# School Improvement Plan



**2015-2016** 2015-2016 *through* 2016-2017

School Improvement Plans remain in effect for two years, but a School Leadership Team may amend as often as necessary or appropriate.

Draft Due: September 28, 2015 Final Copy Due: October 26, 2015



Greenway Park ES Contact Information					
School:	Greenway Park Elementary School	Courier Number:	398		
Address	8301 Monroe Road	Phone Number:	980.343.5060		
Address:	Charlotte, NC 28216	Fax Number:	980.343.5064		
Learning Community	Central	School Website:	http://schools.cms.k12.nc.us/greenwayparkES/Pages/Default.aspx		

Principal:	Anna Kuykendal Rusconi
Learning Community Superintendent:	Taralynn Sullivan

#### **Greenway Park Elementary School Improvement Team Membership**

From GS §115C-105.27: "The principal of each school, representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher assistants assigned to the school building, and parents of children enrolled in the school shall constitute a school improvement team to develop a school improvement plan to improve student performance. Representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher assistants shall be elected by their respective groups by secret ballot....Parents serving on school improvement teams shall reflect the racial and socioeconomic composition of the students enrolled in that school and shall not be members of the building-level staff."

Committee Position	Name	Email Address	Date Elected
Principal	Anna Rusconi	annam.kuykendal@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2014
Assistant Principal Representative	Hennie Driggers	h.driggers @cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2014
PreKindergarten Teacher Representative	Joyce Oliver	joyce.oliver@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2014
Kindergarten Teacher Representative	Jada Goodwin	jada.goodwin@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2014
1 <sup>st</sup> Grade Teacher Representative	Naomi Scheiperpeter	Naomi1scheiperpeter@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2015
2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade Teacher Representative	Cally Parke	cally.parke@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2014
3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade Teacher Representative	Veronica Bradshaw	veronica.bradshaw@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2014
4 <sup>th</sup> Grade Teacher Representative	Tanya Watson	tanyas.weyhrauch@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2015
5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Teacher Representative	Robin Tench	robinm.tench@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2015
Essentials Area Representative	Susan Riggs	Susan.riggs @cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2014



Support Staff Representative	Lisa Carden-Smith	lisak.smith@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2014
ESL Team Representative	Leslie Pruce	Leslie.pruce@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2015
Counselor	Elizabeth Terrell	elizabeth1.terrell@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2014
Facilitator, S. Kensicki, Literacy (K-5)	Sarah Shields	sarah.shields@cms.k12.nc.us	8/1/2014
Facilitator, S. Kensicki, MTSS	Erin Shoemaker	erin.labore@cms.k12.nc.us	8/21/2013
Teacher Assistant Representative	Sally Treveiler	sally.treveiler@cms.k12.nc.us	9/8/2015
Parent Representative	Tessie Dodrill		9/8/2015
Parent Representative	Kristy Burke		9/8/2015
Community Representative	Catherine Knuckles		8/21/2014



#### **Vision Statement**

**<u>District:</u>** CMS provides all students the best education available anywhere, preparing every child to lead a rich and productive life.

**School:** Greenway Park strives to be a nurturing and safe learning community, where creativity enhances academic achievement and fosters unique learning experiences. Our school is led by a dedicated, professional staff whose emphasis is on instilling positive character traits, while encouraging lifelong learning for all students.

#### **Mission Statement**

**<u>District:</u>** The mission of CMS is to maximize academic achievement by every student in every school.

**School:** We are building a community of lifelong learners where everyone succeeds.

#### **Greenway Park Elementary School Shared Beliefs**

- A warm, supportive structured environment that will enable students to take responsibility for their behavior and learning.
- Engaging all students in appropriate instructional activities to enhances their academic achievement.
- Increasing student accountability in academic and character development.
- Creating life-long learners



#### **Greenway Park Elementary School SMART Goals**

- 1. Provide a duty-free lunch period for every teacher on a daily basis.
- 2. Provide duty-free instructional planning time for every teacher under G.S. 115C-105.27 and -301.1, with the goal of proving an average of at least five hours of planning time per week, to the maximum extent that the safety and proper supervision of students may allow during regular student contact hours.
- 3. Provide a positive school climate, under CMS regulation JICK-R, by promoting a safe learning environment free of bullying and harassing behaviors.
- 4. Grade 3-5 Hispanic Reading: From BOY to EOY 2014-15, grade 3-5 Hispanic students will grow at least 1 year as measured by TRC data, common assessments, or MAP RIT scores
- 5. Grade K-5 SWD Reading: From BOY to EOY 2015-16, K-5 students with disabilities will grow at least 1 year as measured by TRC data, common assessments, MAP RIT, or EOG scores.
- 6. Grade K-5 Literacy: By the end of 2015-16 school year, grade K-5 students will grow at least 1 year as measured by TRC data, common assessments, or MAP RIT scores.
- 7. Grade 2-5 Math: From BOY to EOY 2015-16, students will grow at least 1 year as measured by math MAP RIT scores, common assessments, and EOG scores.
- 8. Grade 3- 5 Reading: By the end of the 2015-16 school year, Greenway Park Elementary School composite EOG reading score will increase by at least 15 percentage points.
- 9. Grade 3-5 Math: By the end of the 2015-16 school year, Greenway Park Elementary School composite EOG math score will increase by at least 15 percentage points.
- 10. Grade 5 Science: By the end of the 2015-16 school year, Greenway Park Elementary School grade 5 EOG science score will increase by at least 15 percentage points.
- 11. Grade K-5: By the end of the 2015-16 school year, office referrals will decrease by 25% from the previous year.



#### **Greenway Park Elementary School Assessment Data Snapshot**

Reading	ALL	AMIN	ASIA	BLCK	HISP	MULT	WHTE	EDS	LEP	SWD	AIG
Participation Denominator	300	2	1	151	93	12	40	176	46	35	9
Participation Percent	100	0	0	100	100	0	100	100	100	100	0
Participation Status	Met	Insuf.	Insuf.	Met	Met	Insuf.	Met	Met	Met	Met	Insuf.
Proficiency Denominator	256	2	1	126	82	10	34	148	37	33	9
Proficiency Percent	30.5	0	0	29.4	20.7	0	58.8	23.6	8.1	12.1	0
Goal Percent	55.1	43.2	69.3	40.4	43.0	56.5	65.2	42.9	27.6	30.3	92.5
Proficiency Status	Not Met	Insuf.	Insuf.	Not Met	Not Met	Insuf.	Met/CI	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Insuf.
Math	ALL	AMIN	ASIA	BLCK	HISP	MULT	WHTE	EDS	LEP	SWD	AIG
Participation Denominator	300	2	1	151	93	12	40	176	46	35	9
Participation Percent	100	0	0	100	100	0	100	100	100	100	0
Participation Status	Met	Insuf.	Insuf.	Met	Met	Insuf.	Met	Met	Met	Met	Insuf.
Proficiency Denominator	256	2	1	126	82	10	34	148	37	33	9
Proficiency Percent	38.7	0	0	32.5	41.5	0	55.9	30.4	18.9	15.2	0
Goal Percent	53.9	41.6	77.0	37.8	46.1	53.6	63.0	42.1	34.0	30.0	93.3
Proficiency Status	Not Met	Insuf.	Insuf.	Met/CI	Met/CI	Insuf.	Met/CI	Not Met	Met/CI	Not Met	Insuf.



Science	ALL	AMIN	ASIA	BLCK	HISP	MULT	WHTE	EDS	LEP	SWD	AIG
Participation Denominator	78	1	0	42	18	7	10	48	4	10	2
Participation Percent	100	0	0	100	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
Participation Status	Met	Insuf.	~	Met	Insuf.	Insuf.	Insuf.	Met	Insuf.	Insuf.	Insuf.
Proficiency Denominator	65	1	0	35	16	6	7	36	3	10	2
Proficiency Percent	49.2	0	0	54.3	0	0	0	44.4	0	0	0
Goal Percent	61.8	51.9	0	46.0	51.7	63.3	71.7	50.0	33.2	36.4	94.4
Proficiency Status	Not Met	Insuf.	~	Met	Insuf.	Insuf.	Insuf.	Met/CI	Insuf.	Insuf.	Insuf.
Attendance	ALL	AMIN	ASIA	BLCK	HISP	MULT	WHTE	EDS	LEP	SWD	AIG
Rate	99.5	~	~	-	~	-	~	~	~	~	~
Status	Met	~	-	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~



#### **Greenway Park Elementary School Profile**

Greenway Park Elementary is a Prekindergarten-Fifth Grade elementary school. It draws the majority of its students from the southeastern part of Mecklenburg County. Within this area are approximately ten apartment complexes; therefore, the numbers of students we serve often fluctuates due to the high mobility rate. We have approximately 39 students in our Prekindergarten program, 10 students in the Prekindergarten EC program, and 559 in K-5. The student make-up is socially and ethnically diverse and consists of 11.8% white, 51.5% African-American, 30.5% Hispanic, 2.0% Asian, 4.0% two or more races and 1% American Indian. Over 82% of our students are economically disadvantaged and our school is a Title I School.

Our faculty consists of 49 certified teachers. 29.5% of staff members have earned advanced degrees. 25% of staff has over 20 years of experience. The support staff includes: full-time art, music, media, and PE specialists, a part-time TD catalyst teacher, one assistant principal, two EC teachers, one Prekindergarten EC teacher, three EC assistants, three Title I Prekindergarten (Bright Beginnings) teachers, one and a half speech pathologist, two ESL teachers, one school counselor, an itinerant school psychologist, an itinerant therapist, one parent advocate, one translator, one academic Facilitator, a MTSS Facilitator, one reading tutor, four Instructional Assistants, an itinerant physical therapist, and an itinerant occupational therapist.

In reading proficiency, the overall score was 30.5%. In math proficiency, the overall score was 38.7% in the 2014-2015 school year. In science the overall score was 49.2% in the 2014-2015 school year. Greenway Park Elementary School's proficiency status was "Not Met."



#### Strategic Plan 2018: For a Better Tomorrow

Goal 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment for every child to graduate college- and career-ready  Four focus areas:  I. College- and career-readiness II. Academic growth/high academic achievement III. Access to rigor IV. Closing achievement gaps	Goal 2: Recruit, develop, retain and reward a premier workforce  Five focus areas:  I. Proactive recruitment II. Individualized professional development III. Retention/quality appraisals IV. Multiple career pathways V. Leadership development
Goal 3: Cultivate partnerships with families, businesses, faith-based groups and community organizations to provide a sustainable system of support and care for each child  Three focus areas:  I. Family engagement II. Communication and outreach III. Partnership development	Goal 4: Promote a system-wide culture of safety, high engagement, cultural competency and customer service  Five focus areas:  I. Physical safety  II. Social and emotional health  III. High engagement  IV. Cultural competency  V. Customer service
Goal 5: Optimize district performance and accountability by strengthening data use, processes and systems	<b>Goal 6:</b> Inspire and nurture learning, creativity, innovation and entrepreneurship through technology and strategic school redesign
Four focus areas:  I. Effective and efficient processes and systems II. Strategic use of district resources III. Data integrity and use IV. School performance improvement	Four focus areas:  I. Learning everywhere, all the time II. Innovation and entrepreneurship III. Strategic school redesign IV. Innovative new schools



SMART Goal (1): Duty Free Lunch for Teachers	Provide a duty-free lunch period for every teacher on a daily basis.
Strategic Plan Goal:	Goal 2: Recruit, develop, retain and reward a premier workforce
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Area 3: Retention/quality appraisals
Data Used:	Master schedule, teacher retention

Strategies (determined by what data)  • Task  • Task  • Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
Employment of lunch monitor	Principal, A. Rusconi	Employment of monitor with Human Resources approval to provide coverage	CMS	Lunch monitor	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
Implement PBIS behavior plan with Panther PRIDE	Principal, A. Rusconi	Reduced referrals in cafeteria	None	All staff	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
Implement support staff schedule	Principal, A. Rusconi	Reduced referrals in cafeteria	None	Support staff	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



SMART Goal (2): Duty Free Instructional Planning Time	Provide duty-free instructional planning time for every teacher under G.S. 115C-105.27 and - 301.1, with the goal of proving an average of at least five hours of planning time per week, to the maximum extent that the safety and proper supervision of students may allow during regular student contact hours.
Strategic Plan Goal:	Goal 2: Recruit, develop, retain and reward a premier workforce
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Area 2: Individualized professional development
	Area 3: Retention/quality appraisals
Data Used:	Master schedule, teacher retention

Strategies	s (determined by what data) (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
Area c	e 50-minute Special lasses in master lar (Monday-Friday ule)	Principal, A. Rusconi	Special Area classes will result in positive achievement in elective classes	None	Special Area Teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
MTSS for sup plannir	e a K-5 literacy and a Facilitator, S. Kensicki port in instructional ng, data analysis, and mic interventions	Principal, A. Rusconi	More individualized support for teachers for their grade levels and students	Title I funding	<ul><li>K-5     Facilitator</li><li>MTSS     Facilitator</li></ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
day lite data, 2	e planning calendar: 1 eracy, 1 day math, 1 day 2 days for individual er planning	Principal, A. Rusconi	<ul><li>Planning time with a single focus</li><li>Time for individual teacher planning</li></ul>	Title I funding	<ul><li>K-5     Facilitator</li><li>MTSS     Facilitator</li></ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



	<u> </u>
SMART Goal (3):	Provide a positive school climate, under CMS regulation JICK-R, by promoting a safe learning
Anti-Bullying / Character Education	environment free of bullying and harassing behaviors.
Strategic Plan Goal:	Goal 4: Promote a system-wide culture of safety, high engagement, cultural competency and
	customer service
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Area 1: Physical safety
	Area 2: Social and emotional health
Data Used:	Referral and suspension data, Insight Survey, teacher retention

Strategies (determined by what data)  • Task  • Task  • Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
Bully Liaison/Bully-prevention     PBIS     Morning meetings     Community meetings     Small group guidance and individual interventions	<ul> <li>School Counselor, L. Terrell</li> <li>Psychologist, L. C-Smith</li> <li>PBIS Coach, E. Shoemaker</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced bully reports</li> <li>Reduced repeat offenders of bullying</li> <li>Student safety survey</li> </ul>	None	<ul> <li>Counselor</li> <li>Psychologist</li> <li>PBIS         <ul> <li>Coach</li> <li>Assistant         <ul> <li>Principal</li> </ul> </li> <li>All staff</li> </ul></li></ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>2. Character Education</li> <li>PBIS</li> <li>Morning meetings</li> <li>Character Ed classes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>School Counselor, L. Terrell</li> <li>PBIS Coach, E. Shoemaker</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced office referrals and suspensions</li> <li>PBIS lessons</li> <li>Completion of Character Ed classes</li> </ul>	None	<ul> <li>Counselor</li> <li>Psychologist</li> <li>PBIS Coach</li> <li>Assistant Principal</li> <li>Classroom Teachers</li> </ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



	<u></u>				<u> </u>
<ul> <li>3. Healthy Active Child 30 min.</li> <li>30 minute physical activity time daily (P.A.T.)</li> <li>50 minute P.E. time for each class weekly</li> </ul>	Physical Education Teacher, P. Springs	<ul><li>Reduced office referrals and suspensions</li><li>Master schedule</li></ul>	Achieve 225 Grant	<ul><li>P.E. Teacher</li><li>K-5 Teachers</li></ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
Quarterly PRIDE incentive programs	Principal, A. Rusconi	Reduced office referrals and suspensions	None	<ul><li>PBIS Coach</li><li>PBIS Committee</li></ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>PBIS Coach</li> <li>Work and support staff to ensure that PBIS is implemented with fidelity</li> </ul>	Principal, A. Rusconi	Reduced office referrals and suspensions	None	<ul><li>PBIS Coach</li><li>PBIS Committee</li></ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
PBIS Committee     Revise and implement behavior matrix to improve the learning environment	<ul> <li>PBIS Coach, E. Shoemaker</li> <li>School Counselor, L. Terrell</li> <li>PBIS Committee</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced office referrals and suspensions</li> <li>Staff and student survey responses</li> </ul>	None	<ul><li>PBIS Coach</li><li>PBIS Committee</li></ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul><li>7. Classroom and Morning Meetings</li><li>Professional Development</li></ul>	<ul> <li>School Counselor, L. Terrell</li> <li>School Psychologist, L. C-Smith</li> </ul>	Reduced office referrals and suspensions	None	K-5 Teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



8. Classroom Management	<ul> <li>Assistant</li> </ul>	Reduced office referrals and	None	<ul><li>Assistant</li></ul>	Aug. 2015,
Professional Development for	Principal, H.	suspensions		Principal	Jan. 2016,
new teachers	Driggers			• CMS BTSB	June 2016
				<ul><li>New</li></ul>	
				Teachers	





SMART Goal (4):	<b>Grade 3-5 Hispanic Reading:</b> From BOY to EOY 2015-16, grade 3-5 Hispanic students will grow at least 1 year as measured by TRC data, common assessments, or MAP RIT scores
Strategic Plan Goal:	Goal 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment for every child to graduate college- and career-ready Goal 5: Optimize district performance and accountability by strengthening data use,
	processes and systems
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Goal 1 Area 1: College- and career-readiness Goal 1 Area 2: Academic growth/high academic achievement Goal 1 Area 4: Closing achievement gaps Goal 5 Area 3: Data integrity and use
Data Used:	EOG 2014-15: 31.5% proficiency; MAP, Reading 3D, EOG, Common Assessments

Strategies (determined by what data)  • Task  • Task  • Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
<ul> <li>Data analysis process</li> <li>Data meetings at least once every five day planning rotation.</li> <li>Common assessments created and data used to create small group instruction for remediation and acceleration.</li> <li>Begin utilization of DDI process grades K-5</li> <li>Grade 2-5 students create a MAP growth goal chart.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator, S. Kensicki</li> <li>Assistant Principal, H. Driggers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Team planning meetings utilizing data analysis process, MAP data, Reading 3D data</li> <li>Goal setting by staff and students</li> </ul>	None	• ESL Teachers • K-5 Teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



SIOP     Training offered through school-based professional development	ESL Teachers, L. Pruce; C. McGhee	<ul><li>SIOP PD rosters</li><li>SIOP strategies in classrooms</li></ul>	None	All Staff	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
Use LLI materials to supplement classroom instruction     Data analysis at individual data meetings	ESL Teacher, L. Pruce	<ul> <li>Literacy instruction for ESL students using LLI kits</li> <li>Re-teaching and enrichment</li> <li>Mastery Connect data analysis</li> </ul>	Title I	<ul> <li>Grade 3-5 Teachers</li> <li>ESL Teachers</li> <li>Title I reading tutors</li> </ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>4. MTSS (RTI) process</li> <li>Begin to implement the MTSS process at GPES by creating tiered interventions for level 1, 2, 3 students</li> <li>Tier 2 students team discussions monthly during data planning</li> </ul>	MTSS Facilitator, E. Shoemaker	<ul> <li>Intervention Team led by MTSS Facilitator, S. Kensicki</li> <li>Tiered interventions for levels 1, 2, &amp; 3 for academics and behavior</li> <li>Progress monitoring</li> </ul>	Title I	<ul> <li>Grade 3-5 Teachers</li> <li>ESL Teachers</li> <li>Title I reading tutors</li> </ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>5. APTT Program</li> <li>Conduct meetings 3 times per year for parent goal setting</li> <li>Train parents in grade-level specific foundational skills</li> </ul>	K-5 Teachers	<ul> <li>Parent attendance at meetings</li> <li>Parent Education Materials</li> </ul>	Title I	K-5 Teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



SMART Goal (5):	Grade K-5 SWD Reading: From BOY to EOY 2015-16, K-5 students with disabilities will							
	grow at least 1 year as measured by TRC data, common assessments, MAP RIT, or EOG							
	cores.							
Strategic Plan Goal:	oal 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment							
	for every child to graduate college- and career-ready							
	Goal 5: Optimize district performance and accountability by strengthening data use,							
	processes and systems							
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Goal 1 Area 1: College- and career-readiness							
	Goal 1 Area 2: Academic growth/high academic achievement							
	Goal 1 Area 4: Closing achievement gaps							
	Goal 5 Area 3: Data integrity and use							
Data Used:	EOG 2014-15: 17.5% proficiency; MAP, Reading 3D, EOG, Common Assessments							

Strategies (determined by what data)  • Task  • Task  • Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
<ul> <li>Data analysis process</li> <li>Data meetings at least monthly during planning.</li> <li>Common assessments are created and data is used to create small group instruction for remediation and acceleration.</li> <li>Begin utilization of DDI process grades K-5</li> <li>Grade 2-5 students create a MAP growth goal chart.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>EC         Teachers, C.         Rogers; K.         Stadtmiller</li> <li>Assistant         Principal, H.         Driggers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Team planning meetings utilizing data analysis process, MAP data, Reading 3D data</li> <li>Goal setting by staff and students</li> <li>Re-teaching and enrichment</li> <li>Mastery Connect data analysis</li> </ul>	None	• EC Teachers • K-5 Teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



<ul><li>2. Inclusive practices</li><li>EC Inclusion schedule</li></ul>	EC     Teachers, C.     Rogers; K.     Stadtmiller	<ul><li>Evidence of inclusive practices</li><li>Inclusion schedule</li></ul>	None	Grade 3-5 Teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>Guided Reading</li> <li>Guided reading PD</li> <li>Training on grouping students for guided reading</li> </ul>	Facilitator, S. Kensicki	<ul> <li>Attendance at Guided Reading training</li> <li>Guided Reading grouping plans</li> <li>Flex Guided Reading across grade levels</li> </ul>	None	• Grade 3-5 Teachers • EC Teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>Use LLI materials to supplement classroom instruction</li> <li>Data analysis at individual data meetings</li> </ul>	ESL Teacher, L. Pruce	<ul> <li>Literacy instruction for EC students using LLI kits</li> <li>Re-teaching and enrichment</li> <li>Mastery Connect data analysis</li> </ul>	Title I	<ul> <li>Grade 3-5 Teachers</li> <li>ESL Teachers</li> <li>Title I reading tutors</li> </ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



SMART Goal (6):	Grade K-5 Literacy: By the end of 2015-16 school year, grade K-5 students will grow at least						
	rear as measured by TRC data, common assessments, or MAP RIT scores						
Strategic Plan Goal:	Goal 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment for every child to graduate college- and career-ready						
	Goal 5: Optimize district performance and accountability by strengthening data use, processes and systems						
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Goal 1 Area 2: Academic growth/high academic achievement Goal 1 Area 3: Access to rigor Goal 1 Area 4: Closing achievement gaps						
Data Used:	Goal 5 Area 3: Data integrity and use BOY 2014 TRC, Reading 3D, MAP data, Common Assessment Data, Dibels						

Strategies (determined by what data)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
<ul> <li>Data analysis process</li> <li>Data meetings at least once every five day planning rotation.</li> <li>Common assessments are created and the data is used to create small group instruction for remediation and acceleration.</li> <li>Utilization of Mastery Connect for grades 2-5</li> <li>Students create a MAP growth goal chart.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator, S. Kensicki</li> <li>MTSS     Facilitator, E. Shoemaker</li> <li>Principal, A. Rusconi</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Team planning meetings utilizing data analysis process, MAP data, Reading 3D data, Common Assessments</li> <li>Goal setting by staff and students</li> </ul>	None	K-5 Teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



<ul> <li>2. MTSS (RTI) process</li> <li>Implement the MTSS process at GPES by creating tiered interventions for level 1, 2, 3 students</li> <li>Tier 2 students team discussions weekly</li> <li>PD on PEPs</li> <li>Utilization of LLI kits in grades K-5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MTSS Facilitator, E. Shoemaker</li> <li>School Counselor, L. Terrell</li> <li>School Psychologist, L. C-Smith</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intervention Team led by MTSS Facilitator</li> <li>Tiered interventions for levels 1, 2, &amp; 3 for academics and behavior</li> <li>Tier 2 students team discussions weekly</li> <li>Progress monitoring</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Title I funding for tutor</li> <li>Extended Day funds</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>K-5 teachers</li> <li>Title I reading tutor</li> </ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>3. Balanced Literacy</li> <li>Team literacy meetings once every five day planning rotation.</li> <li>Professional development</li> </ul>	Facilitator, S. Kensicki	<ul> <li>Professional development on Readers Workshop</li> <li>Support in planning</li> <li>Creating classroom libraries</li> <li>Guided Reading</li> <li>Word Work</li> <li>Re-teaching and enrichment</li> </ul>	None	K-5 teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



SMART Goal (7):	Grade 2-5 Math: From BOY to EOY 2015-16, students will grow at least 1 year as measured						
	by math MAP RIT scores, common assessments, and EOG scores						
Strategic Plan Goal:	Goal 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment for every child to graduate college- and career-ready						
	Goal 5: Optimize district performance and accountability by strengthening data use,						
	processes and systems						
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	oal 1 Area 2: Academic growth/high academic achievement						
	Goal 1 Area 3: Access to rigor						
	pal 1 Area 4: Closing achievement gaps						
	Goal 5 Area 3: Data integrity and use						
Data Used:	MAP Assessment 2 <sup>nd</sup> 79% on grade level; EOG Math 2014-15 Grades 3-5 47.2% proficient;						
	Common Assessments						

Strategies (determined by what data)  • Task  • Task  • Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
<ul> <li>Data analysis process</li> <li>Data meetings once every five day planning rotation.</li> <li>Common assessments created and data is used to create small group instruction for remediation and acceleration.</li> <li>Utilization of data tracker for grades 2-5</li> <li>All students create a MAP growth goal chart.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator, S. Kensicki</li> <li>MTSS     Facilitator, E. Shoemaker</li> <li>Principal, A. Rusconi</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Team planning meetings utilizing data analysis process, MAP data, Reading 3D data, Common Assessments</li> <li>Goal setting by staff and students</li> </ul>	None	K-5 Teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



<ul> <li>MTSS (RTI) process</li> <li>Implement the MTSS process at GPES by creating tiered iinterventions for level 1, 2, 3 students</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MTSS         <ul> <li>Facilitator, E.</li> <li>Shoemaker</li> </ul> </li> <li>School         <ul> <li>Counselor,</li> <li>Terrell</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intervention Team led by Facilitator</li> <li>Tiered interventions for levels 1, 2, &amp; 3 for academics and behavior</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Title I funding for tutor</li> <li>Extended Day funds</li> </ul>	<ul><li>K-5 teachers</li><li>Title I tutor</li></ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>Tier 2 students team discussions weekly</li> <li>PD on PEPs</li> <li>Utilization of LLI kits in grades K-5</li> </ul>	School     Psychologist,     L. C-Smith	<ul> <li>Tier 2 students team discussions weekly</li> <li>Progress monitoring</li> <li>PD on PEPs</li> </ul>	Turius		
<ul><li>3. Math Journaling</li><li>Support in planning</li><li>Journals graded with rubrics</li></ul>	Facilitator,     S. Kensicki	<ul> <li>Completed math journals for students at end of school year</li> <li>Rubrics as artifacts</li> </ul>	None		Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>4. Departmentalization</li> <li>Focused supplies &amp; resources</li> <li>PD</li> <li>Planning</li> </ul>	Principal, A.     Rusconi	Math scores will improve and each student's level of proficiency will grow	None	Grade 3-5 teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



SMART Goal (8):	<b>Grade 3- 5 Reading:</b> By the end of the 2015-16 school year, Greenway Park Elementary School composite EOG reading score will increase by at least 15 percentage points.					
Strategic Plan Goal:	Goal 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment					
	for every child to graduate college- and career-ready					
	Goal 5: Optimize district performance and accountability by strengthening data use,					
	processes and systems					
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Soal 1 Area 1: College- and career-readiness					
	Goal 1 Area 2: Academic growth/high academic achievement					
	Goal 1 Area 4: Closing achievement gaps					
	Goal 5 Area 3: Data integrity and use					
Data Used:	EOG Reading Scores 2014-15: 42.7% proficient; MAP, EOG, Common Assessments					

Strategies (determined by what data)  • Task  • Task  • Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
<ul> <li>Data analysis process</li> <li>Data meetings once every five day planning rotation.</li> <li>Common assessments are created and data is used to create small group instruction for remediation and acceleration.</li> <li>Utilization of data tracker for grades 2-5</li> <li>All students create a MAP growth goal chart.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator, S. Kensicki</li> <li>MTSS     Facilitator, E. Shoemaker</li> <li>Principal, A. Rusconi</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Team planning meetings utilizing data analysis process, MAP data, Reading 3D data, Common Assessments</li> <li>Goal setting by staff and students</li> </ul>	None	Grade 3-5 teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



<ul> <li>2. MTSS (RTI) process</li> <li>Implement the MTSS process at GPES by creating tiered interventions for level 1, 2, 3 students</li> <li>Tier 2 students team discussions weekly</li> <li>PD on PEPs</li> <li>Utilization of LLI kits in grades K-5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MTSS     Facilitator, E.     Shoemaker</li> <li>School     Counselor,     L. Terrell</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intervention Team led by Facilitator</li> <li>Tiered interventions for levels 1, 2, &amp; 3 for academics and behavior</li> <li>Tier 2 students team discussions weekly</li> <li>Progress monitoring</li> <li>PD on PEPs</li> </ul>	Title I funding for tutor	<ul> <li>Grade 3-5</li> <li>teachers</li> <li>Title I</li> <li>Reading</li> <li>Tutor</li> </ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>3. Guided Reading</li> <li>Team literacy meetings once every five day planning rotation.</li> <li>Professional development</li> </ul>	Facilitator,     S. Kensicki	<ul> <li>Team planning meetings</li> <li>Goal setting by staff and students</li> <li>Re-teaching and enrichment</li> </ul>	None	Grade 3-5 teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
4. Extended Day Program  • Extended Day Program funds will be used to hire a part time tutor to work with students who need targeted literacy interventions (e.g., LLI)	Principal, A. Rusconi	Literacy instruction weekly for identified students using LLI kits	CMS Extended Day Program funds	Extended DayTutor	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



SMART Goal (9):	Grade 3-5 Math: By the end of the 2015-16 school year, Greenway Park Elementary School						
	composite EOG math score will increase by at least 15 percentage points.						
Strategic Plan Goal:	al 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment every child to graduate college- and career-ready						
	al 5: Optimize district performance and accountability by strengthening data use, ocesses and systems						
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	oal 1 Area 2: Ácademic growth/high academic achievement oal 1 Area 3: Access to rigor						
	Goal 1 Area 4: Closing achievement gaps						
	Goal 5 Area 3: Data integrity and use						
Data Used:	EOG Math Scores 2014-15: 47.8% proficient: MAP, Common Assessments						

Strategies (determined by what data)  • Task  • Task  • Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
<ul> <li>Data analysis process</li> <li>Data meetings once every five day planning rotation.</li> <li>Common assessments are created and data is used to create small group instruction for remediation and acceleration.</li> <li>Utilization of data tracker for grades 3-5</li> <li>All students create a MAP growth goal chart.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator, S. Kensicki</li> <li>MTSS         <ul> <li>Facilitator, E. Shoemaker</li> <li>Principal, A. Rusconi</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Team planning meetings utilizing data analysis process, MAP data, Common Assessments</li> <li>Goal setting by staff and students</li> </ul>	None	K-5 Teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



<ul> <li>MTSS (RTI) process</li> <li>Implement the MTSS process at GPES by creating tiered interventions for level 1, 2, 3 students</li> <li>Tier 2 students team discussions weekly</li> <li>PD on PEPs</li> <li>Utilization of LLI kits in grades 3-5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MTSS         <ul> <li>Facilitator, E.</li> <li>Shoemaker</li> </ul> </li> <li>School         <ul> <li>Counselor,</li> <li>Terrell</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intervention Team led by Facilitator</li> <li>Tiered interventions for levels 1, 2, &amp; 3 for academics and behavior</li> <li>Tier 2 students team discussions weekly</li> <li>Progress monitoring</li> <li>PD on PEPs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Title I funding for tutor</li> <li>Extended Day funds</li> </ul>	<ul><li>K-5 teachers</li><li>Title I tutor</li></ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>3. Math Journaling</li> <li>Support in planning</li> <li>Journals graded with rubrics</li> </ul>	Facilitator,     S. Kensicki	<ul> <li>Completed math journals for students at the end of the school year</li> <li>Rubrics as artifacts</li> </ul>	None		Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>4. Departmentalization</li> <li>Focused supplies &amp; resources</li> <li>PD</li> <li>Planning</li> </ul>	Principal, A. Rusconi	Math scores will improve and each student's level of proficiency will grow	None	Grade 3-5 teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



SMART Goal (10):	Grade 5 Science: By the end of the 2015-16 school year, Greenway Park Elementary School					
	grade 5 EOG science score will increase by at least 15 percentage points.					
Strategic Plan Goal:	Goal 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment for every child to graduate college- and career-ready  Goal 5: Optimize district performance and accountability by strengthening data use,					
	processes and systems					
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	oal 1 Area 2: Ácademic growth/high academic achievement oal 1 Area 3: Access to rigor					
	Goal 1 Area 4: Closing achievement gaps Goal 5 Area 3: Data integrity and use					
Data Used:	EOG Science Scores 2014-15: 55.8% proficient; Common Assessments					

Strategies (determined by what data)  • Task  • Task  • Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
<ul> <li>Data analysis process</li> <li>Data meetings once every five day planning rotation.</li> <li>Common assessments to be created and data used to create small group instruction for remediation and acceleration.</li> <li>Utilization of data tracker</li> <li>All students create a MAP growth goal chart.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator, S. Kensicki</li> <li>MTSS     Facilitator, E. Shoemaker</li> <li>Principal, A. Rusconi</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Team planning meetings utilizing data analysis process, Common Assessments</li> <li>Goal setting by staff and students</li> </ul>	None	Grade 5 teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



2. Departmentalization	• Facilitator, S.	•	Focused supplies &	None	Grade 5	Aug. 2015,
<ul> <li>Grade 5 departmentalized</li> </ul>	Kensicki		resources		teachers	Jan. 2016,
by science/math & literacy.	<ul> <li>Principal, A.</li> </ul>	•	Student achievement			June 2016
<ul> <li>Teachers will have weekly</li> </ul>	Rusconi		data			
co-planning time within						
their departments.						





SMART Goal (11):	Grade K-5: By the end of the 2015-16 school year, office referrals will decrease by 25% from
	the previous year.
Strategic Plan Goal:	Goal 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment for every child to graduate college- and career-ready Goal 5: Optimize district performance and accountability by strengthening data use, processes and systems
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Goal 1 Area 2: Academic growth/high academic achievement Goal 1 Area 3: Access to rigor Goal 1 Area 4: Closing achievement gaps
Data Used:	Goal 5 Area 3: Data integrity and use  Disciplinary Data Report 2014-15 (PowerSchool)

Strategies (determined by what data)  • Task  • Task  • Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
<ul> <li>1. Character Education</li> <li>PBIS</li> <li>Why Try Curriculum</li> <li>Morning meetings</li> <li>Character Ed classes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>School Counselor, L. Terrell</li> <li>PBIS Coach, E. Shoemaker</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced office referrals and suspensions</li> <li>Why Try lessons during morning meetings</li> <li>Completion of Character Ed classes</li> </ul>	None	<ul> <li>Counselor</li> <li>Psychologist</li> <li>PBIS         <ul> <li>Coach</li> </ul> </li> <li>Assistant         <ul> <li>Principal</li> </ul> </li> <li>Classroom         <ul> <li>Teachers</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>2. Bully Liaison/Bully-prevention</li> <li>PBIS</li> <li>Why Try Curriculum</li> <li>Morning meetings</li> <li>Community meetings</li> <li>Small group guidance and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>School Counselor, L. Terrell</li> <li>Psychologist, L. C-Smith</li> <li>PBIS Coach,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced bully reports</li> <li>Reduced repeat offenders of bullying</li> <li>Student safety survey</li> </ul>	None	<ul> <li>Counselor</li> <li>Psychologist</li> <li>PBIS         <ul> <li>Coach</li> </ul> </li> <li>Assistant         <ul> <li>Principal</li> </ul> </li> <li>All staff</li> </ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



individual interventions	E. Shoemaker				
<ul><li>3. Social Skill Development</li><li>Boys Club</li><li>"Thinking for a Change" program</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Assistant Principal, H. Driggers</li> <li>School Counselor, L. Terrell</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced office referrals and suspensions</li> <li>"Thinking for a Change" lessons focused on developing social skills for targeted students</li> </ul>	None	<ul> <li>CMS         Alternative Ed.         Dept.</li> <li>Assistant         Principal</li> <li>BMT</li> </ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul> <li>4. Establish Student Culture Committee</li> <li>Revise and monitor current behavior plan</li> <li>Plan incentive activities</li> <li>Review disciplinary data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PBIS Coach, E. Shoemaker</li> <li>Assistant Principal, H. Driggers</li> <li>Grade level teacher representatives</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced office referrals and disruptive classroom behaviors</li> <li>Panther PRIDE incentive activities</li> </ul>	None	PBIS     Coach     Grade level     representatives	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
5. Hire BMT	Principal, A. Rusconi	Reduced office referrals and disruptive classroom behaviors	Title I funds	<ul><li>Assistant Principal</li><li>BMT</li></ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



Strategic Plan Goal:	Goal 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment
	for every child to graduate college- and career-ready.
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Area 2: Academic growth/high academic achievement
Data Used:	

Strategies (determined by what data)  • Task  • Task  • Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
1. Common assessments Pre- and post- assessments aligned to content standards  K-2 will be a minimum of 2 common assessments per quarter, with a minimum of 8 total common assessments per year.  Grades 3-5 will be 4 literacy common assessments and 4 math common assessments per year.  Grade 5, will be 4 common assessments for science.  Instructional Leadership Team will facilitate weekly meetings with a Backwards Design approach and focus on student performance data	<ul> <li>Facilitator, S. Kensicki</li> <li>Principal, A. Rusconi</li> <li>Assistant Principal, H. Driggers</li> <li>K-5 Teachers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improved quality and alignment of assessments</li> <li>Strategic planning and pacing to improve teaching and learning.</li> <li>Improved student performance on common assessments and benchmark testing through effective PLC planning and collaboration.</li> </ul>	None	<ul> <li>K-5 teachers</li> <li>Facilitator</li> <li>Admin team</li> </ul>	Aug 2015 - June 2016



<ul> <li>Teachers will complete the school-wide data tracker and come to data team meetings prepared for analysis and planning. The meetings are intended to monitor student achievement by standard to develop remediation / intervention lesson plans (Leveled Literacy Intervention, Do the Math Intervention, Flex Groups, Raz Kids, etc.)</li> <li>Common Assessments reviewed by the team to ensure alignment to the rigor of the CCSS</li> <li>Student MAP Goal Sheet – students will set goals for themselves for the MAP test and will monitor their individual progress</li> <li>Teacher communication with families regarding student performance (mastery, partial mastery, &amp; non-mastery)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator, S. Kensicki</li> <li>MTSS         <ul> <li>Facilitator, E. Shoemaker</li> <li>Principal, A. Rusconi</li> <li>Assistant Principal, H. Driggers</li> <li>K-5</li></ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>Improved quality and Team planning meetings utilizing data analysis process, MAP data, Reading 3D data, Common Assessments</li> <li>Data used to plan for instruction</li> <li>Common assessment data trackers demonstrate greater mastery among student body</li> <li>Assessment quality will be examined and improved based on team analysis</li> <li>Student MAP goal sheet will help students know their current level and where they need to be.</li> </ul>	None	K-5     teachers     Facilitator     MTSS     Facilitator     Admin     team	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016 June 2016
Remediation and Enrichment period built into Master Calendar; the results of data analysis is used to plan for these periods	<ul> <li>Facilitator, S. Kensicki</li> <li>MTSS Facilitator, E. Shoemaker</li> <li>Principal, A.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Students who re-take the test will show a greater level of mastery.</li> <li>Activities for remediation and enrichment period will reflect standards to</li> </ul>	None	<ul><li>K-5 teachers</li><li>Facilit</li><li>MTSS Facilitator</li><li>Admin</li></ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016



	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
<ul> <li>Grade level teachers will attend planning meetings on a five day rotation to analyze common assessment results and/or other data collected during the week so teams can develop enrichment &amp; remediation plans based on individual student need.</li> <li>Data planning meetings to develop standards-based lesson plans that address new and previously taught standards (small group instruction, workshop stations, differentiated process and product, etc.)</li> </ul>	Rusconi  Assistant Principal, H. Driggers  K-5 Teachers	which students need more exposure.  • Lessons aligned to standards		team	
4. Additional learning opportunities  • Remediation and Enrichment period built into Master Calendar; the results of data analysis is used to plan for these periods  • Targeted interventions  • Additional opportunities to take assessments	<ul> <li>Facilitator, S. Kensicki</li> <li>Principal, A. Rusconi</li> <li>K-5 Teachers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Activities for remediation and enrichment will reflect standards to which students need more exposure.</li> <li>Remedial instruction using LLI kits</li> <li>Mastery Connect data analysis</li> <li>Students who re-take tests will show a greater level of mastery.</li> </ul>	None	<ul> <li>K-5 teachers</li> <li>Reading tutors</li> <li>Admin team</li> </ul>	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016, June 2016
<ul><li>5. Late and make-up work</li><li>Teachers communicate with</li></ul>	K-5 Teachers	<ul> <li>Increased student accountability</li> </ul>	None	K-5 teachers	Aug. 2015, Jan. 2016,



parents via progress reports, notes home, email, Thursday folders, face-to-face conferences, phone conversations to tell about late/missing work  • When students are absent from school, teachers will provide the make-up work packet—arrangements made within 5 days of their return and sent home  • All work/assignments will be accepted by the teacher	Staff-wide understanding of CMS and GPES grading expectations	Facilitator     Admin team
whenever submitted		
a. Student was present, but work was late – a reduction in credit for each week it is missing/late may be given. A student will not receive a grade lower than 60 for a make-up assignment.		
b. Student had unexcused absence –a reduction in credit for each week it is missing/late may be given. A student will not receive a grade lower than 60 for a make-up assignment		
c. Student had an excused absence – full credit given		



#### **Greenway Park Elementary School - 600 Waiver Requests**

Request for Waiver
1. Insert the waivers you are requesting
• none
2. Please identify the law, regulation or policy from which you are seeking an exemption.
• none
3. Please state how the waiver will be used.
• none
4. Please state how the waiver will promote achievement of performance goals.
• none



Committee Position		The second of the second	Dete
	Name	Signature	8/1/2014
rincipal	Anna Rusconi	June Puscorie	8/1/2014
Assistant Principal Representative	Hennie Driggers	Almunggus	8/1/2014
rekindergarten Teacher Representative	Joyce Oliver	page of	
indergarten Teacher Representative	Brandi Bucholz	Grand ( Byet &	8/1/2014
Grade Teacher Representative	Naomi Scheiperpeter	Magn Scholipelet	8/1/2015
Grade Teacher Representative	Cally Parke	Sar Per	8/1/2014
Grade Teacher Representative	Veronica Bradshaw	vering vsm/h	8/1/2014
In Grade Teacher Representative	Tanya Watson	Chrys & Watton	8/1/2015
<sup>th</sup> Grade Teacher Representative	Paige Anderson	raiguerre 1	8/1/2015
ssentials Area Representative	Ashlee Greer	and the	8/1/2014
Support Staff Representative	Lisa Carden-Smith	Grow Carden-Smith	8/1/2014
St. Team Representative	Leslie Pruce	Souther Su Se	8/1/2015
ounselor	Elizabeth Terrell	Clary Comment	8/1/2014
acilitator, Literacy (K-5) acilitator, MTSS	Sarah Shields	Shaping kinsiage	- 8/1/2014
eacher Assistant Representative	Erin Shoemaker	ameni	8/21/2013
arent Representative	Sally Treveller	Mally Turer	9/8/2015
arent Representative arent Representative	Tesse Bodrill	Lesse Dogoree	9/8/200
	Kristy Burke	K. Pulla	9/8/2018
ommunity Representative	Catherine Knuckles	Carreine Knuckles	8/21/2014