicaid claims for PK-13 entities<br>as a part of this response or only<br>provide pricing for the Medicaid<br>documentation module? (b) Please<br>confirm if PK-13 entities will be<br>required to bill through the vendor<br>that is awarded this RFP or if PK-<br>13 entities will have options to bill<br>through other methods? | NCDPI will work with the<br>selected vendor to<br>determine these<br>requirements. Proposal<br>must include price.<br>Medicaid billing is not<br>required but suggested.   |
| (90)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-1.1.44; BR-<br>2.1.44; BR-<br>3.1.44 | Question 7: Appendix A, BR-1.1.44,<br>BR-2.1.44 and BR-3.1.44 state,<br>"The [Special Ed, Medicaid, MTSS<br>Module] shall allow authorized<br>users to make mass changes to<br>fields, determined by NCDPI, in<br>student records accessible to them.<br>(Ex. case managers, providers)."<br>Please clarify what is meant by<br>mass changes.  | An example of a mass<br>change would be the<br>assignment of a new case<br>manager to several student<br>records. This change would<br>be applied across records<br>at one time without having<br>to open and update each<br>student record. |

|   | STATE'S RESPONSE  |  |   |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |   |  |   |  |
| Addendum 02- Vendor<br>Question #   | CITATION  | QUESTION   | Answer  |  |
| (91)  | Section III.<br>9.d.ix. page 18;<br>Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.56, BR-<br>2.1.56, BR-<br>3.1.56, BR-<br>3.1.64, BR-<br>2.1.64, BR-<br>3.1.65, 1, BR-<br>3.1.65, 1, BR-<br>3.1.65, 1, BR-<br>1.1.75, BR-<br>3.1.75, BR-<br>3.1.75, BR-<br>3.1.75, BR-<br>3.1.75, BR-<br>3.1.65, BR-<br>3.1.65, BR-<br>3.1.65, BR-<br>3.1.187, BR-<br>3.1.189 | Question 8: On page 18 of Section<br>III.9 Conversion of Data, the RFP<br>states, "The Vendor will be<br>responsible for ensuring that the<br>data conversion effort is given high<br>priority and that data validation and<br>data cleansing are key components<br>of the acceptance of the system."<br>There are a number of references<br>throughout the RFP to data from<br>other systems that will need to be<br>imported. Please clarify any<br>specific data elements described in<br>these references that will need to<br>be converted. | DPI will work with the<br>selected vendor to define<br>specific data to be<br>converted. The following list<br>is representative of the data<br>to be converted.<br>• Referral received by<br>school date<br>• Referral determination<br>date<br>• Conduct evaluation?<br>• Consent to evaluate date<br>• Consent to evaluate date<br>purpose<br>• Consent to evaluate<br>given?<br>• Evaluation purpose<br>• Eligibility date<br>• Eligible?<br>• Primary disability<br>• Private school non-<br>participation date<br>• Consent for placement<br>date<br>• Consent for placement<br>given<br>• Initial placement date<br>• Initial IEP/PSSP begin and<br>end dates<br>• Part C to B plan date<br>• Current and 3 most recent<br>IEP/PSSP begin and end<br>dates, LRE setting, list of<br>services, tests and<br>accommodations<br>• Transportation provider<br>• Transportation provider<br>• Transportation type<br>• All COSF data if student is<br><7<br>• PRC eligibility status and<br>most recent Student<br>Information Form<br>• Current Case Manager<br>• Current Closed/Verified<br>EC forms as pdf |  |

| ADDENDUM 02- VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION  | Answer   |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| (92)                              | Section IV, page<br>21  | Question 9: On page 21 of Section<br>IV. Pricing Proposal, the RFP<br>requests that vendors provide<br>applicable proposal costs. (a) What<br>is the proposed budget for this<br>RFP's scope of work? (b) How will<br>the MTSS portion of the scope of<br>work be funded? (c) What services<br>from this ECATS RFP are currently<br>being provided by the State? And<br>(d) What is the current budget for<br>all service components of the<br>State's current IEP system (e.g.,<br>license agreements, maintenance,<br>training, helpdesk, and other<br>support)?  | NCDPI will work with the<br>selected vendor to<br>determine these<br>requirements. The services<br>that are currently being<br>provided are IEP's.   |  |
| (93)                              | Section III. 10.n.,<br>page 21  | Question 10: In Section III.10.n.,<br>Product Interfaces, the RFP states,<br>"The Vendor must provide a list of<br>all Customers and their products<br>that the ECATS Service they are<br>proposing currently interfaces and<br>is operational, or interfaced with<br>and was operational within the last<br>3 years." We have over 3,000<br>clients who use one or multiple<br>products that are similar to the<br>proposed ECATS service. Would it<br>be acceptable for the respondent to<br>provide a detailed listing for North<br>Carolina clients at an individual<br>level and a rollup response by state<br>for non-North Carolina clients? | The State is looking to<br>review customer interfaces<br>with a statewide<br>implementation. A<br>representative list of your<br>customers with a statewide<br>implementation is sufficient.   |  |
| (94)                              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR-1.1.5, BR-<br>2.1.5, and BR-<br>3.1.5 | Per BR-2.1.5, will the NCDPI be<br>providing translation services for<br>the actual system fields and<br>descriptions or does the Vendor<br>need to provide the translations?   | The vendor is responsible<br>for translating from one<br>language to another. See<br>Appendix A Module Service<br>Specification BR-1.1.5, BR-<br>2.1.5, and BR-3.1.5.  |  |
| (95)                              | General   | Is there an existing system that this<br>solution will be replacing? If, so<br>which vendor solution is it<br>replacing?  | The State is currently using<br>a customized commercial<br>off the shelf product called<br>the Comprehensive<br>Exceptional Children<br>Accountability System<br>(CECAS) to house its<br>Special Education data.<br>CECAS is provided by<br>CORE ECS. There currently<br>is no statewide system for<br>MTSS or Medicaid. |  |

| STATE'S RESPONSE                 |   |   |  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
|                                  |   | NDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 201   | 15   |
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION  | Answer   |
| (96)                             | Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br>PRQ-8  | Is the NCDPI considering cloud-<br>based and browser-based solutions<br>or does the solution need to be<br>installed on-premise?  | The system is required to<br>be a Software as a Service<br>(SaaS) solution. See<br>Appendix B Module<br>Technical Specifications<br>PRQ-8.   |
| (97)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.20, BR-<br>2.1.20, and BR-<br>3.1.20   | Is there a need to integrate the<br>solution with a specific email<br>software package such as Outlook<br>or Gmail?   | The system is required to<br>send emails at times. The<br>vendor should propose<br>what is needed for this to<br>occur in their proposed<br>system. See Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications BR-1.1.20,<br>BR-2.1.20, and BR-3.1.20.   |
| (98)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specification<br>BR-1.1.83.1,<br>BR-1.1.83.2,<br>BR-1.1.84, and<br>PRQ-1  | On what technology platform does the ECATS ODS currently reside?  | The vendor awarded the<br>contract for the Special<br>Education Module is<br>responsible for developing<br>and maintaining the ECATS<br>ODS. This vendor will<br>determine its platform. See<br>Appendix A Module Service<br>Specification BR-1.1.83.1,<br>BR-1.1.83.2, BR-1.1.84,<br>and PRQ-1. |
| (99)                             | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specification<br>BR-1.1.56, BR-<br>1.1.58, BR-<br>1.1.61, BR-<br>2.1.56, BR-<br>2.1.59, BR-<br>2.1.61, BR-<br>3.1.56, and BR-<br>3.1.61 | Regarding the Student Information<br>System, will the Vendor be<br>responsible for developing the<br>integration to this system or only be<br>responsible for create the XML feed<br>in the SIS format? | The vendor is responsible<br>for developing integration<br>with the Student Information<br>System. See Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications BR-1.1.56,<br>BR-1.1.58, BR-1.1.61, BR-<br>2.1.56, BR-2.1.59, BR-<br>2.1.61, BR-3.1.56, and BR-<br>3.1.61.                                 |
| (100)                            | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specification<br>BR-1.1.6, BR-<br>2.1.6, BR-3.1.6   | Is there a need for the solution to<br>be mobile capable so that<br>assessments/scoring can be done<br>in the field and dashboard data be<br>presented on the go?                                       | The system must be<br>available web-based with<br>functionality accessible from<br>any computer including<br>PCs, MACs, and mobile<br>devices anywhere over the<br>internet. See BR-1.1.6, BR-<br>2.1.6, BR-3.1.6.   |

|                                  |  |   | -   |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION   | QUESTION  | Answer  |
| (101)                            | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.6, BR-<br>2.1.6, BR-3.1.6,<br>BR-1.1.17, BR-<br>2.1.17, and BR-<br>3.1.17   | Is there a need for the solution to<br>provide a web-based page to<br>display reports and a searchable<br>knowledgebase for schools,<br>providers, families or children?  | Yes. ECATS must be<br>completely web-based with<br>access to reports and<br>searchable news articles.<br>See Appendix A Module<br>Service Specifications BR-<br>1.1.6, BR-2.1.6, BR-3.1.6,<br>BR-1.1.17, BR-2.1.17, and<br>BR-3.1.17. |
| (102)                            | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.52, BR-<br>2.1.52, and BR-<br>3.1.52  | Is there a need to have schools,<br>providers, families or children log<br>into a portal to create or view the<br>details of a case or supporting<br>information?   | Families and students are<br>not considered users of the<br>system at this time. See<br>Appendix A Module Service<br>Specifications BR-1.1.52,<br>BR-2.1.52, and BR-3.1.52.   |
| (103)                            | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.15<br>"The Special<br>Education<br>Module should<br>allow multiple<br>users to<br>contribute to the<br>business<br>process per<br>student record,<br>and per<br>business<br>process form<br>within a student<br>record,<br>simultaneously.<br>This<br>incorporates<br>merging multiple<br>user updates<br>into a business<br>process form<br>without losing<br>changes made<br>by the various<br>users to the<br>form." | If there are 2 users updating a<br>student record simultaneously,<br>whose update will take priority, and<br>how would you want us to resolve<br>the conflict? Are you proposing a<br>locking mechanism? If yes, then<br>would you like a field-level lock or a<br>page-level lock? | The vendor should propose<br>what is needed for<br>simultaneous updating of a<br>record to occur in their<br>proposed system. See<br>Appendix A Module Service<br>Specifications BR-1.1.15<br>and BR-2.1.15.                          |

|                                  |  |   | A  |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION   | QUESTION  | Answer   |
| (104)                            | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.46<br>"The Special<br>Education<br>Module shall<br>maintain per<br>provider a<br>configurable<br>caseload list.<br>The caseload list<br>shall consist of<br>records based<br>on user access<br>and permission." | Need clarification if you are you<br>looking for a caseload list for the<br>Service Provider and/or Case<br>Manager. If it is a Service Provider,<br>there can be more than one service<br>provider for a student. Does the<br>student need to appear in all<br>service providers' caseload list? | Currently, both reports are<br>offered. One for Case<br>Manager of the record and<br>another for Service<br>Providers. Students can<br>show on more than one<br>Service Provider reports<br>dependent on how many<br>services are provided by<br>different people.   |
| (105)                            | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.64<br>"The Special<br>Education<br>Module shall<br>allow<br>assessment<br>data to populate<br>business<br>process forms<br>and reports<br>where needed."  | Will the assessment data be<br>imported from an external system<br>or should the Application have the<br>capability to give assessment to<br>students? If imported from another<br>application, which application will<br>the data be imported from and<br>integration method needed?             | ECATS does <u>not</u> need the<br>capability to give<br>assessments to students at<br>this time. Assessment data<br>may be part of the<br>integration with<br>PowerSchool and also need<br>to be imported from various<br>external systems. The<br>method to integrate and<br>import assessment data<br>should be proposed by the<br>vendor. See Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications BR-1.1.64,<br>BR-1.1.65, BR-1.1.65.1,<br>BR-2.1.64, BR-2.1.65, BR-<br>2.1.65.1, BR-3.1.64, and<br>BR-3.1.65.1. |

#### STATE'S RESPONSE TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR ANSWER CITATION QUESTION QUESTION # (106) Types of data that may be imported include LEA level assessment data, Appendix A academic, behavioral, Module Service disciplinary, observational Specifications data, etc.. The vendor BR-1.1.65 should propose the import The ECATS option that works best with Service shall their solution. allow users at state administrative level to import and export data What kind of data needs to be using manual imported - Demographics, and/or enrollment etc.? How is this import scheduled different from SIF integration? automated processes. The files contain predefined formats including, but not limited to, flat files and Excel files. At this current time, flat files are used." (107) Appendix A Module Service Specifications BR-1.1.121 "Indicator 9: The Special Education Is the State looking for a report that Currently, both reports are Module shall would display disproportionate offered. One for Case collect data at representation of racial and ethnic Manager of the record and the LEA/school another for Service groups in Special Education level and at population and related services? Providers. Students can state level to aid How will the state determine that show on more than one in the reporting the Identification of Ethnicity and Service Provider reports of Race is inappropriate? Who will dependent on how many disproportionate make the decision about the services are provided by representation of accuracy of the collected data? different people. racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification."

#### STATE'S RESPONSE TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR ANSWER CITATION QUESTION QUESTION # (108) Appendix B Module Technical This requirement is for the Specifications logging of server level **PRM-19** Are you looking for a Banner or a operating system messages The ECATS running Tickler, scrolling marguee and any internal messages Service shall kind of functionality? that the ECATS system use as a single needs available for server location to post level administrators. all system and logging messages (109) Appendix B Module The following plans need to Technical be submitted as part of the Specifications -This section talks about multiple vendor proposal: AMR-33 ECATS plans. Do we need to submit these Vendor Organization Chart. Technical plans as part of the RFP Response AMR-35 Implementation Specifications or post RFP? Plan, AMR-43 Data Administration, Migration Plan. Monitoring, and Reporting (110) Under Section III, 2 (d), the state requires the transferability of licenses. Does this imply that the state will no longer be the client Section III, 2 (d), NCDPI will pay for support. after the transfer of licenses? If so, what entity (ies) will hold ownership of the licenses? What entity will pay the annual support? (111) Does the section above imply that Please review the terms Section VII, the state is looking for a perpetual and conditions in Section particularly license rather than an annual VII, particularly Paragraph Paragraph 2 2. license? (112) Under Section III, 9, a3, the State Functional behavior refers to Behavior Support assessments, behavioral Services. Many districts have intervention plans, restraint Under Section different concepts in mind when data, and all associated III, 9, a3 they request BSS, can you please data collection elements will expand on the expectations the be the elements of this state has for this component? component. (113)Section III, 9, a6 requires identification of a funding source if ECATS must collect the Section III, 9, a6; one is provided for a particular funding source per child. Appendix A student. What is the source of this The State does want Module Service information and would it be ECATS to provide the Specifications available in the system? Does the source as well as the BR-1.1.144, BRstate want to identify both the amount of funding. See 1.1.144.1. source of funding as well as the BR-1.1.144, BR-1.1.144.1. amount?

|                                  |  | STATE'S RESPONSE   |   |  |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
|                                  | TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015              |  |   |  |
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION   | QUESTION   | Answer  |  |
| (114)                            | Section III, 9, a8   | Section III, 9, a8 indicates that the<br>ECATS system must integrate with<br>other systems in addition to the SIS<br>and the ODS. What are those<br>systems? Will data be exchanged<br>between these systems? One way<br>or two ways?  | Data exchanged between<br>systems outside of ECATS<br>will be "as deemed<br>necessary" and include, but<br>are not limited to, LEA level<br>assessment data,<br>academic, behavioral,<br>disciplinary, observational<br>data, etc These outside<br>systems may reside at<br>NCDPI or be 3rd party<br>systems at the LEAs. Data<br>may flow in one or both<br>directions based on need at<br>the time. The vendor<br>should propose the<br>methodology for data<br>exchange that is best for<br>their proposed system. |  |
| (115)                            | Section III, d (i);<br>Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br>PRQ-8.               | Based on Section III, d (i), the<br>vendor will host the application for<br>the state. Please confirm. Does<br>the state also want pricing for self-<br>hosting?   | No, ECATS is required to<br>be a vendor managed<br>software as a service<br>(SaaS). See Appendix B<br>Module Technical<br>Specifications PRQ-8.   |  |
| (116)                            | Section III, d (iv);<br>Appendix B<br>Module<br>Technical<br>Specifications<br>TNG-2 and<br>TNG-4. | Section III, d (iv) calls for training.<br>Will the training be performed at a<br>centralized location or at different<br>locations? Can the training be<br>provided during consecutive<br>business days with a defined start<br>date? | The vendor should provide<br>a training plan for all phases<br>of implementation. The<br>vendor should provide on-<br>site "Train the Trainer"<br>training for in-house staff as<br>well as LEA/Charter school<br>staff. See Appendix B<br>Module Technical<br>Specifications TNG-2 and<br>TNG-4.   |  |
| (117)                            | Section VII, 13  | Section VII, 13 refers to the<br>availability of funds. Does the state<br>currently have a budget for this<br>project and if so, please identify<br>what the budget is.  | Standard practice is the<br>Vendor proposes the<br>architecture solution based<br>upon the business needs<br>and requirements for which<br>cost is then determined.<br>NCDPI will work with the<br>selected vendor to<br>determine these<br>requirements.   |  |

#### STATE'S RESPONSE

#### TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015

| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION  | Answer   |  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| (118)                            | Section III 10) m<br>7  | On page 21, the number of<br>technical staff supporting,<br>maintaining, and managing the<br>products for references is<br>requested. Is this number to reflect<br>the technical staff of the reference<br>or the technical staff of the vendor?  | List the total number of the<br>reference technical staff<br>needed to support,<br>maintain, and manage the<br>product. List the total<br>number of the vendor<br>technical staff needed to<br>support, maintain, and<br>manage the product.<br>Provide the total of vendor<br>and reference staff needed<br>to support, maintain, and<br>manage the product.  |  |
| (119)                            | General   | What is the desired start date for the implementation?  | The timeline is dependent<br>upon the length of time for<br>BAFO and contract award<br>but we are currently<br>expecting January 2017 for<br>implementation for the<br>Special Education Module.<br>We expect Medicaid will be<br>implemented February 2017<br>and MTSS implementation<br>July 2017 or November<br>2017, MTSS<br>implementation date will be<br>dependent upon the<br>percentage of customization<br>needed. All dates are<br>approximations and subject<br>to change. |  |
| (120)                            | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.5, BR-<br>2.1.5, and BR-<br>3.1.5. | Appendix A requests "the ECATS<br>Service shall be available in many<br>languages and allow the user to<br>switch between languages.<br>Preferable languages include the<br>ASTM International translation list:<br>English, Espanola, Chinese,<br>Francais, Deutsch, Italiano,<br>Japanese, Korean, Portuguese,<br>and Russian" on all three tabs. Are<br>you requesting the ability to<br>translate forms into multiple<br>languages? Are you requesting the<br>ability to translate data entered into<br>forms? Both? Or something else? | The ECATS system as a<br>whole, including forms,<br>data, field labels, ext., must<br>be presented to the user in<br>the language the user<br>specifies. Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications BR-1.1.5,<br>BR-2.1.5, and BR-3.1.5.   |  |

## STATE'S RESPONSE

### TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015

|                                  |   |   | -   |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION # | CITATION  | QUESTION  | Answer  |
| (121)                            | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.19   | Appendix A requests "the ECATS<br>Service shall support electronic<br>signature functionality that complies<br>with the laws of The State of North<br>Carolina and is in keeping with The<br>State's network security standards"<br>on all three tabs. Who does the<br>state expect to gather electronic<br>signatures from (for example, staff,<br>parents, or both?) Can NCDPI<br>provide a use case? | Electronic signatures may<br>be used by staff, parents,<br>service providers, teachers,<br>and anyone else that signs<br>forms. An example of when<br>an electronic signature may<br>be used is when a parent<br>attends a meeting via the<br>phone and needs to sign a<br>form.  |
| (122)                            | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR 2.1.148 | Appendix A: BR 2.1.148 requests<br>"the MTSS Module shall contain a<br>component related to Coordinated<br>Early Intervening Services (CEIS)."<br>Does this refer to students who are<br>in need for Early interventions (not<br>meeting expectations) or for<br>students who are Year 3-4?   | NCDPI will work with the<br>selected vendor to<br>determine these<br>requirements. A<br>Coordinated Early<br>Intervening Services (CEIS)<br>component which collects<br>and reports on students in<br>kindergarten through grade<br>12 who are not currently<br>identified as needing<br>special education services<br>but are receiving early<br>intervening services. |
| (123)                            | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications:<br>BR 2.1.150 | Appendix A: BR 2.1.150 requests<br>"the MTSS Module shall collect<br>data on professional development<br>for teachers and other school staff<br>to enable such personnel to deliver<br>scientifically based academic and<br>behavioral interventions." What<br>types of data is NDDPI interested in<br>collecting? Where is this data<br>coming from?   | A general data relationship<br>would not be required (e.g.,<br>documentation of PD would<br>not be necessary to provide<br>the intervention). The<br>following is a representative<br>list of general data: number<br>of sessions, contact hours,<br>course title, coaching<br>sessions, etc  |
| (124)                            | General   | Can NCDPI confirm that the<br>ECATS will be able to obtain SIS<br>data from the PowerSchool ODS?  | Yes   |

| STATE'S RESPONSE<br>TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |  |  |   |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION #  | CITATION   | QUESTION   | Answer  |  |
| (125)   | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.91, BR-<br>1.1.92, BR-<br>1.1.93, BR-<br>1.1.93.1, BR-<br>2.1.93, 1, BR-<br>2.1.93, and BR-<br>3.1.93.1 | Can you clarify the requirements of the requested BI tool?   | "BI Tool" is a Business<br>Intelligence Tool allowing<br>users to access current as<br>well as historical data while<br>applying filters, sorting<br>criteria, slicing and dicing of<br>data in varying ways, and<br>allowing drill down to record<br>level capability. The BI Tool<br>must provide access to data<br>in the ECATS ODS. The<br>vendor of the Special<br>Education Module is<br>responsible for providing<br>the BI Tool and developing<br>and maintain the ECATS<br>ODS. Additional information<br>can be found in Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications BR-1.1.91,<br>BR-1.1.92, BR-1.1.93, BR-<br>1.1.93.1, BR-2.1.93, and<br>BR-3.1.93.1. |  |
| (126)   | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.110   | Regarding BR-1.1.110: Are LEA's<br>sending the NCDPI Indicator 4a<br>data—disciplinary data (including<br>suspension and expulsion data)?  | LEAs submit their discipline<br>data (including suspension<br>& expulsion data) through<br>Power School. A formula is<br>then applied to determine if<br>an LEA has a significant<br>discrepancy.   |  |
| (127)   | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.120.1   | BR-1.1.120.1: Indicator 8: The<br>ECATS Service shall transfer data<br>to the NCDPI ODS and produce<br>reports containing data at the<br>LEA/school level and at state level<br>of children with IEPs based on a<br>configurable statistical formula.<br>Although reporting for Indicator 8<br>cannot be produced within the<br>ECATS Service, the report of<br>children with IEPs will assist with<br>reporting the Indicator. Can you<br>provide an example of the<br>statistical formula you are<br>interested in applying? | Currently for Indicator 8, we<br>use the Schools Effort to<br>Partner with Parents Scale<br>(SEPPS) standard value of<br>600.   |  |

## STATE'S RESPONSE

### TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015

| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR |  | 1   | Avours   |
|--------------------|--|---|--|
| QUESTION #         | CITATION   | QUESTION  | Answer   |
| (128)              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-1.1.128                                 | BR-1.1.128: Indicator 14: The<br>Special Education Module shall<br>collect data at the LEA/school level<br>and state level of children with an<br>IEP that have exited secondary<br>school within a to-be-determined<br>timeframe. Although reporting of<br>Indicator 14 requires that the<br>students reported have been<br>competitively employed, enrolled in<br>some type of postsecondary<br>school, or both, within one year of<br>leaving high school, the Special<br>Education Service will not collect<br>this information. What are the<br>specific data elements is the state<br>interested in having the ECATS<br>system collect? What is the<br>process for the LEA's to provide<br>that data? | The vendor should propose<br>the process for collecting<br>the data. See this URL for<br>additional information:<br>http://ec.ncpublicschools.go<br>v/reports-data/state-<br>performance-plan/ind14.pdf  |
| (129)              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-2.1.157, BR-<br>2.1.158, BR-<br>2.1.159 | Can the state provide the MTSS<br>forms (Tier I, Tier II, and TIER III<br>Problem Solving forms) and any<br>associated business rules) they are<br>interested in having available in the<br>ECATS System?   | We will have example forms<br>for the selected vendor for<br>Tier II and III to put in the<br>system.  |
| (130)              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.31:                                 | The Medicaid Module shall support<br>the entry and assignment to<br>facilities of providers and their<br>associated services for the<br>reporting of personnel, service<br>delivery and Medicaid information.<br>This includes providers that may or<br>may not be users of the Medicaid<br>Module. Providers may be<br>individual persons or a provider<br>organization. Can the state clarify<br>this question and/or provide a<br>scenario to provide context for this<br>question?  | NCDPI requests that the<br>user be able to enter,<br>update and report on the<br>following information for<br>service providers: assigned<br>schools/sites; number of<br>students assigned/served;<br>number of IEP contact<br>hours assigned/served;<br>number of claims submitted;<br>number of visits missed. |
| (131)              | Appendix A<br>Module Service<br>Specifications<br>BR-3.1.11                                  | Can the state provide the Medicaid<br>forms and any associated business<br>rules they are interested in having<br>available in the ECATS System?  | See BR-3.1.11 for a representative but not exhaustive list of forms.   |

#### STATE'S RESPONSE TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR ANSWER CITATION QUESTION QUESTION # (132) Special Education data will need to be converted from SQL Server databases. Data must be converted from the current system housing Special Education data to the new Special Education Module in ECATS. DPI will work with the selected vendor to define specific data to be converted. The following list is representative of the data to be converted. Referral received by school date Referral determination date Appendix B: PRQ-44 requests 'The Conduct evaluation? Vendor shall collaborate with Consent to evaluate date NCDPI to develop and execute a Consent to evaluate transition plan for conversion from purpose NCDPI's current hosting Consent to evaluate environment to the vendor's hosting given? Appendix B Module environment.' What data is being Evaluation purpose Technical converted? What type of · Eligibility date Specifications databases (SQL Server, Oracle)? • Eligible? PRQ-44 Will the data be brought over 'as is' Primary disability or converted? What is the system Private school nonarchitecture of the current hosting participation date environment? What will the Consent for placement converted data/system be used date for? Consent for placement given Initial placement date Initial IEP/PSSP begin and end dates • Part C to B plan date Current and 3 most recent IEP/PSSP begin and end dates, LRE setting, list of services, tests and accommodations Transportation provider Transportation type • All COSF data if student is <7 · PRC eligibility status and most recent Student Information Form Current Case Manager Current Closed/Verified 44 EC forms as pdf

#### STATE'S RESPONSE TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR ANSWER CITATION QUESTION **QUESTION #** (133) Appendix B: TIN-6 requests "The Vendor shall be responsible for the design and development of all interfaces Necessary for the The vendor is responsible successful implementation and for design and development maintenance of the ECATS within ECATS of interfaces Service." Will the Vendor be Appendix B to move data to and from Module responsible for interfaces to/from ECATS. The vendor is not Technical systems other than ECATS? If a responsible for Specifications legacy NCDPI system requires a modifications to systems TIN-6 modification to one of their outside of ECATS to interfaces due to the establish interfaces within implementation of ECATS, will the these systems. Vendor be responsible for the design and development of interfaces for the legacy NCDPI system?

| STATE'S RESPONSE  |                                     |   |   |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |                                     |   |   |
| Addendum 02- Vendor<br>Question #   | CITATION                            | QUESTION  | Answer  |
|   | Page 18,<br>Section III,<br>#9.d.iv | Page 18, Section III, #9.d.iv<br>requests conversion of data from<br>existing systems. From how many<br>systems will data be converted?<br>What is the volume of data to be<br>converted? Can the State provide<br>data dictionaries for the 'to be<br>converted from' systems? | Data must be converted from<br>the current Special Education<br>system to the new ECATS<br>Special Education Module.<br>Special Education Module.<br>Special Education data for<br>three school year of ECATS<br>implementation must be<br>converted from the current<br>CECAS system. DPI will work<br>with the selected vendor to<br>define specific data to be<br>converted. The following list is<br>representative of the data to be<br>converted. The following list is<br>representative of the data to be<br>converted.<br>• Referral received by school<br>date<br>• Referral determination date<br>• Conduct evaluation?<br>• Consent to evaluate date<br>• Consent to evaluate purpose<br>• Consent to evaluate given?<br>• Evaluation purpose<br>• Eligibility date<br>• Eligible?<br>• Primary disability<br>• Private school non-<br>participation date<br>• Consent for placement date<br>• Consent for placement date<br>• Consent for placement date<br>• Consent for placement given<br>• Initial placement date<br>• Current and 3 most recent<br>IEP/PSSP begin and end<br>dates, LRE setting, list of<br>services, tests and<br>accommodations<br>• Transportation provider<br>• Transportation type<br>• All COSF data if student is <7<br>• PRC eligibility status and<br>most recent Student<br>Information Form<br>• Current Closed/Verified EC<br>forms as pdf<br>• Data dictionaries for COTS<br>products are proprietary and<br>NCDPI can't publish without<br>consent. |

|   |                                     | STATE'S RESPONSE  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |                                     |   |  |
| ADDENDUM 02-VENDOR<br>QUESTION #  | CITATION                            | QUESTION  | Answer   |
| (135)   | Section III, #10.c                  | Section III, #10.c requests a<br>proposed deployment<br>methodology. Will all schools in the<br>State be required to implement<br>ECATS? Will the State purchase<br>the licenses for all PK-13<br>Educational Institutions? Is there a<br>date by which the State requires<br>deployment to be complete?  | The NCSBE does not<br>mandate the use of ECATS<br>except for required<br>submission of data as<br>determined by The NCSBE.<br>An example of required<br>data submissions are Active<br>Child Counts and the Exited<br>Child Count. Licenses?<br>The NCSBE is seeking to<br>procure a license/access to<br>the system on behalf of and<br>for authorized users of the<br>NCSBE and all PK-13<br>Educational Institutions.<br>Please review the terms<br>and conditions in Section<br>VII. |
| (136)   | Section III, #10.n                  | Section III, #10.n requests a list of<br>all Customers and their products<br>that the ECATS Service the Vendor<br>is proposing currently interfaces<br>and is operational, or interfaced<br>with and was operational within the<br>last 3 years. This list will contain<br>many hundreds of items. Is it<br>sufficient to provide a<br>representative list with customer<br>contact information that will enable<br>the State to confirm that the<br>proposed ECATS solution can<br>interface with other systems<br>reliably? | The State is looking to<br>review customer interfaces<br>with a statewide<br>implementation. A<br>representative list of your<br>customers with a statewide<br>implementation is sufficient.   |
| (137)   | Section IV, #14<br>Section VII. #14 | Section IV, #14 states that<br>payments are subject to retainage<br>requirements. What are the<br>retainage requirements for this<br>contract?  | There is no Section IV, #14<br>but if you are referring to<br>Section VII., #14, this will be<br>negotiated with the selected<br>vendor during BAFO.   |
| (138)   | Section IV,<br>#23.aSection V<br>#2 | Section IV, #23.a describes the<br>State's post-training acceptance.<br>This paragraph implies that there is<br>one post-training acceptance<br>period and that there is not a<br>testing and acceptance period for<br>each PK-13 Educational Institution<br>– is that accurate?  | Section IV, #23 does not<br>relate to post-training<br>acceptance, but if you are<br>referring to Section V. #2,<br>this is a statewide<br>implementation.   |

| STATE'S RESPONSE  |          |  |  |  |
|---|----------|--|--|--|
| TO VENDOR QUESTIONS SUBMITTED<br>IN WRITING BY 2:00 PM ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015 |          |  |  |  |
| Addendum 02- Vendor<br>Question #   | CITATION | QUESTION   | Answer   |  |
| (139)   | General  | Will the state use the same forms<br>and documents for Pre-K and K-<br>12?   | <ul> <li>Special Education Module<br/>Answer = Yes, with the<br/>exception of the Pre-K Initial<br/>Referral;</li> <li>MTSS Module Answer =<br/>Yes;</li> <li>Medicaid Module Answer =<br/>Yes</li> </ul>  |  |
| (140)   | General  | Implementation Approach: Will all<br>modules, Special Education,<br>Medicaid, 504 and MTSS, be<br>implemented in ECATS<br>concurrently, or will there be<br>phased implementation? If phased,<br>do you have a preferred order or<br>approach? | The rollout will be a phased<br>approach beginning with the<br>Special Education module,<br>then Medicaid module, then<br>MTSS module. There is no<br>504 module in ECATS.<br>Prior to implementation of a<br>particular module, it will go<br>through a piloting stage with<br>PK-13 entities as chosen by<br>The State.  |  |
| (141)   | General  | Will each module be implemented<br>for all LEAs concurrently or will you<br>use a phased approach. If phased,<br>will you implement regionally, by<br>instructional level, or do you have<br>another implementation rollout<br>design in mind? | The rollout will be a phased<br>approach beginning with the<br>Special Education module,<br>then Medicaid module, then<br>MTSS module. Each<br>module will be released to<br>all LEAs concurrently that<br>choose to use ECATS. Prior<br>to implementation of a<br>particular module, it will go<br>through a piloting stage with<br>PK-13 entities as chosen by<br>The State. |  |

# End of Addendum 02