COUNCIL ON EDUCATIONAL SERVICES FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN

Raleigh, North Carolina June 12, 2019 9:35 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF QUARTERLY MEETING

The quarterly meeting of the Council on Educational Services for Exceptional Children was held on the 12th day of June, 2019, in the State Board of Education Boardroom, Education Building, 301 North Wilmington Street, Raleigh, North Carolina, commencing at 9:35 a.m.

APPEARANCES

COUNCIL ON EDUCATIONAL SERVICES FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Leanna George, Chairperson

Anthony Baker Sarah Bigley Anna Carter Abby Childers (via Webinar) Cynthia Daniels-Hall Jennifer Frey (via Webinar) Christy Grant Jennifer Grady Kristen Hodges Katie Holler (via Webinar) Christy Hutchinson Julie Jailall Selene Johnson Carla McNeill Teresa Mebane Virginia Moorefield Jennifer Mullis (via Webinar) Cache Owens Lisa Phillips Susan Robinson Kelli Terrell Marge Terhaar

STAFF:

Tish Bynum
Carol Ann Hudgens
Nancy Johnson
Kym Martin
Lynn Makor
Dreama McCoy
Sherry Thomas

VISITORS:

Eric Hall Erin Conner Lauren Holahan

COURT REPORTER:

Rebecca P. Scott

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE	NO.
Call to Order and Introductions	•	4
Review of March Meeting Minutes	•	7
Foster Care presentation by Erin Conner	•	8
Annual Report review and discussion	. 2	23
NC Center for Safer Schools/Task Force		
presentation by Kym Martin	. 3	34
AU Policy Changes presentation by Lynn Makor	. 8	35
Luncheon Recess	. 12	20
Public Comment Summary by Chairperson	. 12	20
Annual Report review and discussion (cont.)	. 12	27
Committee Work	. 17	70
Significant Disproportionality Update		
presentation by Nancy Johnson	. 17	71
Announcements	. 18	33
Meeting Adjournment	. 18	39
Certificate of Reporter	. 19	90

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

Thereupon, the following proceeding was held:

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, once again, everyone, for coming out today to the meeting for the Council on Educational Services for Exceptional Children. We usually go around and introduce ourselves, and plus, we have some new faces in the room.

My name is Leanna George. I'm the parent of two wonderful children on the autism spectrum. My son is higher functioning. He's here. That's [name redacted]. And my daughter is in a group home, and she has more significant challenges with her disability.

MS. DANIELS-HALL: So good morning.

I'm Cynthia Daniels-Hall. I'm a parent from Wake

County. I have six children from 35 to ten years

old, and those children -- some of the children

are diagnosed with dyslexia, ADHD, and autism.

MS. OWENS: Good morning. I'm Cache Owens, the Parent Training and Information

Director at ECAC or Exceptional Children's

Assistance Center. I'm also a parent to a

nine-year-old with mental health challenges and

ADHD.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Ginny Moorefield. I

have a child with traumatic brain injury, severe disabilities. I'm also an interpreter for the deaf as well as a regular education teacher.

MR. BAKER: Good morning. Anthony
Baker, general ed administration rep from
Alamance-Burlington School System. I'm the
assistant principal at Western Alamance Middle.

MS. MEBANE: Hi. I'm Teresa Mebane.

I am from the Wilmington area. I have three boys
on the autism spectrum, and I also work for the

Autism Society of North Carolina and Family
Support Network of Southeastern North Carolina.

MS. HODGES: Hi. Kristen Hodges. I have three children. Two qualify for IEPs, one with a learning disability and one who has a 504.

MS. TERHAAR: Hello. I'm Marge
Terhaar, and I'm late. I'm the IHE
representative, and I'm a professor at Meredith
and coordinator of the graduate programs in
special education, and I'm also a parent of two
young men with ADHD.

MS. THOMAS: Good morning. It's good to see everyone. I'm Sherry Thomas and I am the Director of the Exceptional Children Division.

MS. HUDGENS: Good morning. I'm

Carol Ann Hudgens, and I'm the Section Chief for Policy Monitoring and Audit, and I work here in the Exceptional Children Division.

COURT REPORTER: Good morning. I'm

Becky Scott. I'm the court reporter, and I'm

going to make a verbatim record of the meeting

today.

MS. BYNUM: Tish Bynum, Special
Assistant for the North Carolina Governor's School
and administrative assistant for this Council.

MS. CARTER: Anna Carter. I'm the Director of the Division of Child Development and Early Education at the Department of Health and Human Services.

MS. HUTCHINSON: Christy Hutchinson, Special Ed Director for Lincoln Charter School, so I'm the charter school rep here today.

MS. JOHNSON: Selene Johnson. I'm the Executive Director of ABC of North Carolina, which is a nonprofit nonpublic school and clinic for children with autism in Winston-Salem.

MS. GRANT. Good morning. I'm Christy Grant. I'm the Exceptional Children's Director with Nash-Rocky Mount Schools.

MS. HUDGENS: I have Katie Holler on

the phone and I have Jennifer DeGen from

Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools that are

participating virtually.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

Awesome. Thank you for participating virtually.

Let's take a moment to review the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's take a moment to review the agenda and the summary of the last meeting in March, and then in a few minutes, we'll take a vote to approve the summary as the minutes, okay?

(Pause from 9:39 a.m. to 9:43 a.m.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Do I hear a motion to accept the minutes or the summary as the minutes as written?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ GRANT: I make the motion to accept it as written.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And a second?

MS. HUTCHINSON: I'll second that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Christy Hutchinson,

second. Okay. All in favor?

(All Council Members responded aye.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. I'd like introduce -- it says here Carla McNeill. Is that who's presenting on foster care or -- because the name here is different.

MS. HUDGENS: She just stepped out.

She'll be right back.

THE CHAIRPERSON: This morning might become a comedy of errors, but okay.

(Pause from 9:42 a.m. to 9:44 a.m.)

MS. CONNER: Good morning, everybody.

My name is Erin Conner. I am the State LINKS

Program coordinator with the Division of Social

Services. I'm going to talk to you a little bit

about what LINKS does before I launch sort of into

why I'm here this morning. LINKS is our state's

Chafee Foster Care Program for Successful

Transition to Adulthood. We serve -- we provide

funding and services to young people across the

state of North Carolina that have experienced

foster care as teens or are in foster care as

teens.

Before I get started, I'm going to pass around a few materials. I only have a few copies of the actual full-color slides, so if you want to share those and look on with your neighbor. The other thing that's coming around to you is actually a flyer about the program I'm here to talk about today.

This is just sort of a snapshot of what I'm going to talk about today. I'm going to

start by talking about normalcy for youth in

foster care, just kind of laying the groundwork

for the further discussion that we're going to

have around driving and assisting youth in foster

care with getting their driver's license and

gaining experience driving.

As part of the Preventing Sex

Trafficking and Strengthening Families Act of

2014, there was established a reasonable and
prudent parent standard. This is what allows

foster parents to make, you know, reasonable and
prudent decisions like any parent would for young
people to be able to participate in age and
developmentally-appropriate activities -- so
extracurricular activities, enrichment activities,
cultural activities, social activities -- whereas
in the past, much of those activities had to be
approved either by the Department of Social
Services that had custody of the young person or
even in some cases get approval by the courts.

Now that's still the case for some types of activities, but for the most part, hey, if they want to go to the football game on Friday, foster parents are able to approve that sort of activity just like they would for their own

children.

That's important because now we're going to talk a little bit about what are some of the barriers to driving or what's sort of the historical context around young people in foster care being able to drive. So here are just some of the barriers that young people in foster care have experienced to driving:

Access to vehicles. This still remains a barrier even through programs like TRIP and LINKS. The expense of car insurance. The logistics. Support -- having the support of their foster parent or other placement providers.

Insufficient funding. Again, car insurance is quite expensive.

Placement stability. So making sure that they -- that young people in foster care have stable placement and they're not moving around a lot because that can impact, you know, the affordability of their insurance, and it can have a big impact just overall in terms of driving.

The type of placement they're in. If they're in a group care setting or something that might be a little bit more restrictive. And grades. Again, we know if they have issues with their grades, the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

schools might not -- might pull their license or might prevent them from getting their license.

So here's a little bit more about kind of the legislative history to address Back in 2015, our state legislature made it so that certain minors can contract for their own insurance, which is really helpful for young people in foster care. So if they're 16 or older, they can contract for their own insurance. I have heard from many young people that their strategy around insurance is to get nonowners insurance if their foster parents won't put them on their insurance or if there's other barriers to getting insurance or if they don't have their own vehicle but they're maybe allowed access to drive a foster parent's vehicle. But this is really important, again, for young people to be able to get insurance.

And all these things sort of build on one another, you'll see, through normalcy and then young people being able to contract for their insurance. We've been building over the years to break down some of these barriers for young people being able to drive. So in 2017, our state legislature created or directed the Department of

Social Services to create a driver's license pilot project to, again, address some of these barriers and provide funding so that young people can get their driver's licenses, take driver's education, have insurance, those sorts of things.

So we did that. After this law was passed, we got together with some young people who had experience in the system, social workers in the counties who work with these young people, and a few others to sort of create the program. This program was actually also named by a group of young people who have experience in foster care. It's called Transportation Really Is Possible or TRIP. I think that's a very fun name, and I'm very excited about it.

Here's a little bit about the eligibility criteria. So for youth under the age of 18, if they're -- they have to be of driving age or old enough to take driver's ed, so 14 and a half years old, but less than 18, and currently in foster care. They have, you know, had some kind of contact with the county to express their interest in driving. They have one or more related goals on their transitional living plan, which is a required document. They have to have a

2

1

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

transitional living plan anyway if they're 14 and they're in foster care.

And a written agreement with the County and the caregiver, and that has to include, at a minimum, things like how they plan to cover costs going forward because we know that the funds that are available through this program can only get you so far. You're going to have to continue to pay for insurance. So what's their plan? they have a job that they can contribute towards Is the foster parent going to assist? insurance? Is the county going to continue to assist with other funds? And then what's their plan going forward?

If they are 17 years old, they're about to turn 18. How are they going to maintain that insurance on their own going forward? addition to that, would they have any other educational needs? Like if they need to learn more about budgeting, if they need to understand insurance, that sort of stuff, that can be written into that agreement.

This agreement also has to include what are the ways that the caregiver or the father parent or the person providing care to the young

person is going to support them in gaining driving experience. Whether or not it is having them drive their own vehicle, how are they going to support the young person getting the driving hours that they need?

So for a young adult, if they were in foster care on their eighteenth birthday and have decided to continue in our Foster Care 18 to 21 Program, they are eligible. Again, they have to contact the county and let them know they're interested in driving, have related goals on their transitional living plan, and that doesn't necessarily have to be directly driving-specific. It could be something like maintaining their grades so that they can make sure that they maintain their driver's license. That's the same for both groups. Then, again, having a written agreement with the caregiver and the county.

If they're in the Foster Care 18 to 21 Program, but they're, say, in a supervised independent living setting so they're staying with a foster parent, they don't have a person that's a caregiver as such, that person doesn't necessarily need to be involved in the agreement, but if they have a support person, they can be a party to that

agreement.

Foster parents and caregivers can receive reimbursement for TRIP-eligible costs. So if they have paid for a young person to take driver's ed, for example, they can be reimbursed by the county, again, if they are providing care and placement to a young person who meets the eligibility criteria or a young person in Foster Care 18 to 21. And, again, they have to have, you know, that agreement with the county and the young person.

Part of this program is assessing readiness for youth who are under age 18. We developed a tool for counties to use to assess readiness, and it looks at things like, again, placement stability, how are they doing in school, a variety of factors to sort of assess where they are and their preparedness to drive, their choices, their behaviors, things around safety. So it's used to facilitate a conversation to identify where more preparation might be needed. It's not supposed to be an additional barrier to driving. So whatever the results of the assessment are, are to be used to help that young person address those different issues and prepare

them to drive. So it considers, again, factors like school attendance and performance, placement stability, substance use history, and behavior.

Tell me to slow down if I'm talking too fast. I have a habit.

The costs that are eligible to be covered through TRIP funds are driver's education. That's either through a public school or private driving schools. For some young people, private driving schools are a better fit, particularly for our young adults who maybe have already left high school. The learner's permit and driver's license fees, there's no cap on that. It's whatever the DMV schedule says it is. Vehicle insurance up to \$1000 and other costs associated.

The specific things that were listed in the legislation were driver's education, license fees and vehicle insurance, and then other costs associated. So we had to figure out what does that mean, and we figured out that it means or may mean -- include vehicle inspection, registration fees, taxes, the kind of stuff where if a young person is getting a car for the first time, they need to get sort of set up with all that stuff.

Additional fees might be things like if they need a car to take the road test at the DMV, that could pay for -- some private driving schools provide that for an additional fee. So that would be meant to cover something like that. Incentives for caregivers, again, for letting young people practice with their vehicle or use it for the road test. And vehicle history reports. Again, for people who are purchasing vehicles, we want to make sure that there are getting a car that's safe and reliable.

Here's just a little bit of information about accessing services and some other tips for young adults and youth specifically. Again, they have to contact their worker to get information about TRIP, talk to their foster parents or their caregivers or other supportive adult about driving so that they can get help accessing services that way, enroll in driver's education, make sure that they have the necessary identification forms or an appropriate person to sign the certificate for -- or application for a permit or license, and just be prepared for all of the various tests, written and practical.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

For foster parents, they have to -again, similar to these young adults, they have to have this conversation with the social worker about how to access TRIP. The supervising driver has to be, obviously, someone who has been licensed for five years. It can be a foster parent or a person otherwise approved by the county Department of Social Services.

For signing applications, foster youth applications for permits and licenses can be signed by the Guardian ad Litem or attorney advocate, the director or the director's designee of the county DSS, or somebody from the court, I believe is what that says. I can't see the bottom, but I think that's correct.

This is probably not something you will ever see, but I wanted you to know what it looks like, and I think I will check with Carla McNeill, who is my supervisor, if we can send these slides out to all of you after the fact, just so you But if you're interacting with folks in the know. school systems, specifically school social workers or counselors, or if you interact with foster parents or county Department of Social Services workers, this is what the form looks like that the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

social worker would submit to me for reimbursement through TRIP.

In the real world, this is a PDF -an interactive PDF form and the eligible cost is the drop-down selection of the list of eliqible costs that I talked about before, and I am happy to provide links to where this lives as well as where the policy lives. And I think I've got a link to the policy on the next page. Yeah. that first link is to the LINKS Program policy. The second is to the Foster Care 18 to 21 policy, if you're curious. The next is for the TRIP Program criteria, so it kind of goes over in a little bit more depth what I talked about in terms of eligibility, the costs that are covered. And then the letter that was released when this program began, that includes links to the forms and the tools that -- in that list should be the form -- the reimbursement form as well as the assessment -- the readiness assessment, if you're curious what that looks like.

This is my contact information. Ιf you have any questions, feel free to call me. have a cell phone now so people can get me wherever I am, and my email address. The next

slide is my supervisor's contact information. And I forgot to bring cards with me. I apologize.

Any questions, thoughts?

THE CHAIRPERSON: How many young people have been served by this program since it's been adopted?

MS. CONNER: That's a good question and something I should have pulled before I got here. I don't know, but I'm happy to get that to you. I keep track of it all. It just doesn't live---

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. We have to look sometimes.

MS. CONNER: It doesn't live in here (indicating); it lives in my computer. That's a great question, though. Thank you so much for your time. I really appreciate it.

MS. HUDGENS: Leanna, if I could, can
I queue up the people that have joined online and
let them introduce themselves?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure. That sounds good.

MS. HUDGENS: Okay. Give me just one moment, please.

(Pause.)

1 MS. HUDGENS: Okay. Ladies on the line, I'm going to call you one by one so that you 2 can introduce yourselves, and I will unmute your 3 mikes from here when it's your turn. So we'll 4 start this morning with Abby. Abby, if you'd like 5 6 to go ahead and introduce yourself. Okay. We have Abby Childers on the 7 phone, but she's not able to speak with us right 8 now. So I'm going to move on to Jennifer Frey. 9 Jennifer, give me just a second. 10 MS. FREY: Hi. Can you hear me? 11 MS. HUDGENS: Is this Jennifer? 12 13 MS. FREY: Yes. MS. HUDGENS: Good morning, Jennifer. 14 We can hear you. Go ahead, please. 15 MS. FREY: Wonderful. This is 16 Jennifer Frey. [Inaudible]. I'm a parent of a 17 child with autism, my son, who is 19. 18 MS. HUDGENS: Okay. Thank you, 19 Jennifer. 20 MS. FREY: Thank you. 21 MS. HUDGENS: And we're going to 22 switch over to Jennifer Mullis. Your turn, 23

Okay. Jennifer, we actually hear you

Jennifer.

24

25

typing, so -- so I am going to read what you just logged in. So she said, "My intro was wonderful," so she's here and good morning.

And, finally, we have Katie Holler, and Katie, I just unmuted your line if you'd like to introduce yourself.

MS. HOLLER: Thank you so much, Carol Ann. This is Katie Holler. I have four daughters with autism. And thank you so much for making it possible for us to be able to be present, even though we can't be there in person.

MS. HUDGENS: Thank you, Katie.

Those are our members that are joining by phone and virtually this morning. So I wanted to make sure they had an opportunity to introduce themselves. Thank you, Leanna.

THE CHAIRPERSON: No problem. Thank you, once again, for participating virtually.

We're soon going to have a 15-minute break before the next presentation. Is there anything that anyone wants to have on the discussion for later today when we start looking at the annual report and committee work? Is there anything that needs to go on there that anyone wants to discuss later so you can go ahead and

start thinking about that?

(No audible response.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I guess not.

All right. We're going to break for 15 minutes,

and we'll meet back here at 10 o'clock or 10:15.

Sorry.

(A brief recess was taken from 10:02 a.m. to 10:15 a.m.)

MS. HUDGENS: May I introduce Abby?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, go ahead and introduce Abby.

MS. HUDGENS: Okay. Thank you everyone that's participating virtually. We are back now from our break, and we had an audio difficulty with our member Abby Childers. So I'm going to introduce her via what she placed for us in the chat box, and she is from Charlotte and she has one child with Dravet syndrome and a son with muscular dystrophy, and she wants to be sure that we welcome all of our new members. So thank you for letting me introduce her by proxy.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

Everyone should have a copy of a draft -- this is a draft, but I don't have fancy software that says draft across it -- of the annual report. It is a

1

draft that's not wordsmithed. It's kind of really rough. So I don't know if anyone has had a chance to take a look at it yet.

The first page is an overview of what

4

5

6

7

the Council does in accordance with the regulations set by state law and all that good stuff, the purpose of the Council. The second page is about membership. If I do not have your

name down, make sure I know so I can update the

9

membership list. I haven't really done that yet.

10 11

This is just basically changing last year's and

making it this year's.

12

13

14

meetings, when we've had meetings this year, some

The third page of it is all about

15

16

presented today. And, finally, the fun part is

of the topics that were presented or will be

17

about committees. So later today when we break

18

into committee sections, if you-all can come up

19

with the completed work for your committee that

20 21 you've done, any ongoing projects you have, and

your vision for what you would like to see done

22

And the final page is

within your committee for next year.

24

23

recommendations. I've included the list of

recommendations we made last year. Some of those,

25

we could possibly reintroduce again this year. We can add to these. I'm looking for wordsmithing here for rationale, those type of things, to make our case to the State Board of why we think these recommendations could or should be made.

The first one is "Training of Principals/Assistant Principals with a specific focus on mental health and behavioral health support." There was a discussion of that at the last meeting about Disability Rights, that disconnect between the special education teachers and the principals and assistant principals. So I thought that would be a good one to do. Training provided in higher ed for mental health and behavioral support. Of course, we discussed school safety/crisis planning. We might discuss this one more after the topic that's about to be presented here in a few minutes. And, of course, we have last year's recommendations.

And I have one opportunity here that we may want to include. I know some of the MCOs offer youth mental health first aid. I know Alliance does. I think that might be a wonderful support or a thing to include, and at least encourage our teachers to get it and especially

those staff that work with this population the most. So if there's any discussion you would like to put forth for that.

MS. GRANT: I know in Nash-Rocky
Mount, we actually have four trainers that we
secured a couple of years ago, I think, through a
grant. I'm not sure which agency sponsored it.
So we actually have four doing mental health first
aid training, and we rolled out a mental health
district plan, and that is one of the
recommendations for all of our schools to go
through and have their staff trained in youth
mental health first aid.

We are looking at adding a parent piece to that because we do not have the parents trained. We've been doing it probably two years now. There are some districts that have some trainers within the district.

THE CHAIRPERSON: That's excellent.

MS. MOOREFIELD: This is Ginny
Moorefield. I just have a question. Can you
briefly describe what mental health first aid
would look like, what kind of things happen during
that?

THE CHAIRPERSON: You probably know

more about it. I know that Alliance offers it, and I know it can be a very long, intensive class to become certified as a mental health first aid provider.

MS. MOOREFIELD: What is mental health first aid?

MS. DANIELS-HALL: So mental health first aid takes you through a daylong training, and you're going to learn about the symptoms, the kind of things children might present with in the classroom. You're going to learn about the resources that might be in your community so you're able to share those with families, teachers, and those people involved with those children. So that's what really happens in mental health first aid.

It's a daylong training. They talk about some of the terminology of mental health. They talk about some of the disorders that children may present with in the classroom so that teachers who've never experienced a child who is bipolar, schizophrenia, those type things -- and when parents come to educators to ask questions and the educator just has no information.

So mental health first aid is that

first person able to say to an educator, to themselves, or to a parent, this is where you might need to go to get additional support and help.

MS. MOOREFIELD: So it's not--- I'm still a little confused. So is it provided like -- you said to teachers and to parents?

MS. DANIELS-HALL: Parents as well.

MS. MOOREFIELD: So would it be like what to do if a child had an episode related to whatever their mental health---

MS. DANIELS-HALL: So it really is what it says. It's first aid. So it's not what to do, but it is presenting to those people who are going to be the first responders, those are the people who are going to be most engaged with our children and the young adults in the school system that might present with those types of disorders.

MS. MEBANE: [Inaudible] consists of suicidal ideation, how to recognize it, what questions to ask, and how to point that person in the right direction.

MS. MOOREFIELD: So it's more of a preventative-type thing. When I think of first

aid, I think, okay, something has happened---

THE CHAIRPERSON: CPR.

MS. MOOREFIELD: ---and now we respond to it. So this is more of a preventative,

MS. DANIELS-HALL: Informational and preventative, yes.

how to stop something from happening?

MS. MOOREFIELD: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BAKER: At least part of that is the educational piece. A lot of teachers and educators are familiar with how to deal with children in the classroom or if they see behaviors that are different, you know, what does that mean, you know, what kind of resources are there, and how to -- when you first see that, who to go to and to address those concerns.

MS. HUDGENS: If I could offer just a general reminder. Our individuals participating virtually are depending upon your microphone to be able to project. So if you can lean in closer, that will ensure that they're able to hear.

I also wanted to make sure that we welcomed Lisa Phillips. She was doing double-duty this morning and had to present at a meeting downstairs, and she's just joined us now. So

welcome and thank you so much for being here today.

MS. OWENS: This is Cache with ECAC. I just really wanted to bring up recommendation number 1, the training for principals and assistant principals. At ECAC, that is something that we hear many times every week, that people are walking into meetings with the biggest decision-maker in the room having never met their child or not really having a solid background in special education.

And so I know that Karen, who was in my place, talked about this at the last meeting, and I just wanted to say I'm so happy to see it on here. ECAC is working with a couple districts already on mandatory training for the principals, and so in our next year coming up, that's something we want to focus on.

And we are happy to have partners or just general input on what needs to be covered or how that might take place, but that is something that's going to be a priority for us in the coming years.

THE CHAIRPERSON: One thing that I think I'm hearing is that perhaps we might want to

request a presentation around mental health first aid being presented in the near future so that we 2 can possibly consider that not for this year's 3 list of work, but for next year's, that we explore 5 that because I think it is a good idea to help with that component.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HUTCHINSON: I have one comment to Cache. Mike Marcela, the EC director in Watauga County, did a session at the State EC conference back in October or November on being a compliant LEA rep. The session exceeded its capacity. I think he offered it two times, and people weren't able to get in. It was that popular.

> MS. OWENS: Got you.

MS. HUTCHINSON: But he's made those PowerPoint presentations available through the website for the fall conference. It was being a compliant LEA rep and whatever, but it was very factual, very informational, and clearly -- it was maxed out both times so the need is there and the desire is there. And those were EC directors and EC teachers and such that are not necessarily heavy on the general ed, but the desire---

> We, for the district MS. OWENS:

Quarterly Meeting 6/12/19 we're working with, similarly, did a survey of all principals, and they were very honest. They want to learn about this, and they -- the demand, I agree, is definitely there and they were very candid in what they don't know. And a lot of times, we don't know what we don't know, so I think it's something that we hear agreement in that, that it is a need. So I'll try -- if the information is already created somewhere---MS. HUTCHINSON: It's on the -- when they do the conference in October/November, everybody's PowerPoints are put up there.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Got you. Perfect. MS. OWENS: Thank you.

This is Lisa Phillips. MS. PHILLIPS: I know in the UNC System, over the years, I've always done like a training just on my program so that school administrators who are -- well, let me rephrase that -- students who are wanting to be school administrators so they're in the school administration program on the UNC campuses -- you know, talking to them about my program has been incredibly beneficial.

So maybe something that you want to look at, are there universities that maybe you can work with so that maybe some training can be given to those principals-to-be---

MS. OWENS: Right.

MS. PHILLIPS: ---so that they're getting that and they're prepared better when they transition over to the schools in those roles.

MS. OWENS: That's a good idea. We do a lot of programs for pre-service teachers, but not the administrative side. So I think that's a great suggestion that we can look into.

MS. TERHAAR: One thing I was going to suggest -- and it has to do with Lisa's point here -- is with wordsmithing here, I would suggest we put in there "training for current principals, assistant principals, and those in training" to get at the higher ed people. And one thing I have found in trying to disseminate information to principals and assistant principals is if there's any way a webinar-based mode of delivery could be designed.

THE CHAIRPERSON: That sounds really good. Are the presenters for school safety here yet?

MS. HUDGENS: Yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for the

active discussion around those topics and we'll continue this later. And we'll make way for Kym Martin, and I think there was one other person on the agenda for this discussion as well around school safety. North Carolina Center for Safer Schools/Task Force. That would be Kym Martin and Rachel Johnson.

MS. HUDGENS: Yes. It's my pleasure to introduce Kym Martin. She's our Executive Director for the North Carolina Center for Safer Schools, and she is looking forward to speaking with you, and she's going to come on up.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

MS. MARTIN: Great. Good morning. I am a special educator from way back. I actually started when I was 12 and 13 years old being a nanny for a little girl with autism and babysitting for a family with a child with cerebral palsy. So, you know, when you say I was only ten when I did that, I literally was 12 when I started in the field. I actually worked with the Council for Developmental Disabilities in one of my other lives as well as DHHS, where I started the North Carolina Interagency Coordinating

risk for disabilities and their families. And I don't know why we let it have such a long name. I don't know, but it's still operating with that long name. But, anyway, this is special to be able to come and talk with you-all.

What I'm hoping -- and I don't know exactly what you-all are hoping -- is I would tell you some of my thoughts about what the Center and the Task Force has done so far and some of the main areas I think we need to focus in, in talking with exceptional children specifically and school safety, and then to get you-all's thoughts that I can take back to the Center, the Task Force, and you-all being the experts can tell us some of the areas you would like us to focus on around that area.

So the Center for Safer Schools started back in March of 2013, and that was right after the tragedy at Sandy Hook Elementary School where, as you know, there was the elementary school shooting. We are working right now with Sandy Hook Promise foundation on our student app, and I'll be talking a little bit more about that in the presentation.

But what's relevant about that is,

one of the cofounders and managers of Sandy Hook

Promise Nicole Hockley, her six-year-old son with

autism was one of the students killed at Sandy

Hook Elementary School, and I think one of the

classrooms the young man went into was a special

education classroom, and several of those students

died and I believe a teacher or two.

But when you look at Nicole's web page, when she talks about her son Dylan, she talks about the fact that he died in the arms of his amazing aide in the classroom who worked with him one-on-one, and so they felt really good about that, that she was with him, you know, when he evidently died. And they started Dylan's Wings of Change, which is part of Sandy Hook foundation. They talk about everybody needing a wingman, and they train people to be wingmen for students at school. So that's a really neat thing about how the Center started and how we're working with Sandy Hook Promise now.

So the Center started, and one of the first things we focused on was we're always going to talk about mental health when we talk about school safety, and that wasn't widely accepted at that point in time, you know, 2013, six, seven

years ago, and especially with law enforcement, especially with emergency management, with some of the other agencies, you-all probably understood it, but everybody kind of needed to get on the same page with safety.

You always have to talk about mental health. Of course, the young man who did a great deal of harm at the Sandy Hook shooting had the diagnosis, I believe, of Asperger's and definitely was showing lots of warning signs. So I think that's one of the reasons we got started with talking about mental health. These tragedies that we have with school shootings, something good always comes from them. You know, you have your lessons learned, and that one was mental health, I believe. And so that was an important message.

So when we moved forward to open the Center, we started out with a three-pronged approach because everybody's mind usually goes to fortify the building, things you can see, you know, the armed law enforcement officer, but that's not the preventive end of things, that's not the whole story, and so we went out with focusing on, of course, physical security and emergency preparedness, it's important, but also,

mental health was a big area.

At one point, we called that mental health and students with special needs, that subcommittee on the Safer Schools Task Force.

Right now, we have broadened it recently and are just calling it mental health because the approach is just broadening things right now before we get more specific again.

And then school climate and discipline is kind of the third area. You have to have the right climate at the school. Really focus on bullying prevention, a positive climate, a lot of the PBIS things is really the core of things as well. So we started out this way, and I'm happy to say that over the years that a lot of the agencies -- being law enforcement, emergency management, mental health community providers, schools -- have all kind of adopted this approach as well and are looking at school safety, you know, broadly.

So these are just some of the things we did in each of those areas. We developed critical incident response training for school faculty and staff. There's a video. It includes evacuating students in wheelchairs out of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

schools in the video. There's a training manual. We're working on a curriculum for it. working on SRO training right now. It's going to be mandated, I hope, because a lot of law enforcement agencies do it anyway just because it's good practice, but others, unless something is mandated, they're not going to do it. And so it's going to be mandated, and it's going to have to be done every year, and you just don't do it one time and then stop. And the curriculum is being developed, as we speak, by the North Carolina Justice Academy in collaboration with Center for Safer Schools and the Sheriff's Association. So there's going to be a standard curriculum as well.

In the area of mental health, one of the first programs that came out of the Center -- it was September 2013 -- was mental health first aid for youth. The secretary of DHHS stood with us and said, "I'm giving schools mental health first aid for youth," and that is a fantastic program, as you-all know, for those who need to be educated about the warning signs. They don't need to diagnose; they just need to be aware and need to know who to reach out to in the school and the

community if more services are warranted.

And then like going back three years ago, we talked about how youth -- teenagers need to be educated on these warning signs and was excited to see that now they have rolled out the youth version of mental health first aid for youth. So that's very exciting, you know, for those particularly older students like in secondary schools.

Came up with a suicide prevention toolkit. We really pushed school-based mental health where there are some providers right there in the school every day, if possible. I know it's hard to hire psychologists, but some of the social workers with special training and, of course, our counselors with special training, but the more providers we can have accessible in schools, the better.

Our person on the School Safety Task

Force who's over mental health, her name is Greta

Metcalf, she started one of the first school-based

mental health programs in the state way up near

Cullowhee in Western North Carolina, Jackson

Psychological, where her licensed clinical social

workers under the care of a psychologist had their

offices in the schools, worked closely day-to-day with the teachers and families, a really great 2 program, and she still leads our mental health and 3 our mental health subcommittee.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

School climate. Of course, bullying, cyberbullying is at the heart of school safety and violence. You have to think of it -- I like to think of it as a ladder that escalates. If you don't nip bullying in the bud, when you're doing insults that kids do -- sometimes they think it's normal to just talk -- how they talk to each other, trash talk, insults, particularly those middle school students, I've learned, because I've raised four of them.

That's not nice the way that you're talking about somebody. That could be considered bullying. How do you think that's going to make They're somewhat desensitized, I them feel? think, to that. And the school administration needs to support the teachers when they report bullying and they want to do something about bullying and bring in the parents or whatever.

Anonymous reporting is key because students -- there's a large group of students who will not report things either because they don't

know if it's important, they're just not quite sure; they don't know who to go to at the school; or they're afraid they might be beaten up or targeted, and plenty of that has happened. So it's not just in their mind. We've had incidents where a student was beaten up in the classroom because he told about drugs being sold at the school. And, of course, they're always on their phones, so having something on their phones makes sense.

Student tutoring and mentoring program. We really believe in that peer-to-peer support. There's a lot of great programs. I know my daughter in elementary school was paired with a kindergartner -- kindergarten class of children with autism in the buddy program or whatever.

There's a lot of great programs for exceptional children related to peer-to-peer support out there.

SROs in schools. This is critical, I believe, for exceptional children. There have been lots of stories about an SRO approaching an exceptional child who probably shouldn't be approached by an SRO. They may be traumatized by someone in a uniform, someone they don't know, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the SRO may not know how to talk to that student.

So this -- this is a big issue. I was excited to see that a lot of the schools -- I think

Jacksonville shared their curriculum where they're training their SRO in children with special needs, children with disabilities.

Now some of the problems are -- of course, are, you know, the FERPA and the HIPAA. They can't see the IEP, but the school surely can tell the SRO, you know, about that small group of children who they shouldn't approach or they should approach a certain way or just things are going to go bad if they do. And, of course, whenever possible, you go through the special education teacher or the assistant, but there are times when a student somehow gets separated from the class or the teacher is not available and where the SRO may be called in to remove the child from the cafeteria or to go put hands on that upset child. And so schools need to be trained and realize they need to share some information with the SROs, and the SRO needs to be trained through their law enforcement agency and through the school how to react. It's a two-way street. It's not just the SRO; the school needs to know as

well, and that's part of the sharing of information. You know, we don't want to violate FERPA, HIPAA, those things, but we can certainly share basic things about the student suffers from posttraumatic stress and he's very afraid of anybody in a uniform and needs to be approached very carefully, if at all.

And the expectations need to be clear when that SRO comes into the school. That's why we really recommend the memos of understanding between the school and law enforcement agency so they both understand the SRO is not there to be part of carpool duty, to be called in for disciplinary actions, but they're really a law enforcement person and they may have to actually arrest somebody because they work for a law enforcement agency, if they're called in when there's fighting going on or weapons involved.

MS. MOOREFIELD: This is Ginny
Moorefield. I just had a question. So when SROs
are trained -- and I'm not sure if you can answer
this or not, but when they're trained or when they
go through any of the programs that your center
has developed, are they encouraged to meet the

students in some of our disability classes? Are
they encouraged to interact with the student body
in general so that they know the students so that
they can develop a relationship, and is there any
training on developing a positive and a friendly
safe relationship with students who have
disabilities so that, you know, they can learn
this person to be someone that's trusted?

MS. MARTIN: That is in the SRO

MS. MARTIN: That is in the SRO curriculum that the Justice Academy uses for those SROs who go to the Justice Academy for the -- they have a basic SRO and a higher level SRO training than they do. A lot of law enforcement agencies don't send their SROs there. They do things within their own agency, but we recommend the Justice Academy because they have a curriculum that's more standardized that we've had input into, and I think we're moving more and more in that direction.

But it recommends these kind of things, but it's really upon the school administrators to emphasize this point on their end, and it's also up to the SRO to have been trained to realize, you know, I need to go meet with the school staff, you know, before I start

talking about expectations.

I love the programs where you bring in the fire department and police officers for students to meet. I've seen several programs like that that schools do. So all the students as well as the exceptional children can go meet the firefighter and the fire truck, the police officer and the police car, you know, and become more familiar.

We also know that there are some cultures of students who are very afraid of law enforcement because their parents are, and so that's -- that's another cultural issue, and it's always great to go through -- if we're talking about the Hispanic community, to go through Hispanic organizations to kind of bridge that gap.

MS. THOMAS: Kym, if I could add, in the Exceptional Children Division, we have in the past provided through PBIS and through our Behavioral Support Section training for SROs as requested, and so we couldn't go and push that out. There had to be an invitation. So I'm real excited to hear that there is a real plan to do this for all SROs now because that has been very valuable, and that training covered the very

So I'd love to see

things you just talked about. how that parallels a little bit. 2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MARTIN: And the other good thing is a lot of SROs are hired through the DPI SRO I think -- I think we're up 300 or 400 right now in elementary and middle schools, and as a requirement of that grant, they have to do training including on children with disabilities, and we can require certain things of the SROs if they want the grant, and we require accountability as well.

At the end of the grant year, they have to report on what training they provided the SROs. That's how I got the information from Jacksonville about what they were doing with the special needs training.

MS. MEBANE: I have a question. Has there been any discussion around talking to parents -- either teachers or administrators talking to parents and making sure that they understand that there could be an interaction with Because I don't think a lot of parents an SRO? think about that, and they could actually -- you know, there's some things that the school can't share, but the parents can.

MS. MARTIN: Right. That's a good idea. Yeah, I don't know the best way to do that. A parent meeting with all parents -- you know, not singling out exceptional children -- all parents who want to come in and meet the SRO and ask the SRO questions would be a great thing for the school to do.

MR. BAKER: I was just going to add, one of the things that we do in our school is part of a curriculum night, and that's one of the topics that we actually speak upon. So that's something we address so parents can come in and learn about that particular thing in regards to school resource officers, what's the role, what do they do, and their interaction with their children.

MS. MARTIN: Right. A lot of times people think, well, it's upon the law enforcement agency and SRO to know these things, but it's a two-way street. You know, both the school agency and the law enforcement agency need to have an understanding. That's why those MOUs can be important.

So moving on from the SRO, we do do the critical incident response training for school

faculty and staff, what to do in the case of a school shooter primarily, also, you know, a weather-related emergency. In 2014, we provided -- created this curriculum and -- there was an old curriculum that was terribly outdated, but one of the things that this curriculum includes is options for teachers.

Instead of you have to go in your room and lock the door. It's pay attention to what's going on and the situation that you're in.

Don't just assume one thing is going to happen.

If you're out on the playground and a shooting's going on inside the school, you do not want to go in the school and get locked down in your classroom. You probably want to run through the woods and find a rallying point that's predetermined for you to go and wait until you get the all clear to go back in the building. So we -- one of the things we've really tried to do is give those options.

I think we could do a lot more in this curriculum for exceptional children. It talks about it, and every time you go to a school, they like to say, "Oh, my classroom over here, I have to pay attention to them because we have

students in wheelchairs that need to be taken out a different way." So schools are on top of that, but I know the school where I worked most recently, you know, it was even more of an issue for the children who didn't understand what was going on and were going to panic, you know, when things changed in their routine and loud noises and such.

I think probably school administrators are less sure about how to deal with those situations, and there are some good things that FEMA, Homeland Security has put out. Yes?

MS. GRADY: Do you guys have a recommendation on frequency of the lockdown drills?

MS. MARTIN: At least one a year dedicated to an active shooter, and that's per school. I mean that is the requirement, but we would recommend more than that. I look to -- we have a couple of law enforcement/emergency management folks that work with the Center. I kind of look to them for that side of things, but I think you need to practice it more than one time there within the school, but not so much like the

fire drills where you just -- it becomes so mundane and so regular that you don't really think 2 it could be a real fire and you tend not to take 3 it seriously. We wouldn't want it to become like 4 that. 5

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But -- and I know a lot of times they want to give the special education teacher the heads-up that there's going to be a loud sound and the drill, but that's unrealistic. If there were a school shooting, it wouldn't be that way. you have to balance, you know, is it worth upsetting this classroom of children the rest of the day with what if there really was a shooting, are they going to realistically know how to respond, and it's a hard balance. I don't envy the teachers having to do that.

MS. JOHNSON: Are these trainings available to nonpublic schools?

MS. MARTIN: Yes. As a matter of fact, Mike Anderson, who's one of the main trainers with the Center, is training the new charter schools this week or next week.

MS. JOHNSON: So not charter, but actually nonpublic or private ---

MS. THOMAS: Private schools.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MARTIN: We don't turn away private schools. I've been to Saint David's School, Ravenscroft. A lot of the local private schools have asked us to come, and we don't turn people away. So it really is for everyone. I would have to say, if we had a huge influx of requests, we would probably have to prioritize the public schools, which includes charter and regional and laboratory, but we haven't had that issue yet. We've just been able to meet the requests coming in.

MS. HUDGENS: I have two questions from the virtual participants. One, do we know how many districts use this program for SRO training, or maybe if it's easier stated, how many do we know that are not using it? Whichever one, do we know how many are?

MS. MARTIN: Going to the Justice Academy for the SRO training?

MS. HUDGENS: Uh-huh.

MS. MARTIN: We can get those numbers from the Justice Academy. They are under the Department of Justice so they're under the Attorney General's Office. They have an office -- a big training office in Salemburg, North

Carolina; Clinton, North Carolina; and they have one in Edneyville, the western part of the state. They did just have one SRO trainer. His name is Scott -- I can't think of his last name. He's on our Task Force for Safer Schools.

But now they've hired a couple more because of more school safety grants. There's talk about requiring an SRO in every school. The legislature has talked about that and others, and so, you know, it's good to get ahead of it now and get our SROs trained the right way now before we have such huge numbers that we have to train.

MS. HUDGENS: The second question -- and I think I'll direct it to both Leanna and you -- there's an invitation here to maybe come back when that curriculum is developed and give another update to the Council when that curriculum is developed. So we'll coordinate with your office, if that's okay.

MS. MARTIN: That sounds good, and we could have either Mike Anderson, who kind of specializes in the training, or even the Justice Academy come and talk about how they do it. We have -- the critical incident training is in the basic law enforcement training curriculum now --

requirement now. So we're part of required law enforcement training now. So that's good.

These are some of the resources that we're in the process of putting on our website.

As some of you-all know, the websites are a work in progress right now, but we've located all this great information. A lot of it's from

FEMA/Homeland Security on emergency planning for students with disabilities, some of the barriers for evacuation, some of the change in conditions, you know, and how that would impact special needs children.

Of course, planning for evacuation, which is naturally where we would go, and identifying students who may need extra assistance in evacuating and in reacting to a critical incident. Regular practice and drills. Transfer trauma. Often when there is a school shooting and if you do a real intense school lockdown drill, you're going to put students on a bus and take them to an evacuation point. That can be even more trauma for our students who are confused about why all that is going on, but there are great resources available that we're going to have on our website, and I'm going to recommend be put

in our curriculum. Yes?

MS. MOOREFIELD: So you just brought up an existential crisis for me. So you just mentioned -- and I haven't even thought about this, but when, you know, God forbid, there is an active shooter and they are evacuating students, I know that they just throw them on a bus as quickly as they can and get them to a safe place. Are all schools equipped with buses that can handle wheelchairs?

Because I know that -- I know in Wake County and a handful of other counties, we have to contract out those services, and they're not the best services on a day-to-day basis. So how do you -- how do they evacuate students who are in wheelchairs?

MS. MARTIN: That's a good point because if you're contracting, the bus may not be parked there at the school.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I guarantee you it's not parked there. It's not usually parked there when it's supposed to be there.

MS. MARTIN: Right. So, ideally, we would have to recommend that a handicapped-accessible bus be at the school at all times or

somewhere close where it can get there within

minutes.

on my "that mom" list.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I'll be putting that

MS. MARTIN: Yeah. Because children in wheelchairs, you're going to have to get the wheelchair in. True emergencies, you may be just picking up the student and moving with them.

MS. HUTCHINSON: It would be hard to budget for a bus to sit there and an employee to sit there five days a week, 40 hours a week for a what-if. I would think that there would be an alternative of like we have wheelchairs that are stair wheelchairs and those kinds of things, or they have like wheelchairs that are made for airplanes and such, that they could have those available that they could---

MS. MOOREFIELD: Those are not options, though, when you have kids that have severe disabilities. But a lot of -- and the reason I asked is because a lot of county buses that are used every day have wheelchair lifts, and I was just wondering if anybody knows, does each school have one of those? I mean it doesn't have to be like, you know, a contracted bus, but just

to have the capability.

MS. MARTIN: On the regular bus---

MS. MOOREFIELD: Yeah.

MS. MARTIN: ---or, quote, unquote, regular school bus, do they have the wheelchair---

MS. MOOREFIELD: That may or may not be transporting anybody that has a wheelchair, but---

MS. MARTIN: Because they have those doors that open at the back.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I mean you have to have the lift. What I'm saying is, a lot of regular -- your yellow school buses do have lifts, and I was just wondering if---

MS. MARTIN: There's a lot of planning that can go into this, but in a true school shooting emergency -- I hate to say it -- and they're rare---

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thankfully.

MS. MARTIN: They're rare,
thankfully. You know, you may just be picking up
a student and going with it, just getting them out
of there as quickly as possible. After the
shooter has been gotten into custody, once you've
made sure there's not multiple shooters, you've

got your shooters, then you can start, you know, thinking -- you know, evacuation and, you know, planning and getting those wheelchairs out and all.

MS. MOOREFIELD: And I'm not going to interrupt you further, but this is just to the Council. This is something that we've discussed before as far as emergency planning, and I really feel very strongly that we need to strongly push that there needs to be plans for this in place for all of our schools because as a parent of a child with severe disabilities who requires a custom wheelchair, I've got to tell you I am sick to death of the County and the State telling me that my kid is not as important as other kids.

And we've been dealing with the transportation thing for years. It's totally legal to pass my kid's school bus -- and there's nothing I can do about it -- even when he's on the lift. It's totally fine for his classroom not to have a lock on it so they can't lockdown. It's totally fine for, you know, all of these other things that I've had to be "that mom" on, and I should not have to be "that mom" just because my child has severe disabilities and is in the vast

minority.

So I get what is being said about the expense and everything, but as a Council, especially a council for children with special needs, I understand that the autism population, the CP population, the mild and moderate population is way larger. I've got to tell you-all I'm getting real sick of being kind of isolated for severe disabilities. That, no, we -- you know, we don't need to worry about that right now because this is a bigger problem. It's not.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I hear you, you know.

MS. MARTIN: You can't put a value on a life.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, you can't put a value on anybody's life. I think there are strategies that need to be discussed within a committee such as---

MS. MOOREFIELD: As a Council, I would like us to address, you know, just start maybe with these emergency plans and things like that, and we can make some recommendations that include all of our kids with disabilities.

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TERHAAR: We've got that as item 4, don't we? "Add EC involvement in School Safety Advisory committees with a focus on ensuring students with significant needs --- "

MS. MOOREFIELD: Yes. And we had [inaudible] of that at the last meeting. I just want to make sure that as a board we really -- we don't let that fall off of our radar.

MS. MARTIN: And part of it is reunification after the event because you've secured the shooter, arrested the shooter, and you can now evacuate the school. You still have to evacuate the school because it's a crime scene and probably going to be a crime scene for days, and that's when the students can be more orderly, if you will, taken out to the reunification point.

And so we are working on a reunification training. A lot of schools are asking us for that, how to best separate grades, how to best and orderly get those parents paired with their students when they show up to pick up their students because one of the things that happened outside of Charlotte was the parents stormed the school after the shooting last fall,

and somebody was almost badly hurt because they
were trampled. And so reunification, you know,
after the incident needs to be, you know, a big
part of the discussion.

MS. HUDGENS: So I'd like to recognize Christy, then Lisa, and then we have a caller on the line that would like to make a comment. So if we can go ahead to Christy.

MS. GRANT: Sure. I think one of the things that's important to know is that each school has an emergency management plan, but what I found out this year, working with emergency management and our administrators, is that looking at each plan, especially with our classrooms with kind of low-incidence populations, the plans didn't always specifically state what was going to happen for this particular group of kids, and it has to change every year because the needs of the kids change.

So we put together a committee at our central office within the EC Department, and our physical therapists have been key. They have been the voice for your children. They really came in and said, "What about these kids in wheelchairs?"

And emergency management, who were doing the

training in our district anyway, didn't even think about half the stuff that we were talking about.

They're not school people.

And so we're working with them to develop a plan that is specific to classrooms with children with disabilities and what would they need in terms of you may need -- we talk about having their red bags in our district with all the health stuff. But what about a sensory bag or what about their communication devices, extra batteries.

MS. MARTIN: Nutritional stuff, medical supplies.

MS. GRANT: We do the active shooter drill. I mean you -- I didn't realize how long that the kids could be there. I mean it can be awhile. So then we get into what about those with feeding tubes and those that need feeding and what about diapers. So it is very---

MS. MOOREFIELD: It can get real messy real fast.

MS. GRANT: That's right. You're exactly right. So I do think that's something that probably does need to be looked into, is while schools do have emergency management plans

and preparedness plans, what is being done specifically for the children -- specifically being outlined in the plans for the children with disabilities.

MS. PHILLIPS: And I think with that, you know, you've got to look at how are we assessing the process, are we taking a look at each of the individual schools and ensuring that the practice is occurring, and how is that reported to the district level and then how does that filter up to the state level. Because that too can help identify where there are some gaps or where there's some need for additional training and that kind of thing.

So, Ms. Martin, that may be something that you're going to discuss, but you know, to me, I think we also have to evaluate what is happening when these programs are occurring. We can't just leave it up to school administrators or the local law enforcement to come in because some of our local law enforcement agencies are very tiny. They don't -- they don't have the capacity, and then, in turn, in those particular departments, a lot of those folks are also new. They're not seasoned law enforcement or haven't been there for

an extended period of time.

So, you know, how are we taking a good picture at what is transpiring when they are practicing this and what are we learning from it so that we can change and prepare better for if it was to occur or for the next practice. So it does go along with what you're saying, so---

MS. MARTIN: Yes, the General
Assembly has been asking those questions, are
schools doing the lockdown drills, how do we know
schools are doing these things and that they have
SROs, and so I think -- I believe, if the
legislation passes -- it's called the School
Safety Omnibus bill -- it requires that the Center
for Safer Schools finds a way for accountability
here at the Department.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I know there's a caller waiting, but a quick stepping stone to improving this situation is like what we as a Council had talked about making a section on the IEP for those -- for those -- you know, that critical information about that child so that, you know, it could be put in the IEP so that there is -- you know, that could just sort of be put right into their plan.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HUDGENS: Okay. I'm going to recognize Katie Holler. Katie, you should be unmuted now, if you'd like to offer your comments.

MS. HOLLER: Thanks, Carol Ann. just wanted to mention that a lot of the managed-care organizations across the state offer crisis intervention training, and they're done for law enforcement and sometimes SROs. And so one of the thoughts I had was it may be helpful for you just to connect with the MCOs in the area, whether it's Alliance, [inaudible], whatever area you feel like you want to connect with, to touch base with them about their trainings.

They have brought in, you know, different specialists or presenters from like NAMI or the Autism Society to provide like training for participants, and I have heard that they have been very, very effective and helpful in educating people on strategies and those sort of things. So just an idea for you.

And then I have another MS. HUDGENS: Abby, I'm going to switch over to you. caller. Give me just one second. Okay. Abby, let's try and see if you're able to comment now.

> Abby, I'm sorry. If you'll Okay.

type your question in the question box, I'll make sure that it is raised. We're not able to hear you right now. I'm sorry.

If you want to go ahead, I'll loop back to Abby's question when she gets it in the box.

MS. MARTIN: The school safety grants of 2018, they're finishing up right now pretty much, and the house over in the general assembly has recommended increasing them and extending them into the next school year, but this is a great way to get training into schools. One of the categories is school safety training for students in crisis and also to train school staff on some of the school safety issues.

There's different categories for these training grants such as children in foster care, children in crisis situations, but we could have a category, if you-all thought this was a good idea and we could make it as a recommendation, for exceptional children. I know a lot -- I know several of the recipients of these grants are working with exceptional children as part of the training program, but we don't have like a specific category for exceptional students

for those grants, and as you know, some people's minds may not go immediately that way.

So it's kind of good to have this in those four or five recommended areas. So that is one thing we can do, and you-all could help me think about what -- you know, what it could say exactly about exceptional children.

MS. HUTCHINSON: I think it's important to identify, not the area of exceptionality, but how the impairment would impede their ability to either evacuate or transition. So whether that be physical, emotional, you know, regardless of the area of disability, that's what an emergency person would need to know. Is this a physical thing I have to overcome, or is this an emotional and functional thing I have to overcome, you know.

MS. MARTIN: Right.

MS. HUTCHINSON: So those would be two categories that would be important.

MS. MARTIN: Both challenging for different reasons.

 $\label{eq:MS.HUTCHINSON: Different reasons} % \begin{center} \be$

MS. HUDGENS: So I have Abby's

comment. She is asking if you-all have thought about putting together a stakeholder panel for this work, and she really appreciates how you've brought this to the Council, but she would offer that a panel might be very helpful to you on this topic.

MS. MARTIN: Yes. I'm thinking on a panel we'd have emergency management who are connected to FEMA, Homeland Security, and they're doing the school risk and response management system that most of the schools use, and then we have Mike Anderson from the Center who does most of the critical incident training, and maybe even a couple of emergency response-type agencies coming in and talking about this kind of training with you-all.

The Governor's Crime Commission did this with the SRO training a few months ago. They brought in different experts to talk about the SRO training, and it might be something that you-all could do, have a small panel of experts to talk to you and you talk to them about the training needs. I do think that probably the ADA, Americans with Disabilities Act, requires that this be incorporated to the critical incident training and

emergency plans. So, you know, we're doing it because it's the right thing to do and it's needed, but also, I would think there are ADA requirements for all this.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Cache?

MS. OWENS: I would just offer that I love this idea of having a panel and also including young people themselves who are able to advocate on what they might need in emergencies like this. And the reason this is on my mind, ECAC just had a conference last weekend, and we had a panel of young people, and one of our panelists talked a lot about the way they would overcome the fears about fire alarms and drills and what they were able to put in place for him based on his own ideas that allowed him to successfully stay in the building when they had these drills.

And I just think they would probably have a lot to offer about how we can make this process easier for them if they're a part of the conversation.

MS. MARTIN: Yeah, that sounds great.

And we've heard a lot about students who are

traumatized because they've been involved in a

school shooting. We've heard about recent suicides of students who have been involved. So it is life-changing. Some say you're never the same if you're involved in a situation like that or even have it in your state, and we've had one over at UNC Charlotte just a few months ago.

So for all students, it can be traumatic. For our young students who may think you're locking down because of a shooter, their minds may think there really is a shooter outside that door. You know, they don't -- aren't going to be able to differentiate. So this is -- can be traumatic, you know, for all students and staff.

I mean, as a special education teacher, you know, I've thought what I would do because, you know, I taught self-contained, and they were my family. You know, I like to think that I would lay down my life for my students, you know, if a shooter came into my classroom, but until you're there in that situation, sometimes it's hard to tell.

So some of the new legislation, I'll go through it quickly, and this is pending stuff that's passed the House, passed the School Safety Committee in the House, and over in the Senate,

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

we don't anticipate that the Senate is going to have major problems with any of this, but nothing is ever done until it's done.

They are going to require schools to have -- each school to have a school threat assessment team, and that's if the student -- a student or it could be staff, I guess, makes a threat against the school or themself. It also relates to suicide and self-harm. And so these teams are going to be required and they're going to be required to share information about the types of threats that they faced each year.

And then, as we said, the SRO training is going to be a requirement. going to have -- we're going to require schools to tell us how many SROs they have, are they full-time, part-time, shared with other schools, what is their contact information because everybody asks me every year how many SROs are there, does every school have one.

The answer is there is about 1300 in the state, and most of the high schools have them. Some high schools have more than one. Middle schools are getting there. Elementary schools, not as much. A lot of school districts have said,

"Well, how do I -- if I can only do a few, how do
I start just a few and not do all of them?" So we
need to know how many SROs we have, and maybe even
have that as part of the School Report Card
information.

A system of accountability for schools to report on their school safety initiatives. One of you brought up have you assessed your building for vulnerability, and this is something where we need to interject exceptional children and the things that the facility would need to show, you know, related to exceptional children, wheelchair, et cetera, other barriers.

Engaging students in positive ways.

Each school is going to have to have a

peer-to-peer student support program, and this

does not -- it doesn't specify whether it be

related to drug prevention, tutoring, working with

an exceptional student. The counseling section of

DPI, Cynthia Floyd, is going to be developing some

of the requirements for this program in

conjunction with the Center for Safer Schools.

Definitely one of those categories needs to be partnering with an exceptional student

because it's good for all the students, and so that's going to be a requirement and an area where 2 we can identify some really good programs --3 training programs for this. I know they're out 4 I just can't pull them up in my head. 5 you know of one? 6

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GRADY: [Inaudible] has a great Start With Hello program that I wish more school knew about.

MS. GRADY:

MS. MARTIN: The Hello program?

Start With Hello.

That's one of the MS. MARTIN: Yeah. things we were the most excited about Sandy Hook Promise. They have a lot of -- they're more than They have a lot of great violence just the app. prevention, bullying prevention programs that schools are using, you know, in North Carolina.

MS. HUTCHINSON: A comment about the On the previous slide, you were saying SROs. there's a possibility of requiring every school to have an SRO. Some of the things that we've experienced in special ed is areas where there aren't personnel to fill those positions, and so I know from personal experience, there's been times when we have had the position available and had

the money for the SRO, and the County cannot recruit enough -- cannot recruit enough officers.

I just read an article yesterday about the Statesville area and how they were trying to recruit, but they have unfilled positions.

So the requirements can be requirements, but something has to be done at the recruitment level and training level to get people in those positions as well.

MS. MARTIN: Exactly, yeah.

MS. HUTCHINSON: The best people are really hard to come by sometimes.

MS. MARTIN: It doesn't need to be just anybody. It needs to be somebody---

MS. HUTCHINSON: It has to be a unique individual.

MS. MARTIN: ---who wants to be there working with children. But, yeah, so I guess some of the schools have started using what they call emergency personnel who may not have a weapon, but they're just there for a second pair of hands, a second pair of eyes. Charlotte-Mecklenburg does that.

And then we have -- of course, in Moore County, they have their own school police

force as well as in Mecklenburg, and then there's all sorts of talk about armed volunteers. You've heard that going around the legislature. We keep the position that a person who is armed in a school needs to be a trained law enforcement person because there's a lot that goes into that and it's a specialty area.

School support staff need to be expanded and trained on the mental health issues. That's the school -- I was talking about the school safety grants. You're seeing four of the categories for the grants right there. The school mental health support personnel are for the counselor, the nurse, the social worker, or the psychologist. They can choose between that menu, and assuming they could recruit that person -- there were some recruitment issues as well. So, hopefully, new things that will be coming up once the General Assembly finishes up.

I'm not going to talk a lot about this because we've talked about the other things that I think are more important, but just briefly, as this relates to exceptional children and their parents, I was thinking about it, and I did ask Sandy Hook if it has any special adaptations for

children with different disabilities. You can
call in to a number and they have special
adaptations for the hearing impaired when you call
into that number. Not many students call in
because they -- you know, they live on their phone
and the app is right there on their phone.

You know, it does require filling out a form so it's a lot of typing, a lot information you have to put on the form. Some of our exceptional children may find that cumbersome. They may go home and tell their parents about a concern that they have, and their parents may submit the form for them.

The app can be downloaded by anyone. We're going to target it for students and kind of train and market it for students because we feel like they're the ones we really need to get information from because they know what's going on with their peers around them and they're on the social media where they can see these things. But the parent, the teacher, anybody at the school could download and use the app and send tips in.

The way this is going to look is that the tipster, whoever that is, downloads the app from the -- it will be on the Apple iTunes and

Android Store. So that tells you it's a public market. Anybody can do it. They download it and they submit a tip, or they can go to the website for, say, something and download the form from the website and send it in that way or call the hotline.

Now each time they do this, they have to designate a school because if we get hundreds of tips and we don't know which school they're associated with, we have a big problem. So you have to designate the school that you want the tip to go to, which would lead you to believe where the student that's being tipped in goes to that school, but not always. And a parent could use multiple schools. They could go in and do it a second time for a different school if they have students -- children at different schools.

So they send it in either through the app, the website, or the phone, and it goes to a specially trained crisis center of trained crisis counselors, and we have asked to see the resumes of these people because part of what we're paying for are seven North Carolina-dedicated crisis counselors. So we want to see what their background is. And, of course, they say they've

gotten like 300 applications, so that's exciting. It's housed in Florida. Everything in the web is in the web. We're very international with it.

We've talked about having a North
Carolina crisis center right here, and we've
talked to emergency management where they have the
Watch Point/Safety Point House, you know, over
there in their center. We've talked to the SBI
Fusion Center, who have analysts trained in
behavior and mental health, about whether they
could all man a crisis center in North Carolina.
They're interested. They weren't ready right now.

So we're going to use the Sandy Hook Crisis Center this year and see how that works, and then we may want to move to one here in North Carolina that Sandy Hook trains because these people have -- beyond the backgrounds they already have, need special training in, you know, what if you get a student threatening suicide on the phone. You know, they need to know what to do to keep that student on the phone, get all the information that they can, et cetera. So it's, I consider, a highly -- it needs to be a highly trained, you know, area.

And then once the crisis center gets

the tips, they triage it. They decide whether it's life safety or nonlife safety. They contact the school team. We are asking each school to designate a team of two or three people to receive the tips, investigate the tips, and it's probably the people that are already doing that because schools are already getting all this, you know, different ways. This is just a tool -- another tool, another way of getting information.

So don't worry, schools. You're

So don't worry, schools. You're already doing this. Just think, is it your counselor, is it your assistant principal, is it your SRO, who's already working on these type things. It is very much connected to the 911 dispatch, the way that they do the program. They need to know from each school who their 911 dispatch center is, and the system will be set up around that for the life-threatening calls to go directly there.

This shows what it looks like when you download it on your phone. It has a menu of things that you can tip in, which one of the things I didn't like so much -- because the student -- you know, a student who's moving fast anyway, they're not going to take a lot of time to

read everything -- has to read this long menu.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But it shows -- you'll see that the number-one tips that they got were related to bullying and cyberbullying, anger issues, cutting and self-harm. The State of Pennsylvania just rolled this out, and the majority of their tips were suicide and self-harm related. And so I'm really talking to our schools about how we can make sure we know who our community mental health partners, our crisis mental health partners are and maybe some of the school safety grants being used to support this because schools are already getting this. This isn't new, but you may get more since students, if trained properly, should use the app.

So some of those tips, you know, they fall into a gray area. Like bullying tips can be tricky. You think bullying, it can just wait till the next day, but then you kind of talk back and forth or text back and forth with the tipster and you find out, oh, well the person was bullied and they're going to bring a gun to school tomorrow. Well, that quickly goes over to life safety and has to be handled that way.

So they'll try to get as much

information as they can from the tipster. Ten percent of the tips -- ten percent, as they're saying, started out nonlife safety and were upgraded to life safety, but the majority of the tips are not going to be life safety that you get, and schools will just wait till the next day to investigate those type issues.

So how much is it going to be used?

Can we anticipate? They're anticipating three to six percent of students trained are going to submit a tip once a year. Training needs to be not just one time and forget about it. The school needs to provide materials throughout the year to remind them that it's there and why they should use it.

I don't really think we need to go into that one. Okay. I'm done, and she was pointing at me anyway. So I think this will be good for school safety, get our students engaged, and I think parents will probably assist their students in tipping things in or may tip things in on their own, if they see them themselves, as it relates to exceptional children.

If you-all can think of any ways we can specially train exceptional children to use

1

4

3

5

7

6

8 9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

this or to report, maybe it's just emphasizing the need to report it to their teacher, whichever way is best for exceptional children.

MS. HUTCHINSON: You have a bullet on here about summer impact. What do you mean by that?

MS. MARTIN: Over the summer, one of the requirements for this program, it has to be manned 24-7. That's why we're using a crisis center. We don't want schools to have to, you know, have their phones by them all night and on the weekends and even over the summer, but some students will tip things in over the summer that may be more community-related than school-related, but it will go to a school. They'll focus it to a school because they have to designate it to a school, and we need to -- you know, since it's live and still active, we need to monitor those And so crisis center folks may be getting in touch with the principal, or whoever, over the summer if something comes in they need to be aware of.

MS. MEBANE: I just want to make a comment. I take hundreds of calls a year, and one of the things that I hear a lot from parents is

that their child has reported bullying, but because of their ability to report appropriately, 2 they are not taken very seriously. You know, if 3 the typical -- if the typical student says 4 something different, then the typical student is 5 more believed than the student with a disability. MS. MARTIN: Can tell a better story or---

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MEBANE: Right, exactly. wanted to make that comment and hoping that you guys will take some of that into consideration and possibly put some of that in your trainings that, you know, even though one child's story may seem more believable than another child's, it doesn't mean that you don't still watch and keep a watchful eye out, especially if the student has a disability because they may not be a good reporter.

MS. MARTIN: Right. And some of the threat assessment processes that are going to be put together at the schools talk about investigation and monitoring. So maybe that will help support some of that as well. I'm going to take this back to the Superintendent's Office and to the Task Force leadership so they can act upon

it and get these things on our website and some of your comments. So thank you-all for your time.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Moving right along. Should we go ahead and do the AU policy changes? I think so, and we might have to do a working lunch later.

MS. THOMAS: So good morning again.

I have asked the team that presented at the State

Board of Ed last week to come before you and do

the presentation for the Council. Some of you may

have been on the call for the State Board meeting,

but we felt it important, and the Board was very

interested in us providing you with this

information directly to help clarify questions

that may have been raised through the Council.

And so I'd like to introduce Lynn

Makor and Dr. Lauren Holahan, and they're going to

talk to you today. And then at the end or as we

go through, if you have questions, we will be

happy to take those questions and answer them.

Just for clarification, we did create an extended

public comment period for you and took those

questions and then went back with the webinar and

answered those.

So this will not be a public comment,

but this will be an opportunity for questions. Our goal is just to help educate and help you 2 understand what these changes really mean. 3 questions before we get started?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(No audible response.)

MS. THOMAS: All right.

MS. MAKOR: Good morning, almost afternoon. Thank you, Sherry. My name is Lynn Makor, and I am a consultant currently within the Exception Children Division. I'm a school psychologist by training. So in the Division, I'm assigned to school psychology, and I'm also assigned to traumatic brain injuries.

My colleague Dr. Holahan is here, however, has to step out in about ten minutes. we may not be able to be at the part -- she's an OT representing the OT side of this and has been a heavy part of the work. So we'll see what we can do in getting you-all to lunch as quickly as possible.

So I am just going to kind of rehash some of the information that we shared and knowing some of the concerns that came in from this Council and some of the questions that we received when we did deliver the webinar a few weeks ago,

about a month ago, I guess it was now, to this Council in May.

I wanted to start with just some grounding information around -- based on some of the concerns that came in, what the policy recommendations do not do in any way, shape, or form. So they do not limit or restrict anything related to the federal regulations that we have in the definition of autism spectrum disorder, and of course, then, as a result of that, wouldn't restrict anything related to the current policy definition of that in North Carolina.

They also don't narrow any kind of identification procedures that we currently have in place. When we are looking at investigating autism and we -- they don't place any unnecessary restrictions on our IEP team. So I just -- I wanted to kind of lay that groundwork and then talk about a little bit of what our intention was when we actually set out on this journey of investigation several years ago.

Some of us that work in the field of autism came together and looked at our policy and determined that we need to be better aligned with what we know are universally accepted

characteristics of autism spectrum disorder. there is a broad definition and broad eligibility 2 classification pieces in our existing policy. 3 However, there is some vagueness and ambiguity 4 that I'm going to point out, and our mission was 5 to improve the alignment with what we know about 6 autism.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And what we also know is our students with autism and our children with autism don't have autism on the weekend, and then Monday morning at 8:00 a.m., before they enter the school building, they somehow don't have autism anymore. So it exists outside of the context of the school environment, which is a little different than some of our IDEA eligibility classifications.

But many of our IDEA eligibility classifications exist whether it's in the context of the school setting or whether it's outside of the walls of the school setting, and we just recognize that there is not as much alignment as there needs to be with this particular disability, and for the reasons that I'm going to share in a minute, we need to pay attention to this.

Autism is now the most prevalent classification in our state. Specific learning

disabilities -- and this is all based on our April 2019 Child Count data. I don't believe it's published yet on the website, but we do have this data available. And our learning disability population continues to be the most prevalent with 35.4 percent of those students.

Our current total of students in April, to give you some context, was 206,532 students out of our 1.5 million kids in our public schools have been identified with one or more of the 14 disabilities. So learning disabilities is the most prevalent and continues to be. Other health impairment is our second most prevalent. Speech-language impairment is our third. As of April 2018, autism bumped up to fourth. It was fifth prior to 2018. It bumped up to fourth, and it stays there right now in our 2019 data. And then intellectual disabilities is our fifth.

So these are the top five most prevalent disability categories, and what we've seen with our autism identification rates over the past several years is that we have a continued about average increase of about 1300, give or take, students coming on board with that disability classification every year. What we

expect is going to happen in the not so distant future is that autism is going to bump speech-language and soon be the third most prevalent.

We don't expect this trend to change. We expect, knowing what we know about the existing research, the prevalence rates that we have before us that are available based on how they're collected, we know that autism prevalence is high. I would be surprised if there's anyone in the room who doesn't in one way or another have some sort of personal relationship with somebody, or in their family, that has a diagnosis of autism because of the prevalence.

So what we're expecting in the trend is that we're probably going to see pretty soon autism kind of take into that third. So one of the reasons why we need to do a better job and provide better support and clear delineation to all of our IEP teams across the state is that we have a disability category that's very prevalent, and it's going to continue to increase in how we're identifying.

We're going to do a better job, if we're providing clearer delineation, of how our

IEP teams apply the policy parameters based on the federal regs to their eligibility decisions. So we recognized some ambiguity here in our -- in how our policies are currently written.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So overall the goal in embarking in this journey and providing some recommendations within our division that then came forward out for public comment and to the State Board of Ed is that we also know in working with our students and our children with autism that we have seen -- and I have seen in my own practice before I came into this position -- that there's sometimes a detriment to our kids -- fragmented, disconnected, and interrupted services between community and school providers based on who accepts what diagnosis and whether or not it meets the threshold outside in the community provider lens or whether or not the school-based practitioners understand enough to be able to apply what they're receiving from the private providers.

Our goal -- in my experience and what I know has happened is that sometimes we have a child who has a diagnosis of autism, and it may or may not be accepted in one place or another, and often may put a child through another evaluation

cycle to glean the same kinds of information just because we're not speaking a similar enough language in how we're investigating certain characteristics.

So we really wanted to help improve alignment and be able to minimize the disruption or fragmentation of services that our children have and the needs that they have, whether they're out in the community or whether they're in our school building. So we need more consistency in our identification, and that is what we set out to accomplish.

will just kind of go through our federal and state definition, our screens and evals and eligibility criteria, and then just an overview of the public comment process that occurred and what other input was provided by some stakeholders. So within the federal definition, these are the three main buckets that the federal IDEA legislation gives us in terms of defining autism.

We have -- we know that it's a developmental disability and the significant impact is on communication, both verbal and nonverbal, as well as social interaction. Those

are the hallmark characteristics of anybody with autism spectrum disorder. And then, of course, the federal regs also go on to, as with any disability category, describe that it must adversely affect a child's educational performance, and then it underscores what we know about autism as well, is that those characteristics are generally present in the early developmental period or before age three.

And then the additional pieces -characteristics that we may see all of or just
some of are things like that repetitive restricted
behaviors and interests, resistance to change and
rigidity in thinking, or any kinds of unusual
types of responses in their sensual world, whether
it be tactile, visual, or auditory.

And then the federal regs also give us a reminder that if the emotional disability is the main reason why a child is having a difficult time learning and progressing in school, that we should not apply the identification of autism. So we are charged with trying to tease that out when we have some significant emotional struggles with our kids.

And so that's kind of what we get

from the federal regs, and the North Carolina definition doesn't veer at all from anything that the federal regulations give us. Most of our North Carolina definitions are an exact replica of the federal definition. Then from there, what we're charged with doing in each state is taking that definition and applying how we're going to investigate and determine whether it exists, and each state varies.

So our state -- so the big buckets of what we know are these things. The things that we can observe and actually investigate are the big top squares there, the social communication and social interaction deficits, and then the restricted, repetitive behaviors and interests and unusual sensory experiences. The things that we typically don't have access to are symptoms present in the early developmental period. Before age three, we don't see any of these children, and so we have to rely very heavily on the parent and caregiver input for that.

And we have recognized that we have not been as delineated as we probably need to be in underscoring the pieces of the social development history that are really critical in

investigating through the lens of the caregiver or the parent. So we don't get to investigate that and observe it on the surface, but we get to investigate it through the historical reference that the parent or the caregiver provides. So we know we need to kind of attest for all of these things in some way, shape, or form.

What North Carolina -- our group set out to do is, knowing those buckets, we looked at our required screens, and we decided that we wanted to recommend adding a motor screen and a sensory processing eval, which are not required at this point. So it didn't make a whole lot of sense to us that they weren't required if we know that characteristics often associated with autism have to do with sensory response and some problems possibly with like your motor planning and organization.

And Lauren left. So I just looked back to see if she wanted to come up and chime in, but she's gone. I feel okay. I feel like I know enough about that.

But that was one piece that we just said let's just -- we don't have this as a requirement, but it also brings the critical lens

of our occupational therapist into this team. So for every evaluation of a student with autism, the 2 occupational therapist would be involved because 3 we've provided a definition for a sensory processing eval that requires that a licensed 5 occupational therapist be the one delivering that -- administering that evaluation.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The only other things in our current required screens and evals that we decided that needed clarification was the social and developmental history because it was -- it's just broadly written right now, as with other disability categories, but with this, we went into just a little bit more clarifying language to underscore the critical things that we need to ask the parent or the caregiver to provide because evidence before age three is going to be dependent on our historical reference. So we just delineated that a little bit more clearly.

We also recognize that we don't want to pigeonhole teams into thinking that they need to produce an overall IQ score as a part of their psych eval if it's not to the benefit of anyone to know that about the child. We know that our students that are not used to the types of

interaction in an assessment situation may have a really difficult time -- I can speak to this myself -- engaging in the psych eval, and we also know that there's -- there's kind of ebbs and flows in strengths and areas of -- weaker developed areas in their cognitive profile that we really want to be able to collect, but not get hung up on how that all gets put together into an overall score.

What we know sometimes happens is that -- although we're not supposed to do this, sometimes there is a lowering of the bar of expectations when we tend to focus heavily on an overall IQ score, and we don't necessarily need all that for any particular reason. We need to know how cognitively our kids are strong and where their weaker areas are so that we can support them and help level the playing field.

So we took out like locking teams into feeling like they always had to produce an IQ score. I mean they can if they want in the psych eval, but the psych eval is much more than just administering a cognitive test. So we wanted to clarify that.

And then we also wanted to clarify

that the behavioral assessment piece really was very targeted. It led to some confusion and a lot of technical assistance questions that we received at the state level around what exactly does this mean as far as the behavioral assessment, what kind of tools can we use. So we just added some clarifying language that you really have to have a tool that investigates those characteristics that were described earlier of autism.

And so that's basically the things that were adjusted or added to the requirements of the screens and evals, and then within that context, we take all of that and then apply it to eligibility decision-making. This slide represents our current eligibility criteria.

Based on a lot of technical assistant kind of like information and questions that come in, we have the three out of four kind of way to apply our evaluations into determining autism, which leads to some ambiguity.

And so keeping in mind our prevalence rates are on the rise, our teams need to do a good job at this. They need to know what they're investigating very clearly around these four big buckets, and they need to be able to apply good

decision-making based on the information that they have. So with this, we know that there is some ambiguity because we know what we know about autism is impairments in communication and social interaction are hallmark. So what we sometimes might be left with is a child who is -- the team has identified has B, C, and D, but not A, and we could say that they have autism, which we know would not be the case.

We also have unfortunate situations where teams are not always left with understanding clearly how to investigate the sensory pieces and the repetitive behaviors, that they think it's always something that we know that are like stereotypical of the mind-set of autism and flapping and just continuous like repetitive kinds of phrases and things like that, but what we know about those things stretches way beyond.

And often our children with highfunctioning autism have other types of restricted
kinds of behaviors and interests that aren't so
surface level, that we need to be able to
understand what it is they're investigating -- our
teams need to be able to understand what it is
they're investigating and may actually miss some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kids when they're not investigating that very well because they might see three of those four are present but one isn't -- I'm sorry -- two of those four may be present because their investigation wasn't very clear. So this is where we have some ambiguity with that current kind of way that we're kind of going through identification practices around those characteristics.

So what we -- what we have proposed to do is take our impairments in social interaction and communication and tell our teams this is what you're looking for. When you're investigation, you're looking for reciprocity, social and emotional reciprocity; you're looking for how nonverbal communication is looking with this child. Sure, they may be able to answer a lot of questions and maybe even receive information pretty well, but how is that nonverbal stuff going? Are they like me? Like do they get me, a new person like me with lots of gestures, lots of voice inflection, lots of ways that I communicate nonverbally, and what does that look like in the student.

So that's what impairment in communication and social interaction means when

we're investigating autism. The relationship piece, developing, maintaining, and understanding relationships. We don't have that really clearly defined in A and B right now, but this is how we've translated it to say this is -- this is what we're investigating when we're saying social communication and interaction. And so looking across the board at the hallmark characteristics of autism would need deficits in all three.

Then the unusual response to our sensory environment and those restricted, repetitive behaviors, we've laid out this is what you're investigating. You're investigating these things and determining which ones, if any, exist in this child, and we know they don't all need to exist, but they're at least -- there are characteristics present that at least meet two out of these four areas.

And so looking at sameness, inflexibility with routines, like ritualized patterns of verbal or nonverbal behavior. How they're responding to sensory things in their environment that we really haven't required any type of investigation on at this point, and that was the reason why we've added the layer of the

Tells

sensory piece -- sensory eval and the additional lens of our occupational therapist as part of this team.

So that's kind of like how we've taken our four A, B, C, D and more just clearly defined this is what you're looking for because we have a lot of students with autism in our public schools and we don't want to miss them, and we also don't want to incorrectly identify ones when we're thinking too broadly about it. We need to know exactly what we're investigating.

So this is the intent of the recommendations that we put up. It doesn't do -- it doesn't change anything in terms of what we're investigating. We would hope that we're leading our teams into better identification of our students with autism, knowing that it's on the rise and it will continue to be.

We had the public comment period. It was last year, and so these are just the general comments that came in. We did receive a lot of public comment that was, generally speaking, in support of the changes. I'll even say some comments that came in were like, "It's about time. What took you so long?" Like, you know, "Why

didn't you do this sooner?" We did receive some great critical feedback around the wording of changes that we initially proposed related to the social developmental history and the behavioral assessment, and based on the critical feedback, we did make adjustments to what you see -- it wasn't in the presentation, but it's in the actual proposed policy. Those reflect the feedback that we got on some of the wording that still wasn't clear enough, according to the public comment.

I, unfortunately, don't have the

I, unfortunately, don't have the stakeholders here today that were at the State Board of Ed meeting, but we did have some community and school-based practitioners comment on what the implication of that meant to them as a practitioner in a school and a practitioner in a private kind of setting that were able to give the feedback that they wanted to share. So I'm sorry they're not here today.

But I don't know if there is any energy left for any additional questions or clarifications that need to be made because I know I'm probably seeping into your lunchtime today.

MS. HUDGENS: I'm managing the virtual platform, and we do have a few questions

coming in here. So I'm going to unmute -- Katie,
you should be able to ask your questions now.

you should be able to ask your questions now.

MS. HOLLER: Sure. Thank you. I

really, really appreciate you coming and
presenting this. Very, very helpful. I just -- I
just want to ask some questions and have kind of
an understanding because there's a concern I have
as far as with the alignment that we currently
have, right? It perfectly aligns with federal,
and although we were corrected on needing to
provide some additional clarification, my question
is, could you not just add those measures and
maintain the criteria to remain three out of four
areas?

Because if you look at the proposed changes, it almost appears -- well, it does -- that it would be five out of seven areas to qualify, and so it almost seems more restrictive. At least that was my impression, as I continued to look at it, but I just wanted your feedback on that.

MS. MAKOR: Yeah. Thank you. I think that when we get into the "this out of this many," it does, unfortunately, feel like we're putting some stronger handlebars around it, and I

hope that -- you know, I can try to provide more clarity on those four areas that we have, knowing that we have three really big buckets of characteristics to investigate from how we understand autism, that we were just intending to help the school teams understand within those areas what are you actually investigating.

So, in essence, we kind of see it as we took the four and broke it out into the two areas, like the two big buckets that you saw earlier -- I don't know if you could see the presentation, Katie---

MS. KATIE: I did.

MS. MAKOR: So like those two boxes are like the big buckets that we can actually see at some level, and we've just kind of delineated these are the areas that you have to investigate, and unfortunately, we don't want to exclude students that we're not understanding how to better investigate some of these areas that are more subtle.

And we also -- and, you know, the communication piece is written so broadly that you can have a student who can do a standard battery of assessments to assess their communication

skills, but if we're not looking at pragmatics really specifically around those characteristics, 2 we're going to miss a lot of kids because, on the 3 surface, I think a lot of our students will 4 perform well on some of those measures. 5

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it was really just taking those A, B, pulling it out into social communication and behavior and C and D and pulling it out into our RRBs and our unusual sensory experiences and saying this is what you look for. It was never intended to mean, because it's now five out of seven instead of three out of four, that it's more restrictive, which when we kind of calculate percentages around that, it really wouldn't make much of a difference anyway.

The other piece that, you know, was clearly recognized that I don't think has gotten enough attention because it's very subtle is the necessity to rely on the early developmental period and help our practitioners understand that a historical reference of that is a suspicion, and we have many students who may not even be referred until they hit a certain age or trajectory in their educational career where the social demands exceed what they've established as their coping

capacities, and they start having problems.

And what we wanted to do was help to clarify, just because you haven't seen it until seventh grade doesn't mean it wasn't there, and you need to be able to really rely on that social developmental historical reference in a way that investigates these specific areas through the -- through the reporting of the parent or the caregiver.

So that was a really long response to your question, which I do quite often, but it was just -- you know, I didn't want to miss anything there. It wasn't -- the five out of seven and three out of four is not an intention of restricting anything. Just really trying to -- we've laid it out in a way to try to help clarify.

MS. HOLLER: The other thing I'm going to see if it's okay to ask you was about -- you talked about OT and you talked the utilization of a sensory eval, which is great. However, what's currently used is not a standardized tool, and the majority of the data that comes from the tool is done by -- collected by a regular education teacher.

So what I have seen with both my own

children and from other IEP meetings is that if a child is spinning or doing something but they're not disrupting the class that they may not necessarily be captured [inaudible] even though it may be disruptive to them.

And so that was concerning that the individual can [inaudible] the observation there and not have the training and understanding of, you know, when they see a spinning behavior what's actually going on there, that it could be [inaudible]. I just think that was important to mention.

MS. MAKOR: Yeah. And, ultimately, in the definition of that evaluation, it is ultimately the professional lens that applies the data that's collected through the reporters, the third-party reports, and the observations that that professional might conduct as part of the sensory processing eval, all go through the lens of the licensed occupational therapist. And that's the case with much of the third-party kind of rating systems that we have, that you collect the information from third-party raters, but then you take your professional lens and apply those data and put them all together to make sense for

that student in terms of what the level of impact is for that student. So it ultimately resides in the professional hands of the occupational therapist in terms of interpreting those data that are collected too.

MS. HOLLER: Can I ask a couple of more questions? I'm sorry.

MS. MAKOR: I'm fine with that, but I'm in between lunch and everything, but no, I can talk about this all day.

MS. HOLLER: One other thing I wanted to ask was about the IQ piece being optional. The reason I say that is I feel like sometimes things like cognitive functioning tests, they provide us with a lot of good information about things like memory, processing speed, and things that we do, they are important information because it helps us to understand how autism impacts that individual's ability to learn and, therefore, can assist us in understanding how we present information.

And so I was kind of shocked that that would be kind of on a case-by-case basis because I think having an understanding and information about cognitive function is essential when you're talking about school, but that's just

my two cents. So I wanted to hear a little more about why that was considered as being okay for optional.

It's not. The cognitive MS. MAKOR: evaluation is still a requirement because the psychological evaluation is still a requirement. So the broad definition of a psych eval includes the cognitive evaluation piece as a required The overall producing of an collection area. overall score that may not mean anything related to that student in that day in that moment with maybe an unfamiliar evaluator and a test the kid has never seen before really does little to help inform the team on what they should establish in terms of expectations for that student. And so we have -- it's that overall score.

So the cognitive evaluation continues to be a requirement. We want to minimize the overfocus that we sometimes have on producing a general score when what we know is that strengths and weaknesses, when you put them all together, may deflate that overall score, and unfortunately, although unintended and, hopefully, not -- you know, teams don't mean to do this.

We never want to lower our

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

expectations for our students. So we want to help them to not feel like they're locked into that, but the cognitive evaluation continues to be a requirement, the collection of those data.

MS. MEBANE: I have a question.

MS. MAKOR: Uh-huh.

MS. MEBANE: Do you have suggested pragmatic language evaluations? Because some are just better than others, and some of our real high-functioning kids can do quite well on some of those pragmatic language skills. So---

MS. MAKOR: Right, absolutely. And that is, again, the things that you can't write into the policy, right, but those all come with the guidance and even, you know, some of the training that's already been ongoing for school teams, from autism problem-solving teams, and through the lens of evaluation practices that we've already been providing in the context of the evaluation is the lens of multiple sources of data.

So, yes, we may have a standardized assessment, but we also have observational data, we have interview data, and we have review of information that may be in this child's history

that you put all together around each of those evaluation pieces, whether it's the communication eval or -- whether it's the communication eval, the psych eval, the sensory processing eval -- that we -- the organizational framework that comes out of our recommendations often is this what we call RIOT framework, and it's review, interview, observe, test, so taking the lens of all of those types of data collection sources and applying them through the lens of multiple sources of data.

So a single high score on a pragmatic score doesn't necessarily mean that their pragmatic skills are good because we need to be able to have multiple sources of data to understand that. Yes?

MS. GRADY: This is Jennifer Grady.

I have just a quick question. I think you kind of addressed this at a high level, but you'll have to forgive me. I come from the world of health insurance where we deal with like actuaries and modeling and predictions.

So I'm just curious. Do you have any idea how this might impact the bottom line number of those who are eligible for the autism diagnosis

for IEPs?

MS. MAKOR: We can only use the trend data to predict that we're going to get better.

What we hope -- what we hope to accomplish with this is that ultimately the evaluation would be less -- the level of impact would be less around identification and more around what we yield about intervention planning for the student because we forget sometimes that the purpose of the evaluation is not just to determine whether a child is eligible but also to determine what the instructional match needs to be.

So the hope is that that side of it, the intervention planning. The trend data that we have on autism tells us that we wouldn't expect anything but a continued rise in our identification rates as we've seen over the past several years, yeah.

MS. HUTCHINSON: I know we're scooting into lunch, but just from a school perspective, so Christy might have a really different perspective, but I would perceive that the ultimate end result is how much is the disability impacting the student's learning. So if a student was impaired before and is still

impaired and it's still impacting their learning
and requiring specially designed instruction,
they're still going to qualify.

I would actually suspect that you're going to have less areas of exceptionality that are pushed into OHI or speech impairment or, you know, language, that kind of thing, and more accurately reflect autism. That's how I would see it. So I think the numbers would probably go up even more significantly, but be more accurate, just from a school perspective.

MS. MAKOR: Yeah. I mean we're watching -- we're watching those percentages continue to go up. Like autism, I think, was 8.5 percent two years ago of our total IDA. It jumped up to 10.5, and now it's 11.1. We expect, based on those trend data, that it will continue. So the point is, our school team -- like we all need to be able to do this.

Like we've got a lot of kids with autism in our schools, and our school teams need to be able to -- the way that I apply eligibility and intervention planning is get it right and then do what's right. So those are kind of the phrases I use when we're looking at investigating special

ed classifications and then looking towards how we plan for them. Does that help? And I wouldn't 2 understand anything in your world. 3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HUDGENS: So I have one more question online, and Leanna, I'm going to look to you for how many more questions you want to take on this topic before we transition to lunch.

THE CHAIRPERSON: So I think that will probably be the last question unless anyone has any burning questions about this -- anyone else.

MS. HUDGENS: Okay. So I'll go ahead, and one last question from our virtual participants. She is asking about the research that supports the utilization of the DSM-5 criteria to determine educational disability or eligibility rather.

Yeah. And I think it's MS. MAKOR: unfortunate that we have like these parallel worlds that we feel like we have to operate in. What we know about many of the disabilities and disorders that show up in the DSM is that we've got 14 classifications that we work with within the context of the educational system, and when those are -- what happens is, the universal

1

2

3

5

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

criteria for autism show up there, right? just the place where they show up.

So we know what we know about autism, and we need to apply consistent practice to what we're doing about autism. Now the research on applying like the DSM alone that has its own research base to it, that I would be able to send to you and I believe may have been sent in some of the questions and answers -- the responses that we've already had.

But in terms of applying universal criteria to identify a disability that we know exists, whether you're in or outside a school, just kind of to me goes back to just making good decisions for kids. That's just where the universal criteria show up, is in the DSM.

There's some really good MS. MEBANE: clarification in the DSM too about this criteria specifically. I was just wondering if you guys had considered putting a statement maybe saying "for further clarification see DSM." I don't know.

I think there's -- what MS. MAKOR: the DSM gives us in those several other pages are like the levels of severity that we then apply

intervention, and I think through that lens we do
the educational relevance and we provide guidance
through our professional learning and ongoing
coaching support that we have established with the
districts.

So, yeah, it would be translated in that way, but it's kind of -- it's laid out in a way that it helps establish severity to enhance how we intervene, and that's the same lens we would take for education relevance for the student.

Thank you so much for the opportunity to come and talk to you about this today, and I'm happy to be available for anything else that comes up. Thank you.

MS. THOMAS: Thanks, Lynn. So I just wanted to follow up real quickly. So the ask from the Board was that we do this and we make sure we presented this to try to provide you with clarity and understanding. We are also going to -- in our scheduling right now -- we're hoping that's going to go out in the next day or two, but we're scheduling a parent forum in every region from June 20th through July 25th to do this presentation. Again, it will not be another

public comment period, but it will be forums -- a night set up from 5:00 to 7:00 where we can do this presentation and take questions from parents about understanding and clarity of the policy change.

And so once -- I think we have two,
Dreama? We have one location to confirm and
verify. Once that is done, it will go to this
Council, to the Council on Developmental
Disabilities, to all of our stakeholder groups
that support parents and families. It will go to
each district, and they will be asked to send it
out through their parent resource network that
they have. It will be posted through DPI. I mean
I'm all but getting a plane to fly across the
state with a banner to say we're having these.

So just know that we are making extreme efforts. We held the public comment periods and really no one showed up. It's very hard to get people -- you know, you can't make people come there. So this is another platform to see if this helps, if we can present this information for clarification and, hopefully, answer questions and ease some of the anxiety.

Because we really are trying to do what's best for

kids.

And then, finally, I was asked -- and I see your question, so I get to you -- I was asked, Leanna, if the Council would, before the August board meeting, provide a statement back to the State Board regarding your feelings on the policy change.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we can do that.

MS. THOMAS: Okay. Yes, ma'am?

MS. MOOREFIELD: I just wanted to

ask, those regional meetings, will they be

available virtually as well? Some of our meetings

are pretty big.

MS. THOMAS: We have not set that up.

It will probably depend on whether the facility

where we're going into -- because we had to find

free space to go into, but if they have the

capacity, we certainly are happy to do a webinar.

It may not be as interactive just based on what

the capacity is there, but we can certainly try to

do that.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I just know a lot of -- a lot of like these types of things that come up and I'm not able to go because we have six

therapies a week or---

MS. THOMAS: Yes. Understood.

MS. MOOREFIELD: So virtual would be great.

MS. THOMAS: It's also -- we're going to post all of them. So if you live in the southern part of Region 3 below Wake County and you want to go to one that's closer to you in another region, that's fine. It's not going to be region specific because you're going to get the same -- the same presentation in all three of those regions. We just don't have the time or capacity to do more than one.

I would like your feedback. We did schedule those from 5:00 to 7:00 p.m. because we felt that would be best for families. Thoughts?

I mean I know there's never a perfect time, but it seems so many people work that if we do it during the day, then it doesn't make it an option for those that have jobs.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think 6:00 to 8:00 might be slightly better because a lot of families get off at 5:00. If you're here in the Raleigh area, you tend to compute an hour to get home, so I mean just---

MS. THOMAS: Okay. We will take that

back and see if we can make any time adjustments.

I don't know if our facilities are bound to that,

but we will work to see if we can make that

happen.

Anything else for me on this?

Anything else for me on this?

Because now I'm keeping you from lunch. Thank
you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I just want to say thank you for all your flexibility of working with us on this. I appreciate it.

MS. THOMAS: Absolutely. Absolutely.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Who's hungry? They usually give us about 30 minutes for lunch. We have quite a bit still to go through today. I'm going to see if we have any written public comments. I know we have one that was emailed in, so -- but we'll work on that and be kind of I'm working through lunch. Does that sound like a plan? Let's plan on getting back to work at 12:40.

(A luncheon recess was taken from 12:20 p.m. to 12:57 p.m.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: We're going to go ahead and get into public comment. We had one

that came in the last week of May. It's a parent or not a parent, but someone working with a parent in Moore County. The issue is surrounding contracting. They're trying to contract with TEACCH. Their county's attorney is saying they have to use the county's contract. TEACCH is saying we can't use the County's contract because we contract with too many schools. The concern is this being a barrier to other schools from being able to access services.

And I'm thinking that maybe how we can start to maybe look at this, if my LEAs -- if you two know if it's an issue with your schools or not -- is there any way we can universalize the contracting process for the whole state to make contracting easier for service providers and school districts? So can I get a little bit of feedback if that's an issue that you-all have or---

MS. GRANT: We do have to use our contracts that were drawn up by our board attorneys. That is one thing. One of the things that we do, though, when we have people we're contracting with that may have to have their information as well, then we work to try to merge

the two. Like I'll get creative and say see example A or see example B. So you've actually 2 got both contracts you're working because a lot of 3 the times, they're not contradictory. 4 saying the same thing, just in a different way 5 maybe.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I ran into that a couple of times, but we've always been able to work it out because, ultimately, it's just getting the service, and the board attorneys know that. So if we have to give a little bit on the amount of insurance or something like that, then we can always go back to our board attorney and discuss adjusting it.

MS. HUTCHINSON: I can't imagine being able to do a uniform contract across the state because our needs are so unique and so different. And we do employ different attorneys and they have different prior knowledge coming to the table, or when we look at our LEA selfassessments, we have different areas of priority, and that might filter into our contracts as well.

So I can imagine that there are certain elements that would be somewhat universal, but for example, if you're in a very rural county out by the coast, transportation might be one rate of pay, and if you live in, you know, a very
easily accessible district, transportation might
be a different rate of pay; or, you know, you live
in Charlotte-Meck and it's going to take you an
hour to get five miles, you know, so it might
be -- you really have to reflect on your own needs
of your own district and the individual provider
that you're working with.

And I think that when you use the term contracts, it's very broad because you've got things like VI and HI that we'd die to get people in those areas.

MS. GRANT: Yes, that's true.

MS. HUTCHINSON: Yeah. And, you know, audiology and those kinds of things are so tough, but yet, if you said, you know, something that's very highly readily available, we might really have to reflect differently on it or we might be working with a third-party vendor like a hospital or a group home kind of thing and a therapist or ADA. That might be a really different structured contact.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It has to be more like a simpler type situation because -- I mean they're saying that they're being told by this

agency that they can't because they work with too many districts -- that can't use their--- So I mean I just wanted to toss that out to see if you-all had any experience with that situation and what could possibly be done.

MS. HUTCHINSON: I know from personal experience, if someone came to me and they have a contract from another district, my first answer is well, let me take a look at it and see. Like that might be a great model that we could -- would benefit us in a great way, but I definitely couldn't just -- a universal contract, I don't feel like would be the right thing for our students.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I didn't mean like specifics in there. Just kind of like a format, okay, we agree to provide services XYZ at this rate of pay -- you know, all that could be customized. But, yeah, I just wanted to throw that out there because that was what the concern was that was posted.

MS. MEBANE: Did they happen to say what the differences in the contracts were?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Basically, what she said is that --- All right. Let's see. This is

Moore County. That they can't do this because they work with so many districts that if they had to do this with various districts, they would have to up the rate versus using their standard contract. Went on to say, "I need the original contract with an email to our attorney." But, yeah, it's -- so---

MS. HUTCHINSON: There's one little intricacy. Like charter schools, we have to have a certain clause in our contracts that traditionally LEAs don't. It's like a nonindebtedness clause, and that would be very different from place to place.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It was just an idea that I thought might, but it might not. You-all have the experience, so---

MS. GRANT: I wonder if they tried to work with the EC director. Is it a -- it doesn't say if it's a charter?

THE CHAIRPERSON: No. It's Moore County and TEACCH.

MS. GRANT: I'm familiar with TEACCH's contract. We never really had an issue with our contract versus theirs, but each district is very different.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. Okay. That was interesting. Okay. As far as the autism policy letter, I haven't heard back yet from Katie Holler or Abby. There's going to be an ad hoc committee to work on that. That will be myself, Cynthia, Teresa Mebane as well as Katie Holler, and Abby Childers, who is our Policy and Procedures person or committee chair. So we're going to work on that.

I plan on trying to have us have a call-in meeting -- I haven't really discussed this with Tish or Carol Ann -- in probably late July.

We'll schedule that later, and we'll have a sample letter out to you guys about two weeks prior so we can discuss it if anybody has any changes there.

That's what that's going to be.

One thing I wanted to put out there, I'm willing to maintain or remain the Chair of this. However, if anybody is interested in running for Chair, I'll open up the floor for nominations next month so that if anybody would like to take on being Chair, we'll have an election next month and we'll go from there. So just in case anybody was wanting to do that. So we'll figure that out next month.

Quarterly Meeting 6/12/19 All right. So let's look back to our annual report. I know there's one name I needed to add and one spelling correction I needed to do. And don't worry, if you decide to go for Chair, I will still be willing to present in October for the Board, but you'll have to do it from there on. But if you want to take a look at the recommendations. MS. GRANT: You are going to update the names? THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. That's on the yellow sheet. So if your name is on the yellow sheet, you will be -- I will make sure that you are on the thing. I think it's yellow. yellow. This is what I'm referring to. Why did I call it the yellow sheet? MS. PHILLIPS: [Inaudible]. THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you not on it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PHILLIPS: I'm the last one, but [inaudible] NCDPI-Homeless Education, Lisa Phillips.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you. So I added some subnotes for recommendation 1, adding the word "current" -- I haven't gotten to the wordsmithing yet -- and to target all students of higher ed for more specific training for principals and assistant principals or like higher ed administration piece.

I've also added concerned principals and assistants are making decisions without having met the student, and a webinar base mode of delivery, and I'll incorporate all those into rationale for the recommendation. Is there any further discussion on that?

"Training provided during higher ed for mental health and behavioral support." We know this is a large area of need. Is there anyone we specifically want to target with that training for -- during higher ed because that would be everybody? Do you want to make that for all incoming teachers or broadly higher ed and specifically special education certificates?

MS. HUTCHINSON: You're talking about the first aid mental health?

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm talking about number 2, the recommendations, "Training provided during higher ed for mental health and behavioral support."

MS. MOOREFIELD: I think it should be

provided -- you're talking about having training
within like teacher prep---

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, teacher prep programs.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Because mental health doesn't necessarily call it a disability.

A kid can be a regular ed kid and have a mental health issue. I think that should be part of all teachers'---

MS. GRANT: I agree.

MS. TERHAAR: I think too at the graduate and undergraduate level because -- if you specify that, oftentimes at the grad level we get people who had their undergraduate work so long ago that they don't have the currency. So maybe put in there something about that the training is provided for undergrad and graduate levels in education, broad. That way, maybe it would also include administrators, teachers, the whole shebang.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I know that North Carolina has stopped lateral entry. They're calling it something else.

MS. TERHAAR: [Inaudible].

MS. MOOREFIELD: Yeah, whatever it's

called. Anyway, with the lateral entry program as well.

MS. HUDGENS: If I could just offer a general reminder, we still have our ladies on the phone, so if you can lean into your microphones, they'll be able to pick that up on the polycom.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. An area of need which we know about, targeting all teachers at graduate and undergraduate levels in education, administrators and teaching and include lateral entry because they go after they've gone to get their degrees.

MS. PHILLIPS: Well, here's my question: Could you have it a little more open-ended so that it's covering just about anyone who goes into education? It's not just about administrators. Going back to what she was saying, I liked how you phrased it because I felt like, okay, that would include the social workers -- that would include, you know, if you want to go for licensure in social work, psychologists, OT, physical education. I thought that was really more comprehensive, and then it gives you flexibility when there are changes that

are being made.

MS. HODGES: All current and future educators?

MS. TERHAAR: Current and future people in education. Sometimes educators captures only teachers. So I like to -- across fields in education to me is---

THE CHAIRPERSON: Across fields of education.

MR. BAKER: It needs to be across disciplines.

MS. TERHAAR: Yeah, across disciplines, excellent.

MS. PHILLIPS: In the educational setting. And I do think that it needs to not just reflect, you know, at a level of K through 12, but we have a lot of pre-K programs and we have -- you know, children who are taking classes at higher institutes of education, you know, our community colleges and our universities. So I think that language needs to be included as well, how we ensure we're talking all grade spans, not just---

MS. HODGES: Are we talking about teacher assistants? Are we talking about custodial staff? Are we talking about--- I'm a

school counselor. I provide trauma training, and it was required of any staff member in the building. So we're moving further and further sort in that direction, and I'm just questioning if that's what our recommendation is as well.

MS. TERHAAR: I know the words for

MS. TERHAAR: I know the words for all the people who work in the schools, which is parallel to the cross disciplinary---

THE CHAIRPERSON: Targeting all teachers and graduate levels in all fields of education study across disciplines -- across disciplines.

MS. TERHAAR: I don't know if I'd put teachers in there because, again, that narrows it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All individuals enrolled in graduate and undergraduate levels of---

MR. BAKER: So I assume we're talking about classified and certified positions, correct?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

MS. PHILLIPS: And, Leanna, if you'll change the language so undergrad and then grad rather than saying grad and then undergrad. Did you get that?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.

Undergraduate and graduate programs of education

study -- programs in all fields of educational study.

MS. CARTER: So if you're doing education with a capital E and to the point about those working with zero to five, it would be more likely to be either in child development or in early childhood, but if it's education with a lowercase e, then that's a broader field that we would get.

THE CHAIRPERSON: So we want to go with lowercase e?

(Multiple Council Members replied affirmatively.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I didn't have to fix that one. Right now I'm still kind of just making an outline, and I'll make it all pretty soon, and then any final wordsmithing, we can do. I just want to get the facts of what we want down so that we know what they are.

Anything further for number 2 for the training provided during higher ed?

(No audible response.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We can move on to number 3. This was our recommendations that

we had made to the Governor's Crime Commission. I just copied and pasted and stuck them in here too. I think I kind of abbreviated some. I don't think I actually copy and pasted. Is there anything further we want to say about any of those things?

MS. TERHAAR: There was something brought up in our discussion today -- we addressed this -- about having there be training -- well, it says training for teachers and staff, but then also that piece about evaluating -- having an evaluation cycle for improving things after a drill, but I don't know how you want to say that, with the idea being that the plan is active, it changes, it's dynamic, and it should be incorporating the needs of the students in the building for that year.

So that the idea is annually it gets revised as well. So there's that dynamic cycle within a year when a drill occurs, right, and how well did we address the needs of the kids who have these five plans here, right, and then also from year to year being sure that you adapt and individualize for the cohort of students you have there.

MS. ROBINSON: So would that be an

amendment to number 6, "Consistent practice and 1 drills with debriefing for quality improvement"? 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: "Consistent 3 practice and drills with debriefing --- " I like 4 that wording. 5 MS. HUTCHINSON: You could even put 6 in there something like updated based on 7 enrollment changes because enrollments do change 8 from August to December at times, and that can 9 really change your plan. 10 Did you put evidence 11 MS. GRANT: of -- like having evidence of? That's what you 12 we're looking for, right? 13 MS. TERHAAR: There was another piece 14 15 to it, yes. MS. GRANT: You can have it written 16 in a plan, but what evidence do you have that it 17 was actually executed. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: "So consistent 19 practice and drills with debriefing for --- " 20 MS. ROBINSON: Quality improvement. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: --- "quality 22 23 improvement."

MS. ROBINSON: And maybe to add onto that including a review of plan -- the plan

24

25

implementation -- or implementation of the plan.
We get to the plan exists. Implementation of
plans and successful outcomes or something.

MS. MEBANE: Or maybe having something like a checklist.

MS. GRANT: Because you ultimately want to show you change your practices based on the way that the practice is executed.

MS. TERHAAR: So you're collecting and analyzing the data based on the implementation of the plan and making revisions accordingly. I can't say that again.

MS. GRANT: That was perfect.

THE CHAIRPERSON: "Consistent practice and drills with debriefing for quality improvement of plan implementation and quality outcomes." Do we want to say anything specifically--- We have training for teachers and staff. Do you want to also address training for SROs?

MS. PHILLIPS: I wonder if we could say something more open-ended again like "school system personnel"? Because, you know, we're not including substitutes. We're not -- we're saying teachers and staff, but how are we defining staff.

If we say "and school system personnel," wouldn't that technically include like SROs because our SROs---

THE CHAIRPERSON: They're employed by the sheriff or city.

MS. PHILLIPS: Right. But they are working in that particular building and they are still responsible for reporting to administration there. What's the language? We need to---

MS. HUTCHINSON: I think we need to do that both ways because I think I can see, when it says school system personnel, somebody could read that as that means not SROs because they're not employed by the school system typically.

MS. PHILLIPS: So maybe we just change the language so it's---

THE CHAIRPERSON: "Training for school personnel to include school resource officers."

MS. PHILLIPS: Including SROs and other crisis---

MS. ROBINSON: Other individuals who interface with children.

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Other first

responders.

MS. ROBINSON: Well, it could also be you have a volunteer in there or you could -- you know, there are those other standing folks in the school too.

MS. MOOREFIELD: And every school district has different contracted services. You might contract buses. You might contract nurses. So some sort of language about any -- any professional having interaction with students during the day.

 $\mbox{MS. TERHAAR:} \quad \mbox{And other professionals} \\ \mbox{who work with children.}$

MS. MEBANE: It has to be within the school setting.

MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah, the school environment, yeah, or the school setting.

THE CHAIRPERSON: "Training for school system personnel to include anyone who interfaces with students in the school environment."

MS. MOOREFIELD: And are we including things like after school or sports or things like that where the coach or the advisor may not be employed by the school? They may be a community

member or a contractor as well.

MS. MEBANE: We could put including extracurricular.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Or school property.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Because that would cover bus and everything else.

MS. PHILLIPS: Or is that something that a school system should be allowed to define? Because every school system's going to be different, you know. Their setup's different who they have for schools who may be providing services, that kind of thing.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Also, the question is, does it stretch to, okay, if it's on school property, if the school rents the gymnasium for certain activities, or whatever, that are not necessarily school-related, does that impact that as well. Let's say you have one that rents out for a church service or something on Sundays.

Some churches do that.

MS. GRANT: I see that as separate because that's a whole separate contract in our district. That's just a contract with us to use our building.

MR. BAKER: It is a separate

contract.

MS. GRANT: We wouldn't be able to mandate them to come in for training.

MR. BAKER: Absolutely.

THE CHAIRPERSON: So for school-related activities.

MS. JOHNSON: This is just a technicality, but if you say "school district personnel," comma, "including," then you're still saying they have to be personnel. So I would say "school district personnel and other professionals who may --" something along those lines. Does that make sense?

MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah.

MR. BAKER: That makes sense.

MS. PHILLIPS: That might be where you go back to saying as determined by the LEA or the charter school or the school district.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I think I might use the word "any" rather than "other." I don't know why. I'm not sure that I would use professional either because if you're talking about a coach who is just a community member, they're not there as a professional, but just any person having interaction with students on school property or

something like that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I mean there probably should be training, at least a briefing, for the 101 parent volunteers you might have on picture day or field day.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I mean, you know, if they could be there when something occurs, then, yeah. But I mean that's where the webinar is good because you can't -- like I know in Wake County, you can't -- you can't even go on a field trip as a volunteer without applying -- you know, filling out all kinds of stuff.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Without a criminal background check and everything else, yeah.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Yeah. So I mean a short -- a short little web video or whatever that's accessible all the time for, you know, if you want to just refresh yourself.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Volunteer

orientation as available through the school system

online as a webinar. "Training for school system

personnel and any individual who interfaces with

students." Do you want to keep school property,

during the school program, during---

MR. BAKER: School-related

1 activities.

THE CHAIRPERSON: During school-related activities.

MS. PHILLIPS: School-sponsored because related can also be pretty gray. But school-sponsored activities---

THE CHAIRPERSON: School-sanctioned, like we're a student club that's here after school working on---

MS. PHILLIPS: Maybe not even school-sponsored. Administered.

THE CHAIRPERSON: School-administered?

MS. PHILLIPS: Administered, uh-huh, because that's what the districts are all trying to come to.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Like a group of students who are meeting after school on the property to discuss the plight that they're in and others.

MS. CARTER: So if you're going to list, give a little more detail in there, you might want to say the training might look different for someone who's there as a volunteer and part of orientation. Once a year, I come in

and I do whatever, picture day, book fair, as opposed to as a teacher. Because I think to say everybody who's ever on that campus has to go through training, you don't want to put a barrier to a parent who just -- "tomorrow I need to come in and help out. Well, I have to go through this training first."

So I think it needs to be mindful that what you need to get from the depth could be related to your role, your position, your level of impact, and so I mean I don't think we would be dictating what that is. I think it's just -- would be acknowledging---

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. This training can vary depending on the role you have while on the campus.

MS. GRANT: I think if we use the language from the proposed legislation -- with House Bill 434, it specifically says, "Each local board of ed shall adopt and implement a mental health training program for school personnel who work directly with students in grades K-12." To me, we're seeing more mental health issues in our pre-K system than we usually have. So we may have a recommendation of pre-K-12, and youth mental

health is the number-one topic to include in the training for them. I think if we use some of that same language that we're not [inaudible].

But that kind of sums it up that the local board of ed shall adopt a mental health training program.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I've referenced HB 434 in my notes to look that up and try to pull in some of that legislative language in there as well.

MS. GRANT: It is the second page. It's B on the top of the second page.

MS. CARTER: This is specific for crisis response, right? This training that's being referenced in this, on how to respond to emergencies?

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think so. For the volunteers, I think it would be crisis response. For you're regular staff, I think it would go more than just crisis response and to prevention and identification.

MS. GRANT: It's always two different roles.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. That's why I said that training will vary based on your role.

If you're that volunteer that comes in on picture day and to work in the book store, you know, three times a year, you don't need the same level of training as, say, the PE coach, you know, or something like that.

MS. GRANT: And I will say too in my experience with -- we have schools -- like if we were to train -- we train all of the staff volunteer subs. Within the school, the administrators have to have a level of training too because what crisis response looks like here looks very different than if you're at this school. Do you-all see the same thing?

MR. BAKER: The very same thing.

MS. GRANT: It needs to trickle all the way down to the training that occurs because if I'm a substitute and I go into a high school, I may need to know something very different to do than if I go into that preschool classroom.

MR. BAKER: It's the same way when -you know, every school is required to submit their
own safety plan because, again, it's going to be
dependent on your school, the layout of the
school, the makeup of the school, culture, all of
those sorts of things play a role. So it would be

hard to have something that's uniform.

THE CHAIRPERSON: This isn't really requesting anything uniform. Just that the school district, you know, recognizes the need for training for crisis management for all people involved.

Number 4, we have "List of students who require unique assistance during a crisis."

Number 3, "Open dialogue between school, district, and state professionals sharing challenges and successes." That kind of ties into the after action report or the briefing of number 6.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Going back to number 4, with the list of students requiring assistance, should we put any kind of language in there about basic and pertinent information about what that assistance might be, or no?

THE CHAIRPERSON: My question would be, would that be getting into violating FERPA and -- HIPAA and FERPA?

MS. MOOREFIELD: That's why I'm saying like basic but pertinent. So not a diagnosis or anything like that, but you know, aversion to loud noises or, you know, however you correctly word, you know, doesn't handle chaos

well or, you know, in a wheelchair, you know, vision loss, things like that that a first responder might need to know, that, you know, they may need to carry the student. They may need to -- you know, and so very basic but pertinent information.

MS. GRANT: That's where we have it specific to the classrooms because if you see the plan, they have the map of the school, and then we have highlighted those classrooms that have special needs that are low-incidence populations and then which schools have children in wheelchairs that have class on the second floor and what's the safety -- where's the safety spot for them to go to and all that stuff.

But then when they look at a highlight, then they know to go and there's an attachment to the plan that outlines the specific needs of that classroom, but that's new. We've just done that this year because I kind of freaked out when I looked at the plans and realized, oh, my gosh, they're there. But when you have a class of children with autism and a class of children with severe and profound, you know, multiple disciplines here, they look very different, but

2 mana 3 that

there's no real difference to an emergency management person who pulls up the plan and sees that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: But it's not just in those classrooms. I mean look at [name redacted]. He's high functioning. He'd be in regular ed throughout and probably academically gifted in areas, but the fire alarm goes off -- and he knows it's not a drill because everybody's acting a little bit differently because they know it's not a drill. He's going to go find the fire extinguisher and go look for the fire. That's [name redacted], you know. You've got to recognize some of our kids---

MS. GRANT: [Inaudible]. I agree.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And he wouldn't be the one you would think that would need a plan.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Information like that, though, the teacher--- Okay. Wait. I think I've lost---

THE CHAIRPERSON: But when you get to know someone in high school and they're trading classes four, five, six times a day, they're never in the same class, and then you have A schedule and B schedule.

that.

MS. MOOREFIELD: This crisis

management -- this plan that we're discussing is

not -- is not something that we would hand to

emergency services, right? Because my thought is

that okay, well, if I'm the teacher, I know what

[name redacted]'s reactions are going to be. I

know what this kid's reactions are going to be,

so EMS doesn't necessarily need to know all of

So are we talking about a crisis management plan that stays in the school, or we talking about information that needs to be shared with an outsider?

THE CHAIRPERSON: And that might be where we need to make a deviation. Do we need to make sure that our first responders are getting a tour of the school every year and say "This is where the AU classroom is. These are some of the things you can expect from children with autism and the support they may need exiting the classroom. This is the, you know, severe and profound, or this classroom has six kids in wheelchairs in it. This is what they're going to need"? You don't have six adults in that classroom for six chairs probably, you know.

MS. GRANT: Right, right. And I would agree with you, but there's a lot of times the teacher might not be there, and so we need to be prepared---

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Right. But
there's -- so like I know when I had to leave sub
plans, you know, part of my sub notebook was,
okay, here -- you know, just again the basic
pertinent, not, you know, HIPAA-level, information
about my kids, but okay, this is what you need to
know about this handful of kids. So that -- I
mean -- and I'm assuming -- and I know that
commonsense is not universal---

THE CHAIRPERSON: It's not the first day of school and you just met this kid.

MS. MOOREFIELD: ---I would assume that teachers do leave information like that for their subs and that the subs are supposed to familiarize themselves with that information before the day starts or before their time there starts.

So, yeah, I was just wondering are we talking about something that we're going to hand to an emergency service provider or are we talking

2 it w

about something that is in-house because I think it would be different.

MS. GRANT: We have both because I guess the way -- when you're working with emergency management, the way we kind of looked at it was there may be times when the only person in a command center is an emergency management person who's outside of the school, and that communication is very limited from classroom to principal to command center.

So our district wanted it very clear to whoever is pulling out that plan because the only people who have access to that are those who are really responsible for the safety of everybody in that school. So we have both. Does that makes sense?

MS. MOOREFIELD: I love your -- what you guys do about having the map and having it highlighted, and I think that that's something that would be beneficial for, you know, the 911 center so that when an emergency call comes in, they can pull it up and then be able to -- you know, whoever is communicating with the personnel at the school can say, okay, you've got this kind classroom with kids with disabilities up in this

corner. Here's the room number or whatever.

Because just at my home, Cary Police have in their database that if they get a 911 call from my number, I have a child in a wheelchair who is nonverbal and this is where their room is located in my house so that they know where to go and check. So I think that that might be something -- providing it to, you know, whoever the responders are wherever the dispatch comes from.

MS. GRANT: And I will tell you -Leanna, you made a good point -- one of the things
that we're still working out is how to notate
where the children like your son are who may be in
a regular classroom, but how do we -- I'd get
scared if there was an active shooter, you know,
situation and a child may be running and not
responding to an officer, and they don't know that
that's a child with autism that is not going to
respond because they're in a kind of mode.

So how do we identify, you know, children with that level of need so that they don't get misidentified in a situation? I mean you hope that would never happen, but we always plan for -- you know, it's not if it will happen,

but when so that we make sure we have it all in place. And so we're still trying to work out how do we identify to outsiders---

MR. BAKER: That just speaks to just the difficulty [inaudible]. So it becomes very scary when you start talking about and thinking about those kinds of situations, but we just can't fully plan for everything because we just don't know what's going to happen.

MS. GRANT: And that's the importance of having the drills, doing the tabletop drills, continuing at the forefront with those because that way, you know, bringing parents in, having the students. We didn't think about a lot of things. I think you had said that. A little girl that's in a wheelchair that's upstairs in a high school, where she was concerned, "What happens if -- I can't get down the stairs if the elevator goes out. How is that going to happen? Where am I suppose to go?"

So having all the stakeholders at the table and coming up that solid plan, and it has to be fluid because the needs of children change all the time. So that's what makes it kind of difficult in really working with emergency

management people outside stakeholders, our firefighters and police. And once I got on our district safety team and realized what about this or what about this or what about this, I mean it was difficult and scary.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You'd never realize that [name redacted] could be a child who does that. Some days he might, some days he might not, yeah, and so if you're in that situation, you never know what they're going to do, but he's a fixer.

MS. BIGLEY: And to that point, I'd just like the comment that at my former district, we did a lot of that. We involved our EMS. We got a grant to do regional trainings in each of the regions of our district that involved -- we had our own police force employed by the system, so our SROs, the sheriffs, the firefighters, EMS, the local police, and then school teams.

But on our district team, we also had EC, student services, DSS, maintenance. You know, it was just totally comprehensive. We had people from [inaudible] County. We had transportation. We had everyone. And at some of the regional, we did after action reports after the tabletops with

all of those meetings because I mean there were like 75 people in some of these meetings because, you know, we were trying to flesh through all of these details.

The police -- even though they had, you know, maps of all of our schools, they still wanted tear-offs of where are those special needs classrooms, how do we deal with these children, what supplies do you need when you're getting out of the building, how are we going to deal with, you know, trying to get down this road when we know parents are going to hear first, and it's going to be flooded, you know, and then we think the buses are going to get there.

Okay. You say you can get all your buses in including our EC buses -- I had three self-contained classes at one point on the campus -- and, you know, let's try it. And so even at the district level -- I was at the district level and a Student Services Director at that point, but we did that. We did those trainings, and it's not easy when you actually do them.

And then, you know, those after action reports, having that discussion after you

go, yeah, what about our AU friends; they are going to process this very differently. And as much as you train and try, they might present very differently in an emergency situation.

You know, a lot of those conversations came up and we didn't have all the answers, but it was that conversation. It was the EMS folks going, "Oh, wow. Okay. We didn't think about that. We didn't plan for that," kind of a thing. But doing those drills, even with our buses, to say okay, we've got an emergency now at this school. You say you can get there in X number of minutes. Let's try it, and then working through those problems that we wound up having when it didn't happen.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I absolutely echo what you were saying because it's -- even in the larger counties, disabilities is not something that our EMS have to deal with a lot. My adapted van broke down a couple of weeks ago. I had no way to get home because you can't put a wheelchair in a tow truck. You can't get an Uber. You can't -- the only way to transport this kid is to call an ambulance. And then I'm pretty sure that the insurance is not going to say, "Sure, we'll

foot that \$600 bill because, you know, your car was stranded." Luckily, I have a friend who has an adapted van.

But the officer that -- because it was at a college graduation that we got stranded, and the officer came to just sit until -- you know, make sure we were safe until we got some kind of help, and he even said, "I don't know what we would do. I don't know how we would get you guys to safety." And it was hot and it was -- so they really don't. It's not something that comes up for them.

MS. BIGLEY: So that collaboration, that conversation and having them on your district team, it starts with having -- like Christy said, you know, all of a sudden, I realized I'm on the district team, and wow, we don't have plans for these things. Having that comprehensive -- is really important.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do all the districts or most of the districts have EMS involved in their teams, or is that right there something that we might need to make sure---

MS. HUTCHINSON: I think that's part of the whole school safety that she was speaking

about earlier, the required school safety plan, if you read that legislation that's required, that is required.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Is that the 434?

MS. HUTCHINSON: It's the one she spoke about earlier on the purple sheet.

MS. GRANT: The school omnibus bill is a different one.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Oh, okay. All right.

MS. GRANT: But I think, in terms of who's at the table on a safety committee, like our district safety committee, the same people haven't always been there. So that's up to the district on how that's formed, which is when we started realizing the value of who we needed.

So, no, not all districts have. They have people from emergency management who are kind of, I guess, the big people over like -- I say the big people, but they're kind of over the area, regional people, but in terms of emergency management, fire department, those are separate people we had to bring to the table.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And you've got to keep in mind that in a lot of these rural

districts, your fire department is volunteer so they might have three people that can go during the middle of the day.

MS. GRANT: House Bill 76 is the other one.

MS. BIGLEY: We did ours in the evening time. We had school teams and then all those people there and had like dinner, you know, had like pizza. And just -- from the top down to the EMS and the fire department and sheriff's department, they mandated all their people to go in all those different areas, and then the local municipalities too, the local police departments. It just -- it all fit together with the school, and so that's just getting the value in that conversation.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I found that when you show up with a pizza at a fire department, they do pay attention. They do, and they take you on a tour of everything.

MS. BIGLEY: We got a grant for it too. Our EMS -- I can't remember. It wasn't a FEMA grant, but he got some kind of grant for us, so we weren't even out of pocket, you know. I think there were like eight of them that we did.

1 Food for thought.

MS. GRANT: So that just encompassed the last three things -- the drills and -- consistent practice and drills training.

THE CHAIRPERSON: So I think I've come up with -- "List of students who require unique assistance during a crisis available to EMS." Because you're going to have one for the school bus and one for the -- I can see it now. Somebody walking up on [name redacted], you know, wielding a fire extinguisher, "What are you doing, kid?" They'd just call, "I've got [name redacted] here. Okay. Never mind. He's one of the students with autism."

MS. GRANT: I don't think it's just EMS because what if the fire department goes in or the police? So didn't you say EMS?

THE CHAIRPERSON: EMS, emergency management---

MS. GRANT: Oh, emergency management. Thank you. What am I thinking?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Emergency medical services?

MS. GRANT: Yeah.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Leanna, did you add

any location like a list and location of students 1 who require -- that would include, you know, like 2 the maps and the -- like a class list for a kid if 3 they're in middle school or high school or 4 something like that, just so they know 5 approximately where the kid might be? 6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. Something 7 like, "[Name redacted], anywhere around the 8 [Name redacted], room 373." school. 9 MS. MOOREFIELD: The kid supervising. 10 MS. ROBINSON: Number 1, it doesn't 11 specifically say "safety crisis plans," but I 12 wonder if we want to just have it there just as a 13 reminder. It's assumed. 14 Student-specific THE CHAIRPERSON: 15 crisis plans or emergency---16 MS. ROBINSON: Safety and crisis 17 plans. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Because you've got 19 to have a crisis plan just for a completely 20 different kind of crisis, and this one---21 MS. ROBINSON: That's correct. So 22 23 seizure versus---

> Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

24

25

plan?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Or just emergency

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

MS. ROBINSON: Safety plan also includes suicide?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. So that was "Student-specific safety crisis plan discussed at IEP meeting but not part of the IEP, " because we had that discussion. "Goals that support successful execution of the plan should be included in the IEP, if appropriate." So that might be, okay, the AU class has a fire drill once a week to get used to the whole idea of going outside quickly and quietly and orderly, which we would want that for their classroom preparing.

Anything else for the whole area number 3?

(No audible response.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: That kind of turned into 7 on mine because I put as number 1, "District safety plans need to include EMS at all levels." That was referring to a simple fireman all the way to the emergency management system manager so that you have the input for planning.

MS. MOOREFIELD: And dispatch or -is that what you call like the people who are communicating with the officers and the personnel that are at the crisis? Is that dispatch who's

like giving them information?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Telecommunications or something like that.

MS. GRANT: I always say 911 and they always correct me. It's tele---

THE CHAIRPERSON: Not telemarketing.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Who's on their computer? Look it up.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Including dispatch.

I'm just going to put that for the time being.

See, the thing is, my concern there is knowing

where is your -- if you're calling 911, where is

your 911 office located at because a lot of them

are becoming more and more centralized. I don't

think every county has their own local 911. It's

all enhanced now and everything goes to a

smaller---

MS. MOOREFIELD: Well, I mean you can look and see where your particular house or school -- you know, if you make the call from there which dispatch center it's going to go to. They'll tell you that, but at a district level where there are multiple schools, you know, those maps and documents can be emailed so that they're

digital copies that, you know, the dispatcher just pulls up the school and it's right there.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BAKER: Telecommunicator.

MS. GRANT: Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: "Including telecommunication professionals." Usually, once the EMS team has been dispatched and have arrived at the scene, your 911 involvement usually ends there because you have your own command system set up on-site. So I'd say your local EMS, police -- or EMT, medical, police, and fire should all have appropriate documentation for your school.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Well, they have computers in their vehicles as well.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, the police do.

MS. MOOREFIELD: EMS does. I'm very well-versed in the EMS---

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you are.

MS. MOOREFIELD: ---in the EMS part.

MS. HUDGENS: Just a reminder,

they're having a little bit of difficulty, virtually, hearing.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Oh, sorry. So if we had -- if we had those digital documents and

information -- and I believe that there are laptops installed in fire trucks as well, if I'm not mistaken.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It might be dependent upon where you live. Because our newest fire truck, I think, is a 2001 model, and that was given to us [inaudible].

MS. MOOREFIELD: On available -- available laptops that are in vehicles. That would be something helpful that they could -- they could pull up.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's see.

MR. BAKER: We had to keep, too, at the school level and actually at our district level, physical copies. So you had digital copies as well, but you had physical copies. What if the communication grid went down or something of that nature; you need to have a physical copy of emergency plans.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I've got "Local EMS should have physical and digital copies of plans and maps of buildings -- and annotated maps of buildings."

MS. MOOREFIELD: Multi-tiered with interactive links.

THE CHAIRPERSON: That would be 1 interesting if we could get our EMS systems on 2 ECATS. 3 MS. MOOREFIELD: That would drive 4 them out of their professions too. 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Anyway. All right. 6 Okay. That looks good for section 3. 7 I had put last year's recommendations 8 under number 4. Is there anything there that we 9 should continue? I mean obviously we felt they 10 were good recommendations. Is there anything 11 that's particularly burning that we should keep on 12 our recommendation list? 13 MS. TERHAAR: I like the first three. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I still like 15 the first three too. 16 MS. MOOREFIELD: I mean that's a lot 17 of work just right there. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: And number 4 19 because it ties into what we've already been 20 talking about. 21 MS. HUTCHINSON: Yeah, it looks like 22 the whole section we just did was 4 elaborated. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. So we'll 24

keep the first four.

25

2

3

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GRADY: Is 6 a concern still?

THE CHAIRPERSON: "The Mental Health Tiered Support Program."

MS. GRADY: I think that's speaking specifically about like mental health supports, not---

THE CHAIRPERSON: That was your thing, Cynthia.

MS. DANIELS-HALL: So the work we're doing now is not so much on the Mental Health Tiered Support programs. It's actually looking at the programs that are available in various school districts across North Carolina and seeing how they can be replicated into other districts. So we're looking more at children that are being returned to the system, children that are coming from PRFTs, children that are coming back from juvenile youth programs and things of that nature, and how we're serving them now.

So that's what we're really looking at in our mental health -- school-based mental health group. I've got to think about that, Leanna, and see if I want to keep that one.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I'm looking at, let's see, number 1, 2, 3 -- maybe 1, 2, 3.

think there was one other that I can't see right now, but they seem like fairly simple recommendations that would just involve a letter or a statement that we would recommend that a parent or teacher of exceptional children be included in these various groups and organizations. So I don't think we should drop that. I think those are probably fairly short and simple to get done.

MS. GRANT: And with number 7, they have changed the requirement for testing for adapted curriculum licensure. I'm not sure if we want to keep that or not.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'll take that off, then. So any comments on number 5? That's more of just a comment that we had thought [inaudible]. I'll take that off for the time being. We don't need too many -- too many recommendations. You can have too much of a good thing because we've got a lot here.

MS. ROBINSON: So 1 through 4 and a question mark for 6?

THE CHAIRPERSON: That moved up to 5 when I deleted number 4. Okay. That sounds good.

I know that we're kind of probably

went over with that a little tiny bit, but we still have some time left for committee work. If anybody wants to break into your committees. In the recommendations, there was a committee section. So if your committee did anything specific that you want to highlight, that would be wonderful. Ongoing projects envisioned---

MS. ROBINSON: I'm sorry. I would just offer that the Department of Public Instruction has at least 30 trained individuals to provide youth mental health first aid who went through the training. So there's folks accessible across the region for that as well as through all the local management entities.

THE CHAIRPERSON: The MCOs.

MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, the MCOs. And that's true for suicide prevention training as well. So I saw that as an opportunity. I just wanted to make sure that we've equipped, through DHHS, DPI to train us as well.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we're actually looking at having a presentation on mental health first aid, youth specific at an upcoming meeting based on some conversation we had earlier today when we had a little bit of time

between sessions. So that's something that we're
still working on, but I knew that was something
that we would -- mental health is very important.

I've heard really good results out of that
program, so--
MS. ROBINSON: Would you like me to
work on that with you?

THE CHAIRPERSON: You can, yeah.

If you want to do a presentation on that, we can work with Tish and Carol Ann, and we'll work that out. That would be fine. It makes it easier when we already know the person we'd like to ask to do it.

If we can break down into subcommittees and then have one person fill out their section of this and either let me have it or email it to me or we'll figure that part out.

(Committee Work held from 1:58 p.m. to 2:26 p.m.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Without further ado, equity in IDEA.

MS. HUDGENS: Good afternoon,
everybody. I have Nancy Johnson on the line for
us, and I hope that you'll be able to hear her.
If not, if you will kind of raise your thumb up

you

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

Nancy.

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I'll adjust the volume on the phone. Thank you.

All right, Nancy, you're up.

DR. JOHNSON: Okay. Great. Good afternoon, everyone. Can you hear me?

MS. HUDGENS: I'm working on the volume. Try it again now.

DR. JOHNSON: Okay. Can everyone hear me? Good afternoon.

(Multiple council members responded affirmatively.)

MS. HUDGENS: You're good to go,

DR. JOHNSON: Okay. Great. Thank you. Good afternoon. I'm here to talk to you this afternoon about the new regulations, equity in IDEA regarding significant disproportionality and how states determine which districts have significant disproportionality in identification, placement, and discipline, as it relates to the rates of students with disabilities.

So for some of you who have been with the Advisory Council for more than a year, you may recall that a couple of years ago, we did seek input from you, as one of our stakeholder groups,

regarding the proposed changes and changes we would need to make to significant disproportionality determinations.

So, Carol Ann, if you'll move the slide for me to the next slide.

MS. HUDGENS: There's a little bit of a lag. Sorry, Nancy.

DR. JOHNSON: No problem. Okay. So this -- I'm not going to go over this totally, but this gives you a visual of a timeline, and as you can see, back in December 19, 2016, the new regulations were published and they became effective immediately but then gave time to implement.

The first compliance date was July 1st of 2018, which meant we would be implementing them this spring in 2019. However, states were notified that the US Office of Special Ed Programs was going to postpone those or delay the regulations because they felt like they needed to get some more input and make some changes. So states were allowed to postpone them for two years, which would push them up -- our determinations into the spring of 2021. So that's what North Carolina did, and they actually issued

that delay on June 29th of 2018.

Now recently in March, we learned that a federal judge, due to a court case called CO Protection Advocacy Agency -- Colorado, I believe, Protection and Advocacy Agency versus Betsy DeVos, that the US Office of Special Ed Programs had violated the law by delaying the regulation and they could no longer do that.

Now the Department of Justice has filed an appeal about that, but then on May 20th, the US Office of Special Ed Programs issued a statement to the states letting them know they expected us to implement the new standards from the 2016 regulation. So that is what I'm going to be talking with you about, is where the regulations changed in comparison [inaudible].

You need to know back in -- well, in March when all this was happening, I was already in the process of analyzing all of our data based on our old regulations, and then once we got the issuance in May, we had to start over again using the new requirements.

Okay. Carol Ann, I'm ready to move on. There we go. Okay. So I'm just going to over where the changes are. Everything you see in

red is new for us in comparison to what our old regulations looked like. Some of these things -- for those of you who were involved in meetings with us when we reported the stakeholders who were giving us input, you'll see that some of these things are things -- recommendations you may have made. Cell size. Sometimes it's the numerator we're calculating from, and the maximum cell size we're allowed to use is ten, and that is what all the stakeholders in North Carolina proposed to us that we go with that.

We did use a cell size of ten in discipline. That is new for identification and placement. We did not presumably use a cell size or numerator. N size, that is the denominator, and we -- in the past, we were using a denominator or an N size of 30. We were using it based on the number of students with disabilities in one of those categories into consideration.

So the only thing there that has changed for us is that for identification, we're required to apply the N size to the enrollment of the LEA rather than the number of students with disabilities, and that's a slight change there for us.

Another change is that we are required to use a standard methodology, and we must use a risk ratio. We were already using a risk ratio for identification and placement. We were using a state average rate for discipline so the risk ratio is new for discipline. We have not used that in past years.

Now we have to come to some agreement about what our risk ratio would be. This is the one area where there was slight differences from the different stakeholder groups in the area of discipline. Everyone agreed with using -- continuing to use the 3.0 risk ratio for identification and placement.

We had people ranging everywhere from a 2.5 risk ratio to recommending a 4.0 risk ratio for discipline, and we decided that most of the input we got that it would be easiest for everybody involved to understand, for us to explain it to parents, to LEAs, to actually implement it, if we use a 3.0 risk ratio across the board.

Remember, we're looking at significant disproportionality, not just for a district who's disproportionate, but that we

determine [inaudible]. So for this first year, because we had to implement so quickly, we went across the board with a 3.0 risk ratio.

The other area is reasonable progress. We already had in existence that if a district -- if we considered a district with three consecutive years, we -- I didn't put three consecutive years on here because we look at the current year and two previous years. That continues to be allowed in the new regulations, but that is not a change. We've already implemented that.

We were also already implementing reasonable progress. In North Carolina, reasonable progress was, as long as you got below a 5.0 risk ratio, you made reasonable progress. Well, the new regulations require that you show progress in your risk ratio each year if you're using consecutive years. So the consensus, at least of the stakeholders, was that we go ahead and continue that 5.0 risk ratio because if we just allow districts to show progress if they're at a 12.5 risk ratio, that's extremely high. So we want to them to get it below 5.0. So we kept that piece.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And then we said because these fluctuate so much and particularly with small districts, one or two kids either way can shift the risk ratio by a whole percentage point. So we said that reasonable progress -- this is something OSEP eventually will have to approve, but based on how OSEP does APR reasonable progress, we said that as long as a district each year had not -- was less than a 0.2 increase in their risk ratio for each of the two years, because we look at both years, and they are below the 5.0 risk ratio, we will consider them to have made reasonable progress.

Okay. So that's one thing. And before I move on to the other areas, I did want to say that -- well, yeah, I do want to say that we have this year -- under the new regulations, we identified fewer LEAs than we did the previous year, and that was a little bit surprising. We did identify a few more -- we identified nine LEAs altogether, and last year we had around 16 LEAs, but the reason being, we had a few more in the area of identification, but we had a lot less in the area of discipline.

So I'm going to have Carol Ann move

25

on to the next slide and explain to you about discipline. Discipline is the area that is the most different of the other areas. In past years, we looked at three different types of disciplinary actions. This year we are required to look at five different types of disciplinary actions, two of which we used to look at, the greater than ten days out-of-school suspension, like an individual child getting an eleventh or greater day of suspension or an in-school suspension for an individual child that is greater than ten days.

We still look at that data. We don't have a lot of students in those two categories.

The new categories we're required to look at is a student getting an individual suspension out of school less than ten days, an individual in-school suspension of less ten days, and then total disciplinary removal. So that is not looking at individual students. It's looking at the number of incidences of all suspensions, the five suspensions -- types of suspensions we mentioned. So we are analyzing the data based on that.

The difference is, this year we had one district that fell under in the area of total

disciplinary removal, and they happened to be on the warning list for the previous year for short-term suspensions that accumulated -- out-of-school suspensions that accumulated to greater than ten days in a school year.

But if you'll note, we no longer review less than ten-day out-of-school suspensions that accumulate to greater than ten days in a school year for a kid, and that was our area where we had most of our districts on the list for significant disproportionality. Now that data does feed into the total disciplinary removal, but we're not looking at it separately.

Now saying that we only have one district now, you do need to know that I'm still putting together a warning list, and those are districts that are at a 3.0 risk ratio in identification, placement, or discipline in this current year and may have been for previous years, but have made enough progress just to remain on the warning list.

And we have a lot more districts that will be on the warning list for identification and discipline. Not too many more for placement, but identification and discipline, we're going to have

over a hundred LEAs on the warning list, which is letting them know you need to be looking at your data because in future years, you may have significant disproportionality.

With that said, the other area that we have made changes in, in their early intervening plan that they submit through [inaudible] project, they will have to identify [inaudible] factors that they must address that relate to the area of significant disproportionality.

For example, if they're identified in discipline, they'll have to target their plan towards disciplinary practices, and they'll have to explain what they felt contributed to the significant disproportionality such as lack of professional development or underidentification of students of a certain race or lack of implementation of infidelity and implementation [inaudible]. So they'll have to dig down into the root causes and identify that for us in the plan.

The other thing that is new is that in the past, funds were only allowed to be spent on students who had not ever been identified as being a student with a disability. Funds can now

be spent on students with disabilities also but not exclusively. So their plan can be used for both students without and with disabilities.

And, lastly, the other change is, we do have to -- in the past, we have only looked at school-age children ages six through 21. We will be, in future years, including preschool students ages three, four, and five in the area of identification and in the area of discipline, and that becomes effective next July for the 2021 determination.

Okay. So those are all the changes. I know I went through those quickly, but I did want to be available to answer any questions that you might have.

MS. HUDGENS: Nancy, I have one question for the virtual participants. For the significant disproportionality, are those lists of LEAs on the public website?

DR. JOHNSON: The list just went out. They are not, to my knowledge, on the public website, but I believe that we can get them up.

That is public information. So we will -- I'll make a note of that.

MS. HUDGENS: Thank you.

Quarterly Meeting 6/12/19 DR. JOHNSON: And I'm not the guru in getting stuff up on the public website [inaudible] have that done or whoever there at DPI. But I don't know long [inaudible] how long it takes to publish it, but I will let you know. Are there questions? I know I went through that in a hurry. Well, it looks like you MS. HUDGENS: must have done a fabulous job because everyone here appears to have their need for knowledge met today on disproportionality. DR. JOHNSON: overloaded with information. on that one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's great. Either that or they're tired from being there all day and

MS. HUDGENS: Well, we won't comment

THE CHAIRPERSON: We plead the Fifth.

DR. JOHNSON: Okay.

MS. HUDGENS: And I'm checking virtually, and our virtual participants just say thank you, Nancy, for the information.

DR. JOHNSON: Okay. Great. Thank I appreciate the opportunity to speak to you, and if you have any questions, you can feel free to email me. I didn't include it in the

presentation, but my email is N, as in Nancy, T, as in Tom, J-o-h-n-s-o@UNCC.edu.

MS. HUDGENS: Okay. Nancy, I am going to mute your line now and turn it back over to Leanna for the rest of our agenda. Thank you.

DR. JOHNSON: Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And next up is we have one announcement, and we'll go ahead and do that one, and then open it up for any other announcements.

MS. DANIELS-HALL: So we would like to congratulate---

THE CHAIRPERSON: Any guesses who?
No guesses?

MS. DANIELS-HALL: ---Teresa Mebane.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Teresa Mebane.

She's finishing her second term on the Council, her second two-year term. So it's bittersweet.

MS. MEBANE: So this is the last meeting?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Through July, but this is sadly, according to the rules and everything. You can come as a guest. You can come and watch. You don't have to leave. But I want to thank you for all your work that you've

Quarterly Meeting 6/12/19 done on this Council. You were chairing it before 1 I began it, I remember, right? 2 MS. MEBANE: What? 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: You were chairing 4 it before I started. 5 MS. MEBANE: No. 6 THE CHAIRPERSON: I thought she was? 7 MS. DANIELS-HALL: I thought she was 8 We've known you so long, we thought you had 9 been chair. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Nicole Jimerson was 11

the chair, but I think she was the chair before Nicole.

> MS. MEBANE: No.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIRPERSON: You weren't?

MS. THOMAS: I think they're thinking of the other blond.

MS. BYNUM: Jill Searcy.

MS. DANIELS-HALL: Jill Searcy, yes, the other blond.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for all your hard work here. Are there any other announcements?

MS. THOMAS: Can I get one since I -instead of doing updates today, I thought it was

more important that you hear around the autism policy changes.

So I do have one exciting announcement. This week we have conducted across the state ECATS training for our LEAs who have year-round schools. They had first priority, and then other LEAs have been a part of that, and we are scheduled to go live the week of July 15th. So we are very, very excited.

I would like to offer that at your next Council meeting, if you would so desire, we could give you a drive-through, show off the new product.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's see how easy it is to do an IEP now.

MS. THOMAS: I think the good thing you're going to find is that your school teams are not going to start talking to you about DEC-1, DEC-2, and DEC-3. They're going to talk to you about the process. We're going to talk about a referral. We're going to talk about an annual review. We're going to talk about a reevaluation. So the numbers will be gone, and I know people are very tied of those numbers.

But Carol Ann has worked really,

really hard on this. We started working on the forms about four and a half to five years ago.

Pulled a large stakeholder group, had every available stakeholder type there. So we had parents there as well as teachers and directors and IHE folks and lawyers and our staff, and so this team worked for about a year and a half to really consolidate the forms into the process and not a thing or a number.

And the system is set up so that if you say there's a behavior problem, you have to do a behavior plan. It's very interconnected and very intuitive, and once you pull up the child's name, you don't have to enter it every time you go to a page. I mean it's very fluid. So we think it's going to save teachers time just in not duplicating information that they've had to do in the past.

So we're excited. We are also not foolish to think that there won't be hiccups or bugs. I mean there always is. You know, have you ever gotten a new phone and it worked immediately every time on everything? It's an electronic system.

But we've got a really good staff, a

really good partnership with Public Consulting Group, and we have worked on this for five and a half years. So we've gotten rid of as many bugs as we can, and we'll continue to tweak and continue to add, and there will be additional functionality added.

In July, we will come up live with the special ed module and service documentation module, and then in December or early January, the MTSS module will roll out.

(Inaudible question.)

MS. THOMAS: No. We do not, from the state level, have a 504 module. LEAs may choose to do it as an add-on, and they may add on a 504 module, but that will be a local decision and individualized.

We are providing this to the state, as you know, for free. So there's no charge to LEAs to use the system. It is not required to do the MTSS module or service documentation. An early warning system is required and our special ed module. So this will be the only special ed module in the state, which will greatly enhance our kiddos who transfer from county to county. And everything is in one system, and it will be

easier for us.

So we are looking at how we can do maybe do some -- we will be planning to do some monitoring. Excuse me. I guess I should stop talking because I can't get rid of the frog.

We're hoping we're going to be able to do a lot more virtually because we will be able to see everything, not just what's being reported from third parties.

So I just wanted to share that bit of news with you today.

MS. HODGES: Congratulations.

MS. THOMAS: Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you,
everyone, for your active participation today. We
will have our next meeting in September. Be on
the lookout for information regarding the autism
policy update letter that we have to do by August.
Once again, probably the second week of July, have
out to you with a meeting about two weeks later
before the end of July.

All right. Is there any last-minute questions, concerns?

(No audible response.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Every one drive

Quarterly Meeting 6/12/19 Page 189 safely. I look forward to seeing you soon. (At 2:50 p.m., the quarterly meeting adjourned.)

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, REBECCA P. SCOTT, State-Certified
Verbatim Reporter, do hereby certify:

That said proceeding was reported by me and the foregoing pages, numbered 4 through 189, are a true record of the proceeding to the best of my knowledge and belief;

That I am neither related to nor employed by any of the parties or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor interested directly or indirectly in the matter in controversy, and am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Certified this 16th day of July, 2019.

Rebecca P. Scott