# COUNCIL ON EDUCATIONAL SERVICES FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN

Raleigh, North Carolina March 14, 2018 9:37 a.m.

# TRANSCRIPT OF QUARTERLY MEETING

The quarterly meeting of the Council on Educational Services for Exceptional Children was held on the 14th day of March, 2018, in the State Board of Education Boardroom, Education Building, 301 North Wilmington Street, Raleigh, North Carolina, commencing at 9:37 a.m.

# APPEARANCES

COUNCIL ON EDUCATIONAL SERVICES FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Leanna George, Chairperson
Vicki Simmons, Vice Chairperson
Diane Coffey (via teleconference)
Cynthia Daniels-Hall
Christy Hutchinson
Adam Johnson
Carla McNeill
Teresa Mebane
Virginia Moorefield
Jennifer Mullis
Lisa Phillips
Kelli Terrell (for Rickey Smith)
Jennine Vlasaty
Paulett Wall (via teleconference)

#### STAFF:

Sherry Bell
Tish Bynum
Nancy Carolan
Carol Ann Hudgens
Bill Hussey
Dreama McCoy
Heather Ouzts
Laurie Ray

## VISITORS:

Eric Hall

### COURT REPORTER:

Rebecca P. Scott

Simmons. I'm an adapted PE teacher from Guilford
County Schools.

MS\_TERREII. Kolli Torroll in for

22

23

24

25

MS. TERRELL: Kelli Terrell in for Rick Smith, Education Services.

MR. JOHNSON: I'm Adam Johnson with

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

Administrative Assistance for this Council.

25

And we have our minutes from the December meeting. Take a few minutes and review over those. If there's any corrections that need

24

25

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

Thank you so much for inviting me to come and

speak about adapted PE this morning. I am Laurie

24

25

Ray, and I am your liaison for adapted physical education. I also have two other roles I serve for you as consultant for physical therapy, and I also share consulting with Lauren Holahan from Medicaid Cost Recovery in Schools. So if you have questions about that, not for this time, but in general, I just wanted you to know that there's other areas that I cover for you as well.

So I prepared some remarks, but I do want to say too that I'm happy to go off script and answer any questions you have. I'd really like to provide you with whatever information you need about adapted PE, but I see you have Vicki Simmons, and she's ably equipped to speak on the issue better than I, but I'm happy to answer any questions you have. If they come up, please don't hesitate to interrupt and ask whatever question you'd like.

So, first of all, adapted physical education is part of our Healthy Active Children Policy that is under the Whole School, Whole Community, Whole Child Model, where we're not just interested in teaching our children what they need to know to be readers and workers, but also that they can be healthy and active and engaged

community participants. So that takes the whole community, and we're very invested in making sure our children are not only healthy but also happy and learning.

There are some board policies that I wanted to highlight for you. The Healthy Active Children Policy, Section 4 talks about PE throughout the school year and specifies the elementary school and middle school recommendations. It also is very clear that it needs to be provided in a supportive environment, and there should be two bullet points there at the bottom. Supportive environment is one. The second is that more than 50 percent of the time should be in vigorous to moderately vigorous activity, so not just talking and looking at your phone, but actually really getting your heart rate up. So that's the expectation there.

Section 5 talks about whether recess and physical activity should be taken away as a form of punishment. That is not permissible under board policy. As well as the daily minimum activity level, it also establishes that recess and physical activity should not be taken away as a punishment but, additionally, that exercise

should not be assigned as a punishment, to make sure that our students know that exercise is not a punishment but something that is a great part of life and make sure there's real positive associations with that.

So the importance of adapted physical education is that for those students who require something more to participate and progress through our curriculum for PE, that they are able to learn, practice, and master skills. Anybody who's taken PE knows that it's not just about motoric skills. I learned a lot of social skills and abilities when I was in physical education.

And I also have a high regard for adapted physical educators because often PE is the place where our students with disabilities thrive. They can be leaders. They can be the ones that are in front and leading the class, and it's an area of strength on occasion, and we want to make sure that our students that have disabilities have an opportunity to be in a class with typically developing peers and leading that class, and so we want to make sure that we offer that and our IEP teams are very clear on that, that there's a continuum of services. We'll get into that a

little bit later.

We also want to build a capacity for our students to be active for their lifetime, not just while they're in school, but to be citizens that actively participate in life and to provide that physical activity that's required by our board policy. So not only is it important, but it's part of being an adult. Many times sports and participation in sporting events are things that make you have friends, commonalities, and gain relationships. So we also know the importance of adapted physical activity to develop skills and competencies, but also to meet friends and practice social skills in a really natural setting for our students.

I did -- I forgot it on the title slide. I took a blood oath that I have to tell you about that I would always, in front of every group, say it's adapted PE, not adaptive PE. So I have to fulfill that oath because adaptive indicates that something is adapting to its environment on its own, whereas adapted requires an activity, someone to do it. It's a verb. So adapted physical activity requires a person to do it, and I just wanted to clarify that because we

1 of 2 th 3 PF

often hear adaptive PE used interchangeably, and that's an incorrect term. Behavior is adaptive. PE has to be adapted. Okay. So I've fulfilled that oath.

So our President's Council -- this is a little bit of a disturbing statistic that I like to bring out -- reports that even though we know our children across the board aren't physically active enough, they aren't getting the physical activity we want them to get, and additionally, our students and youth with disabilities have a physical activity level that's 4.5 times lower than their peers. So even though their peers aren't getting sufficient activity, we know our students with disabilities are getting less.

So every student can benefit from physical education, and adapted PE can be also an important component of postsecondary transition planning to start to look at independent living, community engagement, what is available in the community, and to do some work in that regard in planning for that student to be able to play on a community league or participate in a church softball team or whatever might be of interest to them. So that can be an important part of

transition as well.

This is just for your bedtime reading, some policy for you to enjoy. We do have -- specially designed instruction in physical education is mentioned specifically in the policy and is clarified as to what that means, and it is the only curricular area that's specified. So I think that demonstrates it is an important area we need to pay some attention to.

I do find on occasion there's some confusion about adapted physical education in that it's seen somewhere between a related service and special education. It's like there's special education, there are related services, and then adapted PE is somewhere. And I want to clarify that it's actually not in the middle of anything. It is specially designed instruction. It supports a curriculum like English/language arts or any other curricular area.

And so it is indeed special education directly serving children. It's not a related service and it's not an accommodation. You can have accommodations in PE, but that does not mean it's adapted PE. Not all students that have disabilities require adapted PE. Just because you

have a wheelchair doesn't mean you require

specially designed instruction in PE. So it does

require the same eligibility type of review, and

I'm going to walk through that with you just in

case you need it, and if you don't, just say the

word and we can change to another topic. I'm

happy to talk about anything you would like.

MS. MULLIS: Can I ask a question?

MS. MULLIS: Can I ask a question?
MS. RAY: Sure.

MS. MULLIS: So like all of mine are in self-contained extension programs, so all -typically when they come in, on all their IEPs on the ninth grade year, we put, you know, is taking it or has adaptive PE -- sorry -- adapted -that's a good point. I like that. And then after in their IEP, we put they have met their goal, but I mean if they -- that's just the typical thing because we all say that they need it because of the program that they're in, but---

MS. RAY: Right. There is a requirement for students to have one credit of PE for high school, but it can be a part of a high school program for any child. It's up to the IEP team to determine if it's required for them in order to benefit from their education or needed

for them in their transition plan. Yeah, so an individualized plan means individualized and it should be really reviewed by the team to see what's required and how best we can get some success in the postsecondary transition. Thank you for that question.

So when we're talking about whether specially designed instruction is required, we're going to ask what are the areas of need for this student, do they qualify under one of the 14 educational categories, and then do they require specially designed instruction for the Healthful Living curriculum specifically in PE, is that something that they need to learn and participate.

Adapted PE is a direct special education service, and it's required by federal law and by state policy. It's not a local option or decision. It's not optional. It's a part of special education. And then I have a slide here that kind of runs through on an IEP, if you are filling out an IEP currently, what we at the Department think has happened when you indicate yes, they need adapted PE---

"Does this child require adapted PE?"
Currently, on the DEC4 is a check, and when you

1 check "yes," that means that an evaluation has been provided to the team with sufficient data, 2 that the IEP team has determined the student 3 requires that specially designed instruction, that 4 a present level of academic and functional 5 6 performance relative to this curricular area has been developed, and there are goals developed by 7 the team -- and this is a key piece -- that the 8 team that's developing these goals needs to also 9 have someone who is knowledgeable about the PE 10 curriculum.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And often I find, as a physical therapist, we get asked that. Just because we have physical in our name, they feel that that's sufficient for us to speak on this. Physical therapists are not knowledgeable about the PE curriculum. We're not trained on it. We don't get exposure to it. And, therefore, you really need, as a team, to have someone who knows the PE curriculum who can talk about what adaptation may be necessary.

Then we determine the least restrictive environment. This should not be dictated by the placement of the student but should be a separate discussion about where these

services would best be provided to the student, restricting them as little as possible. And so this is an opportunity for our students to get some exposure and some social interactions with peers without disabilities. So I always encourage teams to really base this on data and experience, not opinion and expectation, but actual data and observations.

And then the IEP indicates how the student's progress on the goal will be reported because it is taking the student off the PE curriculum, so we need to track their progress accordingly and make sure that they're progressing sufficiently and trying to get them back to grade-level physical education curriculum. And then I have a little fancy thing to say this is currently what's happening, but as you well know, I'm sure, we have some changes to IEP forms coming and this may alter.

So Step 1 is the evaluation. As a curricular area, evaluation is not necessarily required if the team has sufficient data and information to develop the IEP in this area. What I have found is often the teams aren't getting data collected. As a common class, PE is not one

that collects a great deal of data necessarily, and so often we do need an evaluation to inform the team, and I gave you some areas that are evaluation.

And this is why an occupational therapist or physical therapist is insufficient because, while we do look at motor skills, participation, and endurance, we don't always assess fitness and we definitely don't look at sport skills. Ability to follow directions and things like that may be commented on, but it's looked at in a different way when you're talking about a physical education class and that curriculum.

So you either need to access the data that's available or consider the need for an evaluation, and currently, we don't have an area that's called an adapted physical education evaluation. Hopefully, on the new forms, that will change, but right now you can check "other" and indicate that you need an evaluation in PE or adapted PE. Again, when you're looking at eligibility, you should definitely have someone who is a curricular expert who can speak to this child's ability or needs when it comes to being in

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PE class. So you need someone with firsthand knowledge, if at all possible.

So I just gave you some ideas here about guiding questions for team discussion when you're talking about eligibility and adapted PE: What are the areas of strengths the student has; are they engaged; do they enjoy time with their peers; do they enjoy running around or is PE the thing that they least look forward to; is it a motivating factor or is it not; motoricly, are they on age-appropriate levels; are they able to keep up -- fitness, endurance, motor patterns; are they immature or are they developing. Just kind of pinpointing where they are in the PE curriculum, and then also talking about how the disability has an impact on their participation and learning in PE.

Score keeping is not part of the PE curriculum and is not an appropriate accommodation or change in the curriculum for a student. they can keep score, that's a totally different skill and doesn't mean that they're getting PE. Just talking about designing the instruction for the student and whether they are learning PE as all other students do on that grade level -- and

I'll get into that in a little tiny bit -- and then what is the instruction alteration that we need to do; is it a change in instruction, the context, or the environment; what do we need to alter in order for this student to learn and participate.

So more specifically just talking about endurance, similar gross motor skills, just some specifics that the team might want to consider when they're talking about PE needs, and then also always keeping an eye on transition, what are the lifelong physical activities and recreational activities that the student will need to work on in order to engage as a citizen lifelong.

And this slide, I put in here because sometimes there is great confusion in self-contained classes or in public separate schools. I've had some questions about everyone in this building gets PE that's adapted for them, if it's a class or a school that has all students with disabilities, so we don't need to write any adapted PE goals because this is what everybody gets. And that is not the case. When you've taken them off the grade-level PE and you're

delivering it in a way that's different from the way other third-graders in your county get that PE class, then you are changing and specially designing that instruction.

So I gave some guide points here:

Are the expectations consistent, the same number of rules being taught, the same skills being taught; are the class ratios consistent with other PE classes throughout the district or the LEA; are there similar supports, differentiated instruction, but not individualized specially designed instruction. So there is a line there that we often encounter when we're talking about special education, is how much is good instruction that's differentiated and when does it become specially designed.

And then I gave you some points here where if the child is able to go to class and participate and learn and need some sensory support, some communication supports, a written list of activities, maybe wearing earphones in the noisy gym to make sure they don't get auditorily overwhelmed. They're assigned stations or partners or they're -- instead of playing volleyball with a volleyball, they're playing with

a beach ball. These are accommodations or supplementary aids.

So they can be provided and the student still be learning on grade level, on curriculum, just adapting it——— Not adapting it.

Don't let me confuse you. ——modifying it with an accommodation so that the student is able to progress and learn. So that's where sometimes places get a little bit of a struggle in determining what's specially designed and what is an accommodation, but I hope these guidelines are little bit helpful.

And then looking at the definition of specially designed instruction really can help as well. So when we are teaching the student their motoric skills and their physical education, are we altering the content, the methodology, or the delivery of instruction? And often what I find is the delivery of instruction is the one that trips people up. If they have an adult with them, that is changing how instruction is delivered. It's not typical that a third-grader has a 40-year-old woman following them around in the gym. So that modifies the delivery of instruction, and we need to be very aware of that when it is specially

designed, making sure that they have associated goals and that progress is being monitored on that. I gave you a couple more examples trying to differentiate between accommodation, supplementary aids and services as opposed to instructional changes specially designing that instruction.

education determined? Like all special education, it's determined by the IEP team with a consensus decision. A thorough discussion of the student's needs in PE should be vetted through that whole team with someone knowledgeable about the PE curriculum who, hopefully, has seen the student in a gen ed PE class and speak on how they participate or are unable to participate due their disability, and do a nice job of documenting the supplementary aids or services to special education or other supporting services, modifications, or accommodations that are required.

And after that's documented, then
we're going to talk about if they do need
specially designed instruction in PE, what does
that look like for this student; in order for them
to meet their goals, what is required to support

them in their learning.

And there are some areas I've given you next on the adapted PE goal areas: fitness, motor skills, and participation. Often we have students that may have struggles to socially interact with peers during a game and also have some delays in their motor skills. So there may be more than one area, just as any other curricular area. In reading, there's fluency of reading and there's reading comprehension and many other skills under that. So we need to be clear in -- improving in PE is not a good goal. We need to be specific in what the effects of the disability are. Yes?

MS. VLASATY: What was "CV capacity"? What's a CV?

MS. RAY: Cardiovascular. Thank you very much. Sorry. I'm in education and I have a medical background, so abbreviations are rife and I need to spell that out. Thanks for asking.

Talking about least restrictive environment, we definitely want to make sure, to the maximum extent possible, we have students with disabilities interacting and learning with students that do not have disabilities. The goal

is to get all students back to gen ed PE with their peers. And so a vigorous discussion that's very data based on this should be happening every time we're talking about adapted PE services or, indeed, any special education services.

And the next slide provides you with a continuum of possibilities. There are a lot of ways we can serve students, and as I said, the IEP should be individualized so that if they need some separate instruction but they can participate in a gen ed class, they should be getting both those things happening, and it should be documented in the IEP so there's a full continuum.

We want to make sure we're moving in the right direction and not down the continuum towards separate education especially based on staffing. I'm very concerned about these decisions being driven of being -- having some pressure because of staffing or school schedule or some other logistical complications. So we definitely want student need driving these decisions.

And then next I have a couple of options. Because we don't have in every county, in every LEA, we don't have someone with

credentials. So the credential that is best practice is certification in adapted PE or CAPE, and many of our LEAs do not have someone with CAPE certification. So that's what we want. That's best practice, is the assessment, IEP development, and instruction by a CAPE-certified adapted physical educator, but in the case we don't have that type of staff expertise on our staff, we also encourage strong collaboration.

So it could be an adapted physical educator is not available and we have a gen ed PE teacher who is, according to our licensure, able to provide adapted PE, but they will need some assistance with the evaluation process and with the goal development and perhaps with progress monitoring. And so alongside them should come a special educator and/or related service provider, physical therapist or occupational therapist, and together they should be able to collaborate and make sure that the person who knows the PE curriculum is involved and someone who knows the IEP process is involved so together they can create a good solid APE program for each student.

So here are some appropriate collaborations, the ways that staff should --

could and should work together to provide adapted PE for students, including integrated goal development, provision of related services within the PE class or the APE class routines, consultation for APE assessment with a PE teacher or special educator, provision of that APE modification. Sometimes we have general PE teacher that does a great job, but every once in a while just doesn't know how to make this wheelchair work or this walker work with their basketball module. So having someone that they can go to for some consultation is very helpful.

I hope I have mentioned community options and transition planning is something that's an important collaborative opportunity, and most importantly, really problem-solving when we have challenging or difficult issues to make sure that our students -- especially with complex needs but any of our students -- are participating and engaging to the best of their abilities in our PE classes.

And then adapted physical educators and all of our staff sometimes amaze me with the special projects they do. We have a coffee shop in one of our counties in Watauga where the

adapted physical educator, the PT, the OT, and the special educator created a coffee shop because they didn't have sufficient work opportunities for their students. So they made a coffee shop in their high school, and they have -- it's open to the community two times a week, and it's run by the students. But the APE person is a real community linchpin and was very integral in getting that program off the ground.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Some ways that collaboration should not happen is having someone who's not qualified to provide an assessment, like an occupational therapist or a physical therapist. They should not be providing APE assessment or recommendations to the team because they don't know the curriculum. So they can't really inform the team about that. Development of goals and also provision of services needs to be provided by someone with a PE license or a certified adapted physical educator. So we want to make sure that we don't have OTs or PTs instructing in PE because they're not qualified to do so.

And then this goes to the high school question, making sure that PE can be available to students to choose as an elective, and if they

elect to take that service -- I mean that class that the service is provided to them, and that can be documented easily on the IEP when they're receiving PE that they have this adapted PE direct service. And then also informing the transition planning for independent living and specific to community participation and recreation opportunities.

So that's what I had thought you might want to know, but I am available to you now or in the future for any questions that you have, and as a physical therapist, I don't know everything about adapted PE, but I know a lot of people. So if I don't know the answer, I can certainly get the answer for you. Do you have any questions for me at this time?

THE CHAIRPERSON: I have a question.

What type of training or professional development options are available for PE teachers who -- especially in districts that do not have a CAPE or APE provider -- to support our students with special needs who might qualify?

MS. RAY: So I would draw your attention to the title slide. This presentation was developed by the Department of Public

Instruction in accompaniment with the Adapted PE Advisory Council. So we're very lucky in this state that we have a voluntary advisory council that has organized to provide just that support to LEAs. So we have volunteers that are assigned to each region and are a contact person that is a skilled expert in adapted PE provision.

So there's a contact point for anyone in an LEA to get in touch with those folks for mentoring or just to talk through problems and figure out what they need to do. I'm available to them as the liaison. I understand the policy a little bit more than the actual physical education part of it, so together we try to cover those bases.

In addition, we have -- our institutes are now done in the fall as part of our Conference on Exceptional Children. That's done on a proposal basis, but we've had strong proposals two years in a row from our Adapted Physical Education Advisory Council, and they provided an all day training that has gotten rave reviews and has really helped to equip people to be ready to go back to their LEAs ready to serve.

In addition, I have -- this training

expanded a bit in an IEP development training
that's available for districts to invite me to
come and do that training for them. So we have
informal resources available and also some formal
structures available as well.

MS. SIMMONS: Your name and email address and the website you gave -- that's the map?

MS. RAY: That's right. On the back page where it has my contact information, I also gave you the link for the Adapted PE Advisory

Council where you can find the map of those contact points for your area and also some other resources. They have some nice lesson plans posted. They have some videos of what good adapted PE looks like.

There's a great video of one of
the -- well, our current president of the advisory
council Barbara Meleney who did a nutrition relay
race where she has the students with disabilities
doing a relay race and they have food that they
need to sort according to the food groups. So
she's getting curricular support and physical
activity all at the same time with a lot of good
engagement, and there's a lot of learning going on

THE CHAIRPERSON: Is Sherry ready?

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

10:17 a.m. to 10:31 a.m.)

24

25

MS. BELL: I am.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. North Carolina Virtual Public School.

MS. BELL: Good morning. Can everybody hear me okay?

MS. OUZTS: The microphone's off.

Let's make sure it's---

THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's turn the mike back on.

MS. BELL: It's on? Okay. I hear it now. Good morning. Thank you so much for having me and inviting me today to be with you. I will explain to you -- and if you see me start shaking, it's not because I'm nervous, I'm freezing, so -- and I think the rest of you guys are. I'm trying to get my hands warm up here.

But my name is Sherry Bell, and I am the Director of the Exceptional Children and 504 Services for the North Carolina Virtual Public School. I wanted to start out by just telling you a little bit about myself. I have almost 24 -- it will be 24 years in November -- years with public education and the state of North Carolina. I started out as a speech and language pathologist in the public school system. So I served students

pre-k through 12 for about six years as a speech and language pathologist. And then I became a Director of Special Education for Mitchell County Schools for 12 years, and then I left and came to NC VPS and have been with NC VPS since 2012.

I love special education. It's my passion. I wouldn't want to do anything else. I love working with students with special abilities. I am from the mountains of western North Carolina, a small town called Spruce Pine. It's about four hours from here. I just talked to my husband this morning and they got four inches of snow so our boys are out of school for the third day in a row this week. So I hope they don't get their spring break taken, but--- So I will be traveling back this afternoon.

But, of course, we're a very small, mountain, rural town in Mitchell County, one high school and about seven schools total. But I serve now on the public school board of education for Mitchell County Schools. So that has been a very interesting position, but I have enjoyed that very much.

So I hope that I have provided information that you-all would like to hear. I

know it was my understanding that you wanted to hear a little bit more about NC VPS and what we're all about and how we serve students with special needs, and I think you wanted to hear a little bit about some data.

So these are a couple of the topics that we're going to be talking about today. Just remind me of my time because when I'm talking about special ed, I can talk, talk, talk because it's my passion. So just keep me on time.

I wanted to start out with just giving you a little bit of information about NC VPS and who we are, what we do, who we serve.

This is just an example of some of the students that we serve at NC VPS. We do have students from charter schools, home schools, special schools, public schools, private schools, Department of Defense, the Bureau of Indian Affairs schools, and Division of Juvenile Justice.

Currently, we offer courses across the board. Our core courses that are required for students, we have a wide variety of world languages, we have test prep for ACT/SAT, a lot of arts and electives, a pretty huge AP population, career tech ed, credit recovery, and of course,

occupational course of study.

This is our overall enrollment for 2016-17. So for last year, we had approximately 58,269 students enrolled with NC VPS for the year. 18,665 of those were OCS, so that is our second largest enrollment in NC VPS, is our occupational course of study population. Our traditional student population was 24,000, credit recovery 1292, and AP honors 14,210.

And then I wanted you to be able to see a little bit about our IEP/504 population.

This is just a very rough estimate because we are not connected to Power School at NC VPS. We have been working on that for a couple of years, and there have been a lot of snags. So this is, by hand, the data that I was able to pull from our registration system.

But for last semester in the fall, we had 20,000 total -- this is our total enrollment of students in the fall including general ed and special ed. Out of those 20,000, total IEPs were around 8896. Out of those IEPs, 8360 students are enrolled in our OCS courses. Of course, that's not individual students. That's students who are taking our courses multiple times, but it is a

total of around 8360. And then non-OCS students, so those are students with IEPs but are not also identified as OCS in our registration system, that was approximately 536. And then we have around 880 students with 504s.

Some of our teacher facts, just in our overall teacher pool, we have around 700 plus teachers per semester for NC VPS, and these teachers are contracted. 64 percent of those have master's degrees, 43 percent are nationally board-certified, and of course, 100 percent are highly qualified.

And then to break that down into our OCS courses, currently, we offer all of these OCS courses: Applied Science; American History 1 and 2; OCS Intro to Math; OCS English I, II, III, and IV; OCS biology; OCS financial management; OCS --LDME stands for locally developed math elective. That's kind of equivalent to the old Foundations of Math/Algebra 1A, and then Math 1/Algebra 1, Part B.

And then we are in the process of developing, thanks to funding from the Exceptional Children Division, the OCS civics and economics.

That will be a requirement for incoming freshman,

I believe, for the graduation pathway starting

next year 2018-19. The incoming freshmen for OCS

will be required to have an OCS civics and

economics class for their graduation pathway, and

they can choose between OCS American History 2 or

OCS American History 2.

Some of our facts about OCS teachers.

We have -- generally, we average about 9000
enrollments. We have gone from -- started out
with 100 enrollments ten years ago when NC VPS -well, actually, when OCS -- when NC VPS first
created the OCS courses, we started out with
around 100, and now we were at 18,000 for a year.

We average about 9000 per semester. We have
around 250-plus NC VPS content teachers. So
that's our teachers who teach the OCS courses that
are certified in the general curriculum.

And then we have 900-plus partners out there, so we have a lot of partners. We have almost every district in the state of North Carolina enrolled with us in some capacity. And then we have what's called instructional leaders, and those are sort of teacher leaders in our program at NC VPS, and they mentor and coach our teachers. They also teach in our courses, but

they help us as we evaluate our teachers and make sure that they are providing what is needed in their online courses.

Our number has gone down just a little bit this semester, and that's because we have -- we're getting some competition, I think, with vendors like Edgenuity and other places who are starting to offer OCS courses. So we're a little concerned about that, but I'm not sure how they serve students with special needs.

The four instructional pillars. This is a requirement that we mandate in all of our courses, especially in our OCS courses. We have had Pillar 4 since the conception of OCS, but we require effective instructional feedback on all assignments, effective instructional announcements and learning blocks, effective synchronous conversations to build relationships, and effective individualization of learning.

So these are the four main areas of focus that our NC VPS teachers are evaluated on.

We do what's called a spot-check, and we go into their courses like a walk-through. We look at their communication. We look at every aspect of what they're required to do and make sure that

they are meeting these objectives in their courses.

So when we're looking at serving students with special needs in an online learning environment, you know, this has been challenging. You know, I have to admit for me it has been challenging because all of my EC director background is in a face-to-face environment.

That's what I know. We, you know, look at owning the students. At NC VPS, we don't really own the students. We are a supplement because these students are involved in their public school first, they're enrolled in their private school first, they're homeschooled first.

So we do not receive, of course, special ed funding for any of these students because we are a supplement to the schools. The schools have chosen to enroll these students into our courses for some reason or another, either they do not offer the course for OCS because of the highly qualified issue.

So it is challenging for us because we are number two, and so we have to -- we have had to kind of build this as we've gone along. NO VPS didn't have an EC person before 2012, and

thankfully, you know, with the support of the EC Division at DPI and a lot of people advocating for a need for an EC person to be there, we've been able to work through some of the areas that we felt like were missing as far as making sure that we were meeting the needs of our students with special needs.

But when we're looking at meeting those needs, we have specifically had to look at these four areas. Accessibility. Are our courses accessible? Are they completely accessible right now? No. Is that something that we are aware of and that we're working on? Absolutely. And I have to tell you -- and I'm not just saying this because I work with NC VPS.

You know, I come from the public schools. I come from an EC background. Everyone that I work with, they are so passionate about meeting the needs of students with special abilities. They want to make sure that we are doing everything we can to meet their needs and to make sure that they feel comfortable in the online learning environment.

We know that there are accommodations and modifications that are needed. Of course, we

adhere to the IEP, and we have a conversation with
the school district about the IEP the
accommodations and modifications and the 504. We
know that lesson design is very important, so we
work very closely with our curriculum and
instruction team.

For OCS, we have designed all of those courses using UDL, the Universal Design for Learning principles. We know that UDL works for all students, and if we are -- if we are designing our courses based on UDL principles and we are delivering instruction based on UDL principles, then many accommodations and modifications aren't needed for students, if we're already looking at UDL up front.

And then, of course, constant collaboration and communication. That's important to make sure that our teachers are in constant communication and collaboration with the student, with their parents, and with the school staff.

Just to give you an idea of some examples of support provided, some of the things that we've had to look at with regards to these specific areas. Accessibility. One of the major tasks that we're working on right now is getting a

captioning tool. We have lots and lots of students who have been -- more students have been enrolling in our courses who are deaf or hard of hearing and visually impaired, and we've learned a lot by having students enrolled at the Governor Morehead School for the Blind.

We actually did a visit there, and I took our curriculum team with me because I feel like that you can't really know -- I love that quote from To Kill a Mockingbird, "You never really know anyone until you get into their skin and climb around and walk around in it," and I know I'm not quoting it exactly. But you can't really know what a student needs or how they're experiencing online learning from the viewpoint of accessibility until you sit down and meet with them and you talk with them.

So with the Governor Morehead School for the Blind, we had a wonderful opportunity.

Those kids told us everything. They were totally honest. They were like this does not work and this does not work and we need this, and that was one of the best experiences that we've ever had.

Chris Smith is on our curriculum team, and he is an instructional designer, and he is our

accessibility guru and he teaches me new things every day.

So right now we're talking a lot to our teachers about providing alternate text, you know, how we can provide different resources.

It's not just about instruction and putting the announcements in there. It's the design. So we have been looking at a tool called Yuja, and that is a tool that our curriculum team -- I believe they have actually gotten a contract with them and that is a captioning service. So we're excited about that.

We do have a read aloud feature called Read Speaker in our courses, and the students can choose to push the button to read everything aloud or just some things aloud.

That's the first thing I started advocating for when I came on and we got it, and I'm so excited about that. Yes?

MS. MOOREFIELD: The captioning service that you mentioned, can you spell that?

MS. BELL: Y-u-j-a, Yuja. And we're getting ready to roll out some professional learning with our teachers about that, but it is -- it does work with Canvas. Canvas is our

learning management system. That's where all of our courses are developed and housed.

And then, you know, I already talked about universal design, modifications and accommodations and collaboration and communication. We do have -- we have a peer tutoring center that we offer. It's an amazing peer tutoring center. We offer that to all of our students if they need support in their courses, and that has been really successful with a lot of our students.

Just to give you an idea of some of the things that I do as an EC director, coordinator, whatever you would like -- you know, whatever you'd like to call me, you know, I feel like it's my job to make sure that our -- first of all, that our students with special needs are getting their needs met in order to be successful in an online course. Bottom line, do they have the supports in place, and it's my job to make sure that our NC VPS teachers are trained appropriately to ensure that they understand accommodations and modifications that students might need in an online learning environment and that they understand the students that we are

teaching, that they understand autism, that they understand deaf and hard of hearing, visually impaired, specific learning disabilities, that they understand all of those areas and that they know that if they need additional information or support, that I'm there for them.

with Sherry Bell weekly. That's every Thursday night from 8:00 to 9:00. I'm always open. They can contact me anytime, but that's just a specific time if they want to come into our Adobe Connect meeting room and specifically ask me questions about PL or students without using any kind of student name due to FERPA, then they can come in there and talk to me.

Sometimes I do offer specific PL during that time; it's not just a chat with Sherry. This past week, I offered a Smore on accessibility. Smore is a professional learning -- a Web 2.0 Tool that you can create some professional learning in. So I offer that on accessibility.

There are some wonderful sites online that you can go and actually have a simulation of what it's like to be a student who is deaf or hard

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of hearing, what it's like to be a student with a visual impairment, and what it's like to be a student who has dyslexia. And so I did these simulations with our teachers and wanted them to experience that firsthand and to see why the struggle is there sometimes with online learning as opposed to face-to-face.

So I develop and host webinars and information sessions for stakeholders. We know that that there's a need for parents to learn more of what we do at NC VPS, and we know that there's more that schools need to know about. You know, a lot of schools aren't familiar with online learning, and you know, we're just so focused in the public schools about the IEP and what the courses are in the face-to-face environment, that sometimes I think our teachers forget to think about the online learning environment is different. So I see that as one of my tasks to get some more information out there to our schools and help them to learn about online learning and what considerations that we need to look at when we're trying to decide about enrolling a student with a disability into an online course.

I am part of -- we do have an MTSS

1 team at NC VPS. This is fairly new. We're still trying to find our way. We're trying to figure 2 how MTSS would work in an online learning 3 environment, but I'm the co-coordinator for that. 4 We are looking at changing our name to NC VPS 6 Academic Student Support Team just because MTSS, of course, is a framework, and we know that 7 because we do not own the student, then we're not 8 specifically looking at targeting data and looking 9 at interventions and meeting about a student, but 10 we should be part of that MTSS team in a school. 11 They should be looking to us if there is a student 12 13 who's having difficulty. They should be looking at the data that that student has in our course. 14 So we're trying to decide how we fit into that 15 model. 16

We have already developed some courses that we hope schools can find and look to us for interventions for students. We've just completed the development and a pilot of a North Carolina Math 1 Intervention course, and we just rolled that out to the state this year, and we do have several districts who are participating with us in that course. And that course is very much like OCS as far as the co-teaching model, but

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

instead of it being a special ed teacher and a general ed teacher, it is two general ed math teachers. So we're partnering -- our NC VPS traditional math teacher is partnering with the face-to-face traditional math teacher, and we have had great success in that.

So the schools are determining what students need to be involved in this course, and that's specifically students who are at risk for math failure. These are students across the board. So we have had success, and we're looking forward to developing more of those courses.

I'm on an NC VPS accessibility team. I send out a weekly email and IEP/504 tips for our teachers on how to support students, you know, just resources and information that I've learned and that I have to research myself on how online learning is different from face-to-face learning for students with special needs.

I attend our NC VPS department meetings across the board when they ask to discuss specific topics that they feel like their teachers need more support with. I meet with districts to discuss questions about online learning. They will call and ask for me to come in and do a

1 professional learning with their teachers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then I provide support to our traditional NC VPS teachers who have students in the OCS pathway enrolled in courses. We have a huge enrollment of OCS students in our CTE courses, and so EC -- excuse me -- our CTE teachers have had to kind of learn again, just like in a face-to-face setting, yes, we can do a modified blueprint in an online course, and these students can have this course spread out over a year and get a credit for the first semester and get a credit for the second semester. So we've had to do a lot of training in regards to our CTE teachers and making sure that they know the characteristics of students who are enrolled in the OCS pathway and that their reading levels may be lower and that they may need to provide modified -- a lot of modified support and content. Yes?

MS. HOLLER: I was just curious about the OCS path. When you say it lasts a year, like, are you referring to like the Foundations in Math 1 or are you saying like Foundations lasting both semesters?

MS. BELL: I was specifically talking

1 about the CTE courses as far as that being able to be spread out over a year, but the Foundations --2 the locally developed math elective and the 3 Math 1, those are both semester courses, and we 4 can't dictate what the school -- what they enroll 5 6 in or how they enroll. We do offer year-long courses based on the calendar versus a semester block course, but the Math 1 is the only one that 8 is not year-long because the first semester, we 9 advise them to enroll their students in that locally developed math effectively as prerequisite to taking Math 1. Many schools don't do that. They go

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

against us and they feel like that they've already had their students enrolled in something that's equivalent, like their students may have taken a Foundations class. So it really is what the school determines on their own, but we advise this is best practice for the student to be enrolled -and there's like a sequence. They would take Intro to Math first, LDME and Math 1 second, and then the financial management class.

MS. HOLLER: One of the things I run into is that a lot of times these kids -- they cannot get past that Math Foundations class. So

MS. BELL: Another part of my job, I was -- when I joined NC VPS, I was a Race to the Top STEM coordinator for -- we had a pilot there

24

25

or a grant with Race to the Top for EC, and I was the coordinator for that and then transitioned into the instructional director for OCS courses and then transitioned into my position now. But I am still with the OCS piece. I supervise our registration process with OCS because it's so huge, and I work with the partnership because our OCS face-to-face teachers, of course, are EC.

So I work with -- I have five instructional leaders who are called our co-teacher relationship instructional leaders, and they help provide the support to those partner teachers out in the school systems. So I supervise and work with them.

These are just -- and I won't go
through reading all of those because we've talked
about a lot of these already, but these are just
some of the ways that we encourage our teachers,
some of the key areas that we ask them to really
look at when they're supporting students with
special needs in our classroom.

We do have NC VPS IEP and 504 guidelines. We are in the process of revising those. Hopefully, we will be revising those before the fall of next year if we are able to

have access to ECATS, and you know, I'm not sure
that -- we may not have access to ECATS. We've
talked a lot with Sherri and Bill about that, but
because of our inability to talk to Power School,
that seems to be a little bit of an issue.

But right now our process is -- and it was this way before I came in -- in the registration system when a school enrolls a student -- the e-learning advisor is what we call them -- they go in, they enroll a student.

There's a box to check if the student has an IEP, if the student has a 504, if the student is enrolled in the OCS pathway. That notifies our teacher in the NC VPS side, when they get the roster for their students who are in their section, they can see who has an IEP.

That starts the conversation of -and we asked that the school does it, but they
don't always do it. So our teacher will have to
contact the school and have a conversation -right now it's with the e-learning advisor -about the student's accommodations and
modifications.

The link right there, just FYI, goes to a folder that I created that has all the

what's called an Exceptional Student's Report that I have our teachers complete to document those accommodations and modifications, and I have them document what they used and what they didn't use because I feel like -- I know that with testing, we have to do that, and if a school needs to know that from us, then they can come to us. So I already have our teachers in that practice.

But right now we contact the ELA and we discuss over the phone -- because we do not send any of this information over email -- what these accommodations and modifications include, and then we discuss what we can provide due to the nature of an online learning environment. So, of course, we can provide extended time, we can provide modified assignments, we can provide read aloud because of the Read Speaker.

With an accommodation like testing in a separate setting, testing in a separate room, those kind of things, multiple test sessions, that's on the side of the school because the student is usually taking that course in a lab. For OCS, you know, they're with the OCS teacher, so they can provide most of those. But we

document what we are going to be responsible for providing versus what the school is going to be responsible for providing.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My hope is that -- because I'm not happy with this scenario. The ELAs are wonderful, but I do not feel like they're the appropriate people to be talking to about this child's IEP. feel like it should be the EC case manager. have asked for next year in our registration system that the field be mandated to include the name of the EC case manager and their contact information so that if the IEP box is checked, then they can't complete that student's registration until we have the name of that EC manager, the phone number, and their email, so that if we do not have access to ECATS as view only, then our teacher has the name of that EC case manager because they should be talking to them anyway.

If a student is not being successful in our course, then they need to be in contact with this EC case manager. And we have been doing that somewhat in, you know, not a formal process.

Of course, our teachers are going to reach out.

They're going to reach out to me if a student is

struggling. We're going to look up the school and we're going to get to that EC person, but this way, you know, it's absolutely there for us.

If we do have access to ECATS, then it would be view only and we would have to be careful how our teachers have access because they can only have access to view the students that they serve. But, you know, I feel like our teachers need to see those IEPs. They need to see -- because all we're getting are accommodations and modifications. We're not getting the category, we're not getting the present level of performance or the strengths and the needs of the students. So we need to see the whole student and we need to be able to be sure that we're getting that information.

Some of the considerations that I have documented in our guidelines -- and the schools have access to these guidelines. These are on our website, and we share these with them. They need to review the IEP when they're sitting down and making these decisions about students. Is placement in an online course appropriate for the student? They need to discuss and determine appropriate modifications and accommodations that

are needed for the student to access the course and are there any accessibility needs.

Oftentimes we have been in the process of -- the course has been in process for three weeks or two weeks and we just find out that a student has a hearing impairment and we aren't -- we aren't able to go back and make those -- we're trying to make sure that we're meeting those accommodations and modifications, and so if we know ahead of time, then we can prepare.

Like for Governor Morehead, we didn't -- you know, our teacher didn't realize that the students were -- had the needs that they did. He knew that there were visual impairments, but he didn't realize the gamut of those, and they didn't tell us ahead of time. So now we're trying to get the word out there, you know, if you've got a classroom full of students that all have the same impairment, then please let us know ahead of time so that we can make sure that we are accessible.

This is a little more about OCS. And I'm not sure how we're doing on time, so I can go through this pretty quickly. But with OCS, we do

have -- it is a co-teacher relationship. We have our face-to-face student EC expert and then our NC VPS content expert. And these are a little bit about the responsibilities. You know, the EC expert is responsible for helping us to know best how their students learn, to inform us of the daily classroom happenings and student struggles, and they are facilitating that instruction in the classroom on their side.

Our content expert is responsible for providing an announcement in their course every

Our content expert is responsible for providing an announcement in their course every day before 7:00 a.m., and that announcement instructs, informs, and celebrates. So we want them to celebrate our students so that that student can realize that they have two teachers.

They can build a relationship. It's not just that teacher in the face-to-face setting, but even though they're not in the course the same time as our teacher, we want them to have that relationship and know that that teacher is there for them and is providing them support. Yes?

MS. VLASATY: So the F2F student expert, that's your live teacher in the school?

MS. BELL: That's the OCS face-to-face teacher.

MS. VLASATY: The OCS. So do you have it where they could also be a content expert, or are they generally---

MS. BELL: No. We do have -- and they may not -- they may not use us. Do you mean like do schools have someone who is certified -- duly certified in both special ed and in gen ed?

MS. VLASATY: Right, but still use NC VPS.

MS. BELL: We do, but usually, if they're content certified, then they're doing it in their face-to-face setting and not using us.

If they're content certified in math but not in English, then they may use us for English because they're not content certified, but in the face-to-face setting, they're good.

MS. VLASATY: Got you. Okay. So it could be a mixture.

MS. BELL: Yeah. But now we do have a lot of teachers who teach with us who are face-to-face OCS teachers during the day, and then they teach with us on the side part-time as a content expert, and so we use them a lot to come in and do professional learning with our NC VPS content teacher who doesn't have a special ed

A day in the life of an OCS classroom, the teacher stands up usually just like a gen ed teacher in front of the room, and it can

24

25

1 be different in different settings, but this is how we like to see it happen. They usually have a 2 Smart Board or a screen. All the students have 3 their own computer, and they all start the day off 4 and the lesson off with an announcement just like 6 in a gen ed classroom. You're going to start off the lesson for that day with, you know, getting 7 the students prepared for what they're going to 8 do, and that announcement is provided by our 9 teacher. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then the students will then be given their assignment, and they'll work independently. The students check their grades, feedback, and messages from our teachers. We do require our teachers to give feedback on all assignments within 24 hours, and that feedback can't be "good job." They will get a poor evaluation if it's "good job." That feedback has to be meaningful and effective. If a student made a 50, then that teacher will say, you know, "These are the things that I see that you have difficulty with, and here are some extra information or remediation." They'll create a video, you know, whatever to help their students learn more about the topics that they miss. And then they'll

review the vocabulary and begin the lesson as a whole group. So those are the things that happened every day in that OCS classroom. And these are some similarities and differences between what they do as a whole group and individual activities.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the face-to-face OCS teacher is expected to document in their daily log. That's a co-teacher documentation that a CTDL. log, and it's a wonderful document. It's in a Google Doc. They're not allowed to use student We do use initials. They're not allowed names. to put any kind of personal identifiable information in there because of FERPA, but this is the huge piece between our teacher and the face-to-face OCS teacher in making sure that students are successful because at the end of the day when that face-to-face OCS teacher goes into that log, she or he -- they're going to put in, you know, this is the topic that we covered today. You know, "J.L. was able to grasp this concept but is still having some difficulty with this concept. Can you provide some individualized learning for me for this student?"

And so our teacher will do that.

They will create something specific for that student. That OCS face-to-face teacher may also say these students did well on this activity, and maybe a student has a behavioral issue, and maybe she's going to praise that student for that day, and our teacher might be able to celebrate in some way without embarrassing the student or anything like that. But that communication is key to success of this co-teaching relationship.

And then our teacher will go in and reply back to her in that doc, and so that's an ongoing, everyday, Monday-through-Friday, daily communication. And then we require them to chat on the phone once a week every week, and that's to do their lesson plans so that they can plan together for what is going to be happening in that following week of that class.

Of course, that gen ed teacher -- we have to OCS as the teacher of record, but of course, our teacher is the teacher of record because of the gen ed perspective, but they're the teacher of record as far as they have to report the grades because we do not have access to Power School. So they are responsible for working with our teacher and Power School and reporting those

grades and making sure that parents are aware of the progress and all of that.

And then the content teacher. We've talked about a lot of those: Daily grading and feedback, provide individualized learning, write daily in the co-teacher documentation log, and talk weekly with the OCS teacher. They also provide additional resources as needed. In that OCS course in Canvas, there's a folder and it's called "Teacher Resources." So our teachers have put just a multitude of resources that the OCS teacher can choose.

our activity because she doesn't think it's appropriate, then she can go and choose another activity, or she may have something in her classroom that she can provide as a supplement. So we want that OCS teacher to understand that we're not trying to take over their classroom because they know their students better than us, but we want them truly to realize this is a co-teaching relationship.

Some of the celebrations as far as EC at NC VPS. We've had tremendous NC DPI EC Division support. We're very thankful for that

and everything that they have been able to do for us in developing courses. We have heard from schools that online learning has helped with decreasing some discipline issues for students. I have always been an advocate for technology for students with special needs because I feel like it is a window for some students, and not to say that it's for all students, but I have seen it truly make a difference with some students. It's just -- it's just their thing. It's what helps them -- they can connect there more so than they can sitting in a face-to-face environment.

Increased self-confidence, especially in OCS because they're using technology. Some of these kids can use technology better than their typically developing peers, and so they're proud of that, and they should be. We have seen increasing OCS enrollments. Of course, this year it's been a little different because of other competition.

Powerful individualization and differentiation. I have heard from a lot of our OCS teachers that they love that our teacher can help them work towards differentiating and individualizing the instruction and meeting the

needs of their students. They can work together on those resources and problem solve. And then we love our co-teaching model. Even though it's not synchronous, they're not together, it works because of the communication component.

We know that without solid courses and great teachers that our students aren't going to be successful. So we have to always be enforcing communication and collaboration, individualized learning, effective relationships, and dedicated teachers. Without those four things, we know that our students with disabilities aren't going to be successful in our courses. So we're always saying that over and over again and repeating that.

I do have an NC VPS EC Committee. We have an NC VPS Advisory Council, and this EC Committee is a subcommittee of that advisory council. You can read some of the purposes of our committee, but I'm pleased to have -- right now we have Beverly Colwell on there, who is the consultant for Occupational Course of Study; Leigh Mobley, who is a dispute consultant with DPI; Lynne Loeser, who is the specific learning disabilities consultant; and I have Wendy Kraft,

who is our instructional director for OCS; Anna
Cromer is one of our OCS teachers -- NC VPS OCS
teacher. And I know that I am forgetting someone.
Sherri Vernelson -- I can't forget Sherri -- who
is the consultant for deaf and hard of hearing and
visually impaired, sensory impairments.

And so what we're working on now is to add more stakeholder support from the districts. So I'm looking to -- I've asked for recommendations for parents, for regular ed teachers in the district, administrators in the districts, and so forth. Karen Hamilton is the other person that's -- she is on our NC VPS Advisory Council, but then she's also on this council, and she is the superintendent, I believe, for exceptional children services in Wake County.

Some of the updates that we're working on. I am working on -- Leigh especially has helped me in looking at -- you know, I'm always worried about compliance and making sure that we are in compliance with what is needed for students, even though, again, we do not own the student per se, but I want to make sure that we are complying and in alignment with guidelines for the EC Division.

I know that I need to educate schools regarding consideration for enrollment of students with disabilities in online learning. I have presented at our EC conferences. I presented yesterday at the CCES in Greensboro, but I need to get out there more. We've got to make sure that our schools really know what to consider when they're enrolling students in online learning.

Specific training needs for NC VPS teachers providing instruction to students with disabilities. We're always looking at, you know, what are we missing, what else can we train our teachers on. And then guidelines for parents of students with disabilities in online courses to collaborate in the education of their children.

I'm not sure what they're being told in the IEP meeting about online learning, so I want to be a support for our schools to be able to talk about online learning to parents when they're looking at that in development of the IEP.

MS. MULLIS: Can I ask a question?
MS. BELL: Yeah.

MS. MULLIS: One of my students actually came up in the Unmet Needs Committee the last two times. She's a student with autism and

she's virtual learning from home. She doesn't have a homebound teacher because she -- she

doesn't need the support. She just can't be in

the school, but she has a huge disability in math.

And so one of the things that her mother brought up as a concern from here was the fact that like she does the math alternative and the NC VPS doesn't go along with DPI's. There's not a lot of things to be able to do that. So what we're having to do is give her paper and pencil after school. We're having to be very creative because they don't align.

And I was wondering if that's going to be something that you guys are going to work on for the CTE Math exemption because there's very few CTE courses that account for this higher level. So is that something that you guys are looking at? Because she's just one student, but I think that it might come down the pike more with students that are -- you know, with learning disabilities from home on the math alternative.

MS. BELL: Sure. We haven't really talked about that yet, but that's something we certainly can consider and I can take it to our committee, but I would love to talk with you more

about that. Yes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. VLASATY: I'm going to try and articulate this as well as it is in my head, but most everything you've gone through -- like you communicate all of this out at the high school level, correct?

MS. BELL: Uh-huh.

MS. VLASATY: So I'm wondering if there's a way to get this information down to the lower grades, specifically like the OCS pathway information and then how NC VPS helps support students with disabilities in the OCS program. What we see -- and I'll generalize -- students are placed -- placement decisions in IEP meetings are made, I feel personally, at way too early of an age to be put on the extended standards rather than the state standards, and I think if IEP team members had a better understanding of the OCS pathway, there would be less -- more flexibility and more open-mindedness to explore keeping students on the state standards rather than putting them in the segregated settings on the extended content if they understood what OCS offered and how NC VPS could help in that.

MS. BELL: Well, and that may be a

question that I would defer more to Bill because
as far as learning about the different pathways, I
know that they're talking in transitioning and
transitioning plans and looking at the IEP
development, that they are to be considering those
when they're in middle school and looking at the
possibilities for students in high school.

You know, we're -- as far as the OCS program and the pathway, you know, that's been put into place for a really long time. So we just came in to help out with the highly qualified issue. So, you know, do you want to speak to that?

MS. VLASATY: I know I'm not articulating that as well as, again, in my head.

Because I've been in these meetings -- I've been in these meetings, and the teams are like oh, you know, you just can't do common core state standards, so -- but then, you know, I went to the EC Conference and I attended what you offer and I know other families that are in it.

And I'm like there's some schools that have a certain OCS profile of a student that doesn't fit what's being offered, and then with your part coming in with the, you know, virtual

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

part of it, I mean it works for a lot -- I feel a lot more students.

And, again, so I think as teachers -maybe not so much elementary, but for sure in the middle school level, like if teachers were more familiar with OCS and how the virtual part of it could come into play, again, there might be more open-mindedness to think that yeah, maybe this child could be successful in OCS and not necessarily have to go to the segregated extended content standards.

MR. HUSSEY: So I think the big piece there is that's an IEP team decision, and I would agree with you that there are children -- and there are ways in which you can do this in elements of it, so you're not in all the way, but you're in some of the way, if it works. Some of the work experience pieces also could be the OCS at the local level can be adjusted to accommodate children who may not be able to be in there fully and fully engaged.

I think there are different ways of looking at it, but it truly is -- and I know of examples of where parents were able to persuade people that it is the right choice for their child

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the benefit of being in part of that program.

And I will tell you I was probably one of the most pessimistic souls about this virtual public school thing coming on for OCS and was completely won over by going in and watching how the kids interacted in the program and what they were able to get from it.

It was -- when I heard it the first, I said, "That's the silliest thing I've ever Why are we even thinking about doing You know, and it really has made all the difference in the world for a good number of these kids, and it really makes it -- it is like regular school. It's a regular class. You know, I went into the biology class and they were doing Now whether or not they were going to mitosis. remember all of that at the end of the semester to take the exam is one thing, but the fact that they were doing it and they were doing it just like every other kid in that high school was doing it, and the impact on those individual children was amazing.

So I think -- again, I've become one of the bigger proponents of it now and truly believe it's something that needs to happen for a

lot of kids who are not necessarily considered in those initial profiling -- and, hopefully, people really aren't doing that, but I got what you were saying there and that does happen.

MS. VLASATY: Like you mentioned, it opens a doorway for again children that -- you know, at an earlier age aren't considered for it because I mean social skills too. I mean there are some kids that can do really well if they have independent learning and they can learn on a screen. I completely agree technology is a common platform for kids, so it also opens, you know, the door for children with that too.

So, again, just seeing, you know, more going forward that there's just opportunities, but again, even at a younger age, if the teachers don't know and they're coming from, okay, I'm in middle school and everything is -- you know, elementary and middle, it's face-to-face. It's always a teacher. This is how we do it. They might not understand that there's more flexibility the older children get and the more they progress in school.

MS. BELL: I think that's a good point. Thank you.

Some of the resources, just FYI, that we have on our NC VPS website, we do have a EC/504 page that's always a work in progress, but it does explain a little bit how we provide services to students who have special needs. I do have an NC VPS OCS Facebook page that -- usually that's for partner teachers. It's just -- any kind of resource, anything that I see I think would be good, I provided there. I have an EC Facebook page. I provide resources there.

21

22

23

24

25

1 Pinterest, I absolutely love, and I have -- anything that I find on accessibility and 2 accommodations and modification, anything EC-3 related, I pin it and I share it and people can 4 follow me. And I have a twitter page, and then we 5 6 have a Canvas EC Children Resource page that's a work in progress that I hope to put -- and you'll 7 see that in a few minutes -- a Livebinder there 8 because I'm working on a Livebinder. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What's coming? These are just some of the things that we know that Sherry needs to do. Creation of this NC VPS EC Livebinder. I want to offer more question-and-answer and PL sessions for all stakeholders so that they can become more familiar about meeting the needs of students with disabilities in an online learning environment. Recruit more members to the EC Committee.

This is something that Dr. Colbert, my boss, my executive director, and I have been working on, is creating -- with my committee, I want to create a mission/vision and three to five smart goals for our EC program just because, you know, I have been in reactive mode because that's what -- you know, this is a job that's never been

there before, so we want people to realize that yes, we do have -- I don't know if we would call it an EC program. It's hard to really know what to call us because we do not have EC teachers so we're not providing specialized instruction, but we do -- you know, we're providing support to our students in order to enable them to be successful in our classes.

We're going to look at writing a practice profile for the NC VPS EC program. I would like to create a EC virtual program self-assessment rubric based on the LEA self-assessment. You know, I told Dr. Colbert I think we would be first in the whole population of virtual schools to ever do that, but you know, we've got a lot of virtual schools out there providing services to students with disabilities.

So where's the accountability? And I think we could create some kind of rubric that would capture that accountability in some capacity, then we could share that with the Virtual Leadership Alliance that she is part of and maybe pave the way. Because we've been seeing a lot of reports out there that, you know, no one knows a lot about serving students with

disabilities in an online learning environment.

There's not a lot of research. It's just not there. And so the question is are we meeting their needs, and so I think we have an obligation to make sure that we're doing that.

I am working on creating a parent/student handbook, revising our guidelines, present a collaborative webinar. Beverly and I have talked about on what we can do for face-to-face and online CTE teachers on how we can educate them on serving students in the OCS pathway and CTE courses.

Possible development. We have talked about OCS occupational credit courses awhile back, and we put that on the back burner due to funding and because the OCS Civics was the priority, but if we developed pre-courses, then we could just share those. We do not have to have co-teachers for those. We could give those to the schools and the teachers could use those with their students. But that's just out there, you know, as an idea.

We are looking at developing NC Math 1 -- NC Math 2 and NC Math 3 intervention courses.

We're looking at English and biology because those tend to be courses that students drop out from.

So we would like to look at developing intervention courses for those.

We know one of the biggest areas as far as EC -- and I think Dr. Colbert would tell you across NC VPS -- is that we have limited access to data because we do not have access to Power School, so I can't give you a lot of information about how our students are doing. I mean we know how they're doing as far as passing our courses. We do have OCS, and if you go to the NC VPS website and look under the Annual Report, there is a link there and it shows how OCS students did in EOC testing.

And, you know, we did have -- we did have some students make fours. We had a few make threes. The majority are ones and twos, but again, I think it goes back to are they learning, and I think they are. The test may not show that because the test is, you know, the same test as their nondisabled peers are taking, but I do think that they're learning and they're making growth. So we've got to really get a hold of our data and really look at that more.

We would like to develop some Child Find procedures. We're not in the business of

determining eligibility and taking referrals and all of that, but we are responsible if we see a student that is struggling, that we really think might have slipped through the cracks somehow. So what's our process for doing that and making sure that we are getting that information back to their home school. And then developing and strengthening our UDL practices for our course development and then continuing to improve the accessibility of our courses.

So that's a lot, and I know that I have awhile to meet all those goals, but those are some things that I'm passionate about, and I just want to make sure that we are meeting the needs of our students. Bottom line. And then there's my email. I didn't put my number on there. I don't know why I didn't, but it's 828/537-0059. You're welcome to call me anytime.

And I appreciate your attention. I'm sorry that I took awhile. I hope I didn't go over my time, but please don't hesitate to call or me contact me if you have any questions.

MS. VLASATY: I'm sorry. You are going to make this available?

MS. BELL: Yes. I shared that with

MS. HUTCHINSON: So if we're able to provide that information in August to the VPS

24

25

teacher, you know, it's unlikely that that will stay the same from August to May or August to December and then December to May. So how does that communication happen? Because I haven't seen that necessarily be incredibly successful.

MS. BELL: In our guidelines, I have stated that our teachers can be eligible to be invited to a meeting if they would like us to come. Of course, we can't mandate that our teachers go face-to-face, but they could participate virtually in an Adobe Meet, Go-To Meeting, or over the phone. If our teacher can't be present, then I could go in their place to represent just NC VPS and as an information providing person to that IEP.

But we have stated that if you can work it out with our schedule and you would like for us to come, that we are very much willing to come and participate in that IEP meeting. But we haven't had anyone really ask. One time, I think, we had. And I think it just goes back to, you know, we are supplement. Again, they forget that -- because we're not in the building -- and I just think that's part of it, is that we're not in the building, we're not -- I'm going to run down

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

Supporting Teaching and Related Services, and you

know, one of the things I -- the last few weeks

24

25

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and few months, I've been having those hot flashes, and today it's failing me. Where are you? I'm cold in here.

But on a more serious note, thank you for allowing me to come and talk to you today about the one percent alternate assessment and our participation with the alternate -- on our one percent students or alternative achievement So I'm going to do this in standards. collaboration with my colleague Nancy Carolan in Accountability and Testing, and she'll be up in just a few minutes after I talk to you a little bit about the history and how we've gotten to this point.

I know you guys have seen the memo that we sent to you specifically so that you can be aware of what we have sent to the federal government and our Education Secretary. But as we look at the history of the one percent, the alternate assessments were first developed in response to the 1997 reauthorization act of IDEA which required all states and districts to develop, by the year 2000, alternate assessments for those students with disabilities unable to participate in a regular assessment even with

accommodations.

TDEA at that time did not define who the students were and who could participate on that alternate assessment nor did it ever use the term significant cognitive disabilities. Then in 2003, the one percent cap was established on the percentage of students who could be counted on the participation or on proficiency -- I'm sorry -- of the proficiency of the alternate achievement -- alternate academic achievement standards, and that was around the proficiency.

So as we continue moving forward, in 2005, there was nonregulatory guidance that provided us additional information. I did not print this out. I have had this little lovely copy for a while, and we've got a little love going on with this, a little love-fest. But that information is available online, and all you have to do is like Google or we can send you the link for the 2005 Nonregulatory Guidance which gives some information.

This guidance document did indicate it is the states' responsibility to define which students have the most significant cognitive disabilities. It is also the states'

responsibility to establish clear and appropriate guidelines for IEP teams to use when deciding if an alternate assessment based on the alternate achievement standards is justified for an individual child. So here we're starting to see a little more guidance in providing us information on what we need to begin doing.

Every Student Succeeds Act, or the ESSA, enacted -- which was done in January 2016, included the following requirement: to be explicit about participation criteria and to provide a definition of students with significant cognitive disabilities. So, again, that term has been changed from the proficiency and no guidance of significant cognitive disability to now they have termed it and provided a label and some information.

So that guidance indicated if a state adopts an alternate academic achievement standard for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities and administers an alternate assessment aligned with those standards, the state must establish clear and consistent with the IDEA -- and it cites the language -- the term --

the 612(a). It must monitor and implement clear and appropriate guidelines for IEP teams to apply in determining, on a case-by-case basis, which students with the most significant cognitive disabilities will be assessed based on those alternate academic achievement standards -- and these guidelines include a state definition of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities -- that addresses factors related to cognitive functioning and adaptive behaviors.

The definition of a student as having a particular disability as defined in IDEA or as an English learner does not determine whether a student is a student with the most significant cognitive disabilities. (2) A student with the most significant cognitive disabilities is not identified solely on the basis the student's previous low academic achievement or the student's previous need for accommodations to participate in the general, state, or district assessments.

And (3), and my final point to this history is, a student is identified -- a student is identified as having the most significant cognitive disabilities because the student requires extensive direct individualized

instruction and substantial support to achieve

measurable gains on the challenging state academic

content standards for the grade in which the

student is enrolled. That brings us up to date.

Within our policies governing services for children with disabilities, we typically, although it is not the only eligibility criteria, look at those students who are ID moderate and severe. And it clearly indicates, within our policy, for moderate three standard deviations below the mean plus or minus one standard error of measure, for severe four or more standard deviations below the mean plus or minus one standard error of measure, and for adaptive behavior deficits reported by the same source at or below two standard deviations below the mean in one domain or one and a half standard deviations below the mean in two or more domains.

Although there is no one disability category area that determines those students with the most significant cognitive disabilities, NCEO is now starting to provide -- NCEO meaning the National Center on Educational Outcomes -- is now starting to look at some of those eligibility categories. We as a state have not made any

1 2 3 4 that? 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mandates on these are the only category areas, but those categories typically look like autism, it looks like deaf/blindness, it could be multiple disabilities, ID moderate or severe. What was

> MS. MOOREFIELD: Trauma.

MS. McCOY: And traumatic brain injury, exactly. So those are our typical ones. Now when you seeing students with specific learning disabilities, you start doing -- your eye starts twitching a little bit and you kind of say, okay, you know, not to discount, but do we truly have the students that are the one percent.

I'm going to take you back for one second to math class or statistics, which was never my favorite thing. Do you-all remember that bell curve? I shiver at that thought sometimes. But on that bell curve, you had the middle. students that we are talking about are those that were on the tail end, that truly one percent. so knowing that information, knowing it is those students that have the most significant needs, we really have to begin to create some language and provide some guidance to our LEAs.

The federal government is now pushing

down on the state in order provide that guidance 1 and identify what does that look like. And so I'm 2 going to ask you to turn to your page with the 3 NCEO strategies that I provided you. On page 7 in 4 that appendix -- now this is yours to keep because 5 6 it really just details the steps that we took and the strategies that we began to put into place on 7 how we are providing support and the strategies we 8 reported back to the Education Secretary of what 9 we would begin to do.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The waiver requirement is what our state has done. That was the memo. That's what prompted the memo that you guys received in order to make this determination and to provide some support and identify -- begin to discuss those students. So it indicates that we must submit that waiver 90 days prior to the state's testing window. So because of that, we had to move a little quick because we were approaching our 90 days.

Providing state-level data. noted in your memo, that was identified, and Nancy can talk about that a little bit. Also talked about really the states providing oversight and for where we could anticipate going over that one

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

percent. In our state, we are 1.1 percent, and when you sit around the table with other states, we're not in that bad of shape, but we are still over the one percent. I sat at the table just a few weeks ago at the ASSE, the Assessing Students in Special Education, where states were three percent and four percent. I was like, whoa, we really would have to do a lot of work.

But we have began to put in place some strategies already. One, in August of 2016, we updated and provided information and training to EC directors on the Testing Students with Disabilities manual in collaboration again with our accountability partners because we worked hand With that quidance, it was indicated for in hand. a student to participate on the NCEXTEND1 or the alternate achievement standards, you had to have been instructed on the extended content standards. That student had to be identified at least 120 days before that test began. There was a little pushback. And, oh, my goodness, you really do know, you know, when some of these kids -- when they're coming in, you have a good idea of, oh, that student may, what testing or what assessments do I need, what information do I need to gather

for identifying and placing this child on the alternate assessment.

It is our hope that we are not just placing any student who can, with supports, with some type of adaptation, be on regular standards, but there are some who do need that adaptation, whether it's, you know, accommodations or communication access, what is it that this student needs in order to be able to make achievable outcomes.

So with that guidance, with the support from accountability, with the testing documents, we have already began some of this work. You also note in the memo for the 2016 -- and I'm going to ask Nancy to come up here with me at this point -- there was a question in regards to our data collection on our students who participated in the 2016-17 data for the assessment.

So I'll turn it over to Nancy, and then we can have some further discussion and questions if need be.

MS. CAROLAN: I'm Nancy Carolan, and I'm in Testing Policy and Operations. I'm the Section Chief in Testing Policy and Operations and

Accountability Services. So we do the testing and we look at, you know, the test results as far as EXTEND1 and all of our other end-of-grade and end-of-course tests.

I believe one of your questions was where did we find the data that went into the waiver. And we looked at last year's, 2016-17, participation data for the EXTEND1, and when we looked at that data, we saw that well, we did go over. As Dreama said, we went over by 1.1 percent, but it wasn't in all the subject areas. It was in mathematics grades three through eight at 1.1. Mathematics at grade ten was fine. It was a .9 so we didn't go over. Reading at grades three through eight, it was 1.1. Reading at grade ten was .9. And then for science at grades five and eight and eleven, it was 1.1. So we didn't go over by much, but we did go over.

Because we went over, we are anticipating that we will go over again this year, and so we submitted the waiver so that we could get that in place for us. We are planning to send out a letter -- and we're hoping to get that out either later this week or next week -- to superintendents to let them know that we have put

the data up on a secure shell where they can go in and look and see are they apt to go over.

And we didn't send it to just the ones that we anticipate will go over; we're sending the letter to all the superintendents in case they happen to have one that is going to go over or very close. So they can check their data, and then they'll submit a justification form which will explain why they went over in a particular grade or grades, and they will send that in. And then we'll also post that. We have to, according to ESSA, make that public knowledge, so we'll put that up on the website.

I'm not sure if that's covered everything as far as the data or if you have questions about the data.

MS. McCOY: So, finally, you know, one of the things that I think is important to note is that as we continue to collaborate with them, it is up to the IEP teams in making these determinations, but it's also placed upon the State of we have to monitor that. The federal government did not say that the LEAs will get their, for lack of a better word, hand slapped. We, as the state, are the ones who are required to

review that information, and we don't ever want anything to affect the funding that we have, but you never know.

When I was at the ASSE meeting and we had Sheryl Lazarus and Martha Thurlow, I believe, on the phone and there was one other person, and they were also the authors of that NCEO Brief and they have been sending out communication. One of the other states stood up and said, "You know, it's kind of like the analogy of if I'm driving down the road and I get pulled over by the police officer and he says, 'Well, you're going to get the ticket, but you really weren't the one speeding. It was of the other person who was in front of you that was speeding.' Well, what does that mean for us?"

And they said, "Well, yeah, we'll have to continue talking about that." Well, for me that means we have to continue at least looking at this. We have to monitor it with our LEAs, and we have to continue work closely with our partners here in the agency.

At one point, one of the other section chiefs shared some data with me where it looked like there were some students who were

actually SLD and some other students had taken the NCEXTEND1, and I was like wait a minute. Let's look at this. So I called the directors, and they said, "Oh, no. Let me go back and dig," because in some cases the EC directors aren't aware of when these switches are made and it can't be for convenience. Sometimes it's done at that level where the school is, and so then they are calling their schools and following up. And so it ended up being a Power School glitch. I was like phew, I can breathe a little bit easier right now.

So we are really going in and we're digging in and looking at the data, what does this tell us, who are our students, and are we truly locating and identifying and making eligible the correct students. And I did hear an earlier conversation with my colleague Sherry Bell around NC VPS and those students who possibly could take NC VPS courses or participate on the OCS pathway. It is our hope that all students have the right and the ability to participate on -- and achieve a diploma, but we do know again there are some students who will be on that certificate pathway. I hope that we are making and identifying those students early so that we can put in the right

MS. McCOY: Yes.

23

24

25

MS. DANIELS-HALL: ---that was

provided to the Council?

MS. McCOY: I believe that was sent
to the Council, and it's been some time ago. It's
been quite awhile because we wanted to make
sure -- before we send anything out, we always try
to make sure you guys have seen that information

and you're informed. Yes, Christy?

MS. HUTCHINSON: It's kind of a little bit like disproportionality, like diving into that data a little more, and it's really helpful to see that we are at nine-tenths for the high school courses. So my question is, three through eight is a really big number with multiple developmental levels in middle school and elementary school.

I was wondering if there was a trend or if we dove into that a little bit more -- it's kind of not surprising that math matched science and reading, but are we diving into it to figure out if it's third grade or is it that eighth-grade population where that's---

I know I'm asking a detailed question, but it could give us help who to train. You know, if it's all at the third-, fourth-, and fifth-grade level, then we know where we need to start.

putting up there also shows the race,

socioeconomic status, and so forth, and that way

when the districts go in, they can look and say

oh, well, at this school, we've got these

percentages. So it's up there that they can kind

of make their justification.

MS. HUTCHINSON: That would just help with training in identifying---

MS. McCOY: I agree. The other thing---

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HUTCHINSON: ---the area. You've got to problem solve where is it coming from.

MS. McCOY: Right. Because that is one of the things that's also located in that document. It talks about disproportionality, and so we do have to make sure that we are not disproportionate in a particular subject area or grade.

MS. HUTCHINSON: We're going to be disproportionate in exceptionality. There's no way around that.

MS. McCOY: Well, again, my -- they are good with stats. They'll provide -- okay, help me understand and digest this. So we are

kind of closely looking at that, but as that comes up, then we will definitely address that and again provide some guidance to that particular LEA and those directors of okay, this is what's happening. Yes, Vicki?

MS. SIMMONS: Would you remind me, the one percent, where did that number come from? Why not two percent?

MS. McCoY: All right. So they actually -- there was some discussion about that at that ASSE meeting as well. Again, if you think about that bell curve and the one percent, the federal government never really gave us the -- where they pulled that number out of the sky, and so that's why I wanted to go back and look at the history and talk about when that one percent title started coming in.

And so I can't tell you where they pulled it from, but that is what they began talking about in 1997. At the two percent, you know, we did have that at one point, and they said huh-uh, no. We've got to move away from that. So it's really now just the one percent, and I can't tell you where they pulled that number from, but that is now the number, you know, they're using as

information that I have understood is they said no

share that. But what I will say is the

24

25

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

justification, you know, and so we understand

mean there will be -- there will be a

24

25

that. But it is our -- as the state, we're the
ones who can't go over it, and if the LEAs truly
are -- if some of them truly aren't identifying
and making eligible the most appropriate students,
then that's where we have to provide some
guidance. Yes?

MS. VLASATY: I have two questions.

Are there any groups of EC students that are

exempt from testing?

MS. McCOY: I would love to answer a testing question, but that's---

MS. CAROLAN: There are no exceptions to testing. Everybody is expected to test. The only exception would be a medical exception, and it has to be approved through Accountability Services.

MS. McCOY: And we do also help support them with that review of the waivers.

MS. VLASATY: And then my other question was, it's always been my understanding if you're on the extended content, you automatically take the alternate assessments. So I mean, is that -- is your data kind of as simple as that?

Like all you need to do is run a tally on who's on the extended content, and they get the alternate

assessment.

MS. McCOY: They have to be on the extended content standards for all the assessed subject areas which would be your reading, your math, and science. And then they are -- they do take the EXTEND1, but of course, they have to be eligible. They have to meet that eligibility criteria for significant cognitive disability.

MS. VLASATY: Like from what I've seen, it's just a given. If you're on that, I mean there's no thought to it. That's just your testing box you check.

MS. McCOY: It would be unfair to instruct a student on the extended content standards and then expect them to pass a regular EOG test. That would be unfair and it's not -- yeah, that would be against testing policy as well.

MS. HOLLER: How can you really control that, though, because if you think about all the self-contained classes around and people with -- I mean, how can you expect that? I mean that someone could take the EOG -- do you know what I'm saying? How can you state it at one percent when you have a pretty large population of

placing this child on the extended standards because the implications are, again, that they would not typically be allowed to receive a diploma, but it does not remove them from that ability of receiving a diploma. It would be a lot of work because the rigor is very different. I

22

23

24

25

saw a hand over here. Vicki?

 $\label{eq:MS.SIMMONS:} \mbox{Would you remind us}$  what happened to EXTEND2?

MS. McCOY: It went away.

MS. HUTCHINSON: We had a big jump in the one percent when we eliminated the EXTEND2 a few years ago. I can -- I can imagine it was just a gigantic jump.

MS. McCOY: It was. So some of the kids who were just blowing the test out of the water with those fours, some of those were our old two percent children. And so, again, we -- it's an IEP team decision, so I cannot say that enough, but are you doing a disservice to that child by not allowing them to participate on the regular standard as well as participating on the regular EOGs.

And so for those kids who were two percent, they should not be in this category for significant cognitive disabilities, and so, again, that's where that guidance with the IEP team should come. Our numbers did inflate at one time. They are dropping back down, and again, remember, with the testing — testing manual that was created in 2016, that student had to be instructed

for 120 days, and if your students are doing -- if they're on the border of really that mild to moderate, I think we owe it to our children to allow them to reach higher standards if they can.

Right here, yes, ma'am? Virginia?

MS. MOOREFIELD: Yes. And I cannot believe I missed the first part of your thing because this is what I wanted to learn about. My son has traumatic brain injury, pretty severe traumatic brain injury. He is on -- he's in the fifth grade. He's always been ID severe, but it's not because of his cognitive function; it's because of his physical.

And it was a discussion that the preschool and the kindergarten entry to elementary schoolteacher had to decide how to classify him because he kind of fell in both camps, and they decided to go with ID severe because they were afraid that if they put him in an ID mod class, he could do that academic work, but those kids are mobile and it was more of a safety issue to put him ID severe, which I completely agreed with.

And so for him to take extend assessments at the end of the year is more of a physical thing than it is as far as the cognitive.

He scored a three and a four in English and math. So like for kids like that, it's still inappropriate to ask him to sit for a regular assessment just because of the physical effort that it takes for him to do that.

He's in a wheelchair. He is nonverbal. For him to answer questions, like he does not have a lot of use of his arms and his hands. He doesn't have those fine skills. So it's completely inappropriate to ask him to take the regular assessments, and there are kids like him who might put over -- you know, put an LEA over that one percent.

So when I read through everything that you sent us, I felt like, like I'm hearing some other people, that that whole one percent is completely arbitrary and it's like trying to cram every kid in the same size shoe. So let's say that his LEA -- there are eight kids in his class. He's the only one with an acquired injury, an acquired disability. The other kids in his class probably cognitively, that's exactly where they need to be is the extend. There are also kids who are pretty strong on the autism spectrum, that it's not appropriate to ask them to take the

1 typical assessments so the extend is much better.

So what happens if his LEA is over that one percent? I know you said that, you know, it's up to the state to kind of look at each LEA and to see what's going on, but what happens if they're legitimately over that one percent. And I'm sorry if you've already addressed that and I

MS. McCOY: No, no, no. That's fine. That's fine. So the federal government did not -they don't put sanctions on the districts or the

LEAs. They put -- if there are any sanctions or
anything that will be done, it will come down to
the state level. And so, again, Nancy mentioned
earlier of if they're over that one percent, then
they would have to supply or send us a
justification of why they're over.

So does that answer your question to a degree?

MS. MOOREFIELD: I think so. So they send -- his LEA sends you the justification and says we have this amount of students, you know, in ratio to this amount of students. So the State says, "Oh, okay," or like what---

MS. McCOY: I don't think we've come

was late.

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

that. So that's on--- I'm sorry. I'm going to

let you go ahead and finish.

24

25

MS. MOOREFIELD: I just kind of had another little part to that.

MS. McCOY: Go ahead.

MS. MOOREFIELD: So like you were mentioning where we had some kids who were on that those extend and taking that extend assessment and just completely blew it out of the water. So if a child -- you know, maybe at some point in their educational career, they really needed that or they've sort of outgrown it or they've -- you know, they've passed that part. So can a child -- like if they've made threes and fours on the extend assessment, can they in the next school year go back to a regular curriculum? Can they -- can they transfer in and out?

MS. McCOY: So there's no in and out or revolving door, but let me also say this before I answer that question. There should not -- we should not hold our students to an assessment. We should be holding them to high academic standards.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Right.

MS. McCOY: And if that student is able to participate on a high academic standard, then, that is what we need to be providing. The assessment is really a one time -- a snapshot of

what that chi

what that child is performing on that particular day. Am I going too far? Because I have to always double-check with my colleague right here, my partner.

So it's really about the achievement level for that student, and again, it goes back to an IEP team decision. If that child is making fours and they're really excelling well, again, I can't assert myself into that process, but I would have wondered can this child really have done this work if provided some accommodations and some adaptations. So I can't speak to the support of your son, but there's -- for those kids who are truly one percent, it's not that oh, well, maybe. The rigor is very different in what they're being provided as opposed to what is on the regular standards. We extend from those content, but it is very different.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Right. And you-all might can help. A child can be exited out of an IEP, right?

MS. McCOY: Uh-huh. That's correct.

MS. MOOREFIELD: So just throwing out a generic example, if a child were involved in some sort of an accident that left them with,

let's say, a brain injury because that's what I know. So that brain injury may affect their cognitive ability for three or four years, but they may -- you know, through healing, through rehab, through all of that, they may not need those accommodations after a certain amount of time.

MS. McCOY: That level of support.

MS. MOOREFIELD: That level of

support, exactly.

MS. McCOY: The intense level.

MS. MOOREFIELD: So like they could exit out of an IEP, but -- and I have no working knowledge of how EC works other than the parental side, but if that child -- in the immediate following of that accident, if they required an extend for those two, three, four years, or whatever, and it is seen over a long period of time that they no longer need that support, can that child be exited from the IEP and return to---

MS. MULLIS: If that happened -- if that was something that was a short-term or even a four-year point, we wouldn't go automatic to an extensions program or to an extend. So what you would do is, you would modify, you would

MS. MOOREFIELD: --- and sometimes it

would take years and years and years.

MS. McCOY: Yes. And so with that waiver that she's talking about, again, that goes to Accountability and Testing, and so there's a medical exemptions team that Nancy talked about in which EC is a part of. We collaborate with them on the review of that. And that's a short-term disability like they're indicating. It would be the long-term impact of that traumatic brain injury as where that child may end up being placed on the extended content standard if the IEP team made that decision.

MS. MOOREFIELD: But I mean -- I'm not going to beat a dead horse because it's a what-if situation.

MS. McCOY: Right.

MS. MOOREFIELD: But I mean, just specifically with brain injury, you know, when you've done all of that initial -- there are many, many experts who will tell parents and who will tell kids and schools, "You will never be able to do this. You can't do this. They can't expect you to do this," when in fact you don't know over -- I mean it takes years. It's not going to

1 happen very quickly.

So I mean it is possible that a kid could acquire a disability that is labeled as long-term when it's not really. Do you -- like am I expressing that---

MS. McCOY: That acquiring disability would have to be some type of significant impact that would adversely affect their cognitive ability.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Right. And that's what I'm saying. I mean just in the case of brain injury where it looks, for all intents and purposes, like it's going to be a lifelong disability, but then it turns out, a couple of years down the road, it's not. And I just wonder at the possibility of having children exit out of and -- you know, like you said, we don't want to keep them at this level if they don't need to be. We want to challenge them so they can excel.

So is there the possibility of -once this has been set for the student, because
that's the best decision at the time, is it
possible---

MS. McCOY: So I'll say it like this: I'm always hopeful and anything is possible.

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

the brief in the NCEO also tells us is it a

24

25

MS. McCOY: So one of the things that

student-by-student or case-by-case basis. The IEP team reviews or comes together annually to make those decisions on that particular student. So during that annual IEP process, that decision, if that's made, what accommodations, what do we need to do in order for this child to achieve that level of success.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Okay. Thank you for that.

MS. McCOY: You're welcome. Any other questions for me?

## (No audible response.)

MS. McCOY: Well, thank you for the time today. As Nancy indicated, there will be another memo going out to the superintendents and the EC directors indicating for them to review their information. If there are questions at the local level that they have in regards to testing, you can always go online under the Accountability page and see the testing manual or they have their regional accountability coordinators or their testing coordinator people who also can answer the information and who also are involved with training.

NCEO, you can Google that and

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continue to find out additional information, and there's more information to come on this one percent or now the significant cognitive disability population. Thank you for your support and I'll see you soon.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  OUZTS: There's nobody signed up. There's no one signed in.

THE CHAIRPERSON: There's nobody signed in for public comments, so let's break into our committees until 2 o'clock.

## (Committee work was conducted from 1:03 p.m. and 2:01 p.m.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our committee time is up. I think we actually got some work done today. Do we have anybody from each committee who would like to report back what was discussed during the committee.

MS. HUTCHINSON: So we are Reports and Data, we talked a little bit about the one percent cap for significant cognitive disabilities, and we went back to disproportionality, our presentation from Nancy Johnson, and one of the changes that's kind of significant was that the federal government postponed the implications of those

disproportionality for one year, and North

Carolina hasn't yet decided if they're going to

enact our changes this year or the following year.

We discussed the one percent cap on cognitive -- significant cognitive disabilities, and we desire the breakout for the grades three through eight, math, reading, and science to identify trends going up or down in EOGs in that cap.

And then a concern that we got a little bit focused on was that graduation rate is such a focus for us that some people feel that it forces kids out of the system and that there's maybe not ample community services in the area to support their needs once they graduate from high school.

MS. HUDGENS: Christy, I'm sorry.

Did you guys talk about the fact that we still have significant disproportionality requirements that we're following now. It's just---

MS. HUTCHINSON: Yes, just that the changes were pushed back one year and we didn't know if we were going to---

MS. HUDGENS: Yeah, where we're going

to land with a risk ratio. Great. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Jennine, since you took the notes for Unmet Needs, would you mind reading those back?

MS. VLASATY: No, not at all. So we didn't have any or we hadn't received any email comments and then nobody showed up today, so we really don't enough data for any kind of trend analysis at this time.

We talked about different ways to get information about unmet needs from the different counties. So Diane -- she's attending the telecom. So we're going to try and obtain a list of counties with EC councils. Diane's going to follow up with DPI to see if we can get some information, ECAC, and then possibly NC PTA, again, just to kind of help get the word out that we do have the public comment out there to really be able to solicit input from families out there and what they're experiencing.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Sounds good. I'm just going take a moment to go over -- one thing I know we have coming up is, our great Vice Chair has one more meeting with us. So if you're interested in being Vice Chair, be thinking

about that, praying about that if that's your thing, because we need good leadership. But that's committee reports. I guess we're going to go on to agency updates.

MR. HUSSEY: Let's start with ECATS.

We are at a very big point in the implementation in that on Friday of this week, the internal staff gets trained on the new system and to help support and work with the pilot sites, which since we met with you guys -- we had intended to start our pilots in February. This sheer size and weight of trying to push this through what is quality assurance here in the Department, we had to postpone for a month. So we were going to start on February 26, and now we have moved it to the end of March, and we will be starting the end of March, with our first -- as I said, with our staff training on this Friday.

MTSS. The MTSS component, we'll start training our staff on Monday, so we're Friday and Monday on the training pieces. They start their pilot on the 11th of April, and they are -- they have 20 pilot sites on the MTSS side. There are 16 pilot sites on the EC service documentation side with four interconnecting

pilots where we will be running that data from the MTSS side to the EC side, just making sure that the functionality works.

Last week in the directors

conference, on Wednesday, we spent the entire day

on ECATS. We had service documentation and EC in

the morning as well as MTSS, and we basically

showed them -- not really had them interact

because it's not up and able to interact yet -
various aspects of it, and we got very good

feedback and excitement.

We're still -- you know, you'll hear from the community and from the LEAs that we are still not there on the training plan. That's something that we're working on, and part of that is, is that, again, we've got to have things in production here before we can start doing the training. We'll be ready to go live in July. We're on target for that, but we're going to be right down to the wire getting everything into production and out of production prior to that point.

So we had our Directors Advisory

Committee meeting on Thursday after the Wednesday

meeting, and we spent the first, I would say, two

hours talking through different scenarios and the different ways in which we will be able to try to find alternate means to get LEAs advance information, without having the actual live implementation aspects there, to begin to train so that they've got folks trained to begin to carry that training forward. Obviously, some of the concerns were, if we do it in the summer, if we do it after July, the larger districts such as Wake and Charlotte and Greensboro and those guys that have so many teachers, that was going to be really hard to get those folks trained.

So we're working to create those alternative options. We're also going to be working with data managers because there will be a grace period when we start the IEP process in July where we will not be requiring them to necessarily -- if they've not been appropriately trained -- to upload new documents into the system. We will actually get specific pieces that are very important to the federal reports done so we've got that data stored that we can collect and utilize, but we'll give them up to the end of September -- that grace period -- to make sure that everybody's trained, everybody's up,

everybody's going well.

We're still looking at what the options are, but we will probably use older forms, but looking at options right now, you know, for that period. So there may be a time when the paper you get looks just like the paper you got last year, and that's going to be until we live through that grace period making sure that everybody's up and appropriately trained and ready to go.

You know, it's one of those things
you wish you could just snap your fingers and
everything set up right. I was sitting at a table
with a bunch of folks and they were saying
something to me, and I looked at them with a
sarcastic response and said, "Well, just trust me.
It's going to be okay."

You know, it's just huge and we will keep working at it and working at it. We're really proud of the product we're going to put out on the table. It's going to simplify a lot of things in the business rules, and Carol Ann has been a huge part in developing this. The business rules -- you start at one place and it pre-fills everything else related to that all the way

through the process.

You know, one of the things we were talking about was the worksheets. When the data comes in, as far as testing, they fill the appropriate worksheets out for you, so all the assessment data that you put in, in the referral process just works its way through. There are just huge benefits to what we're going to be able to provide, and even the folks who are in Easy IEP now, this is an enhancement above and beyond what they have.

So we've gone back. There were some concerns from our department that the navigational tools weren't as good as they needed to be, so we've gotten PCG to come back and add those adjustments and enhancements, and that just came out last Thursday in a national upgrade for them, but it came directly to us as well. So that's there. So there's just lots and lots of things happening.

I spend literally the majority of my time doing ECATS. I have to delegate folks to take care of other business because it really has been pretty much all consuming. But, like I said, we're excited. And before I go into anything

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

else, I'll be glad to answer any questions. just tried to give you a snapshot of where we were, but I'd be glad to answer any questions.

MS. DANIELS-HALL: Parent training and information?

MR. HUSSEY: As soon as we're up and running and you've got something to look at, we will be working with ECAC and other groups to get that training out, but we really -- right now what we would be doing with the agencies, with the LEAs is giving them screenshots and things like that. So it's not going to be interactive at the point that we give -- even as they start to prepare, they're going to have PDF forms, snapshots, training environments that are static, meaning that it's not real data. We're going to load data in so people can practice, but it's not -- it's not going to be workable data. So we want to get it out, have something that you can get into and interact with, and then we'll have that training from there.

MS. DANIELS-HALL: And so will all the statewide forms go away that are on DPI's website as drafts now for parents to take a look at?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HUSSEY: I'll let the lady in charge of forms talk about that.

MS. HUDGENS: So we'll leave the existing state forms up for some time so that through the transition period, those resources are still there. And then as we're adopting in the new ones with ECATS, you'll probably see the two sets for early adapters who are ready to go ahead into the new forms and those that are using that grace period to make sure that their training is at capacity and they're using the existing ones.

But while I have the mike, one thing that I just started thinking about -- and it's an opportunity for you guys to offer some feedback --Bill and I haven't necessarily discussed this yet, but I think it would be really important that we at least put out some parent information, a parent letter ahead of the transition period from us here at DPI to reassure families that the process regarding exceptional children is not to be interrupted. There are meetings that are supposed to occur, there are needs that have to be addressed, and they have to be documented appropriately so parents have records of what those decisions are. So that's been clearly

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

communicated to the LEAs that you handle business.

One of the things that parents may 2 experience is that we've made a recommendation 3 that if there are IEP meetings that are coming due 4 at the very beginning of the school year in August 5 6 or at the very end of this school year, if appropriate, consider holding those meetings a 7 little bit earlier so that there is plenty of time 8 to address any concerns and then that data 9 migrates over when we migrate the data to the new 10 That is not a requirement. We have system. 11 things built into place to make sure that business 12 13 can continue to happen.

If you guys can help us reassure families that you work with of that. They can request meetings, they can have meetings held, they can refer students. Any of our business with EC should happen as expected, uninterrupted. And if you're hearing of situations in which that is not occurring, could you please let us know so that I can intervene, as appropriate, in those circumstances.

MR. HUSSEY: Again, I think we've talked about this before, but let me go back and reiterate. So you-all have heard of the dark

period, right? We've talked about the dark period where the system has to go down so that data migrates. That's what she's alluding to -- what Carol Ann was alluding to was that, you know, we've asked people to try to make sure that anything that could be done before that dark period gets done so that when we upload out of CECAS this past year's data, it comes over in that, or if it's an Easy IEP, it comes over, because both sets of data will transfer.

We are, you know, going to be down and Power School is down until July 9th, and that's an annual event. It doesn't have anything to do with CECAS -- I mean with ECATS, but when it comes up, everything comes up and we roll forward from there. MTSS, we have always said would start in August or early September for the four largest districts -- four large districts. I'm not sure that Gaston is in the top four of the largest, but Wake, Charlotte-Meck, Gaston, and Winston-Salem/Forsyth, they will all come up with some element of MTSS in process in July because they actually had working systems with MTSS before, and they can't not be there for the year-round schools. So that will come up. Everyone else

will then move forward in the cohort process that they've set up in September, January, and then in the spring. So that part will be there. You had a question?

MS. HOLLER: Well, I was just kind of going off of what she said with the forms, like just the basic ECATS forms like that you would -- that you have online for CECAS forms---

MR. HUSSEY: Right.

MS. HOLLER: ---like are those just going to be available blank so that people can look at them and just kind of understand them? I mean I just was curious how different--- Do you know what I'm saying?

MS. HUDGENS: Right. The difference is probably going to be most in visually -- from a visual perspective in the layout, but the required components are still the required components of the IEP. One of the things that we've added, just for the sake of providing an example, is that we have included a prompt on the IEP to discuss standard course of study, occupational course of study, or extensions of the content standards to help IEP teams start talking about it earlier rather than later so that parents are

well-informed about what decisions and pathways mean early into the process. And so those are some things that -- an example of one thing that is added to the form.

One thing that we really tried to do to be intentional about this process is to keep the process process-based. In other words, it should be intuitive. For example, when we're talking about a student's unique needs and their present level of performance, everything we know about the student should come early into the process, and it is based on that information, then, that we make appropriate goals, we consider appropriate accommodations, and so forth, and we're explicit about what specially designed instruction is addressing that goal.

So there's a lot of efficiencies in the user interface to help the teachers make sure that all areas of unique need are addressed, and then that from a parent's perspective when the forms are printed out, it follows the process that they engaged in, in the meeting. It's not jumping around to different things. It all lays out together. So that's one of the efficiencies.

So back to your question about old

forms and new forms. One of the requests that we're getting from the LEAs is will you go ahead and please release the new forms so we can start training on it. What our perception is -- and it might just be mine. Bill might have something else in mind, but I think the worry about the forms is coming from where places need to actually revisit process in general because it's my bias that if you understand the processes involved with exceptional children, it wouldn't matter what form you're given; you understand how to document the appropriate things for children.

period, the recommendation is likely going to be to continue using the forms that you're using locally so that, absent any training to beef up process or to migrate to the new system, there won't be a procedural problem, and there won't be a difficulty with handling business appropriate to the student's needs because the tradeoff is, is too much new creates a lot of discomfort and it causes some potential room for not handling your business appropriately.

So we're trying to be very thoughtful about how much change we interject at one time,

and so because now we have the opportunity to have a statewide system, everyone has to be it, and so by engaging in that process, all the forms are going to print out uniformly across the state.

So one of the things that -- since the LEAs have asked for these forms ahead of time and to a certain extent we have to get some things in development first to make sure that they are settled, they're not going to change any, we will try to do, at a minimum, similar to what's currently on the website where we have the form and an explanation of how to complete that form.

And so because we'll be transitioning, they'll probably be side by side for a little bit of time until that transition is complete, and then the old forms will come down, okay?

MS. HOLLER: Thank you so much.

MR. HUSSEY: And, actually, we don't differ really in that opinion. We have other opinions that we differ in, but not around that.

Yeah, it's -- I will tell the biggest anxiety -- there was lots of excitement on Wednesday. The anxiety, though, still is about when are we going to be able to train and how can we get people

1 trained.

And in the perfect world, we would be done pretty much in the springtime and be able to get it to everybody ahead of time and be done, but that's not going to happen. It's truly going to be working right to the last — literally the last week before we go live before we get everything finished. And the biggest part is really not so much now — because what we're going to do in the pilot really is look at functionality. We're going to go in and follow the process, do the process, push the buttons, and make sure that the button did what it's supposed to do, and if it doesn't, then we need to figure out why and come back and fix it.

But the big part is the data integration so that's the interface between the ODS -- the data warehouse and Power School. So how do we get information out of Power School; how does it go into the state ODS, the warehouse; how do we pull it from the warehouse; what we do with it inside of ECATS; and then how do we get it back.

And, really, that's going to be the primary issue between now and mid June to make

sure that that integration, that interface between those sets of data coming and going, you know, that we're able to work that out and make sure and assure the districts that when you do do that data integration that it actually happens the way it's supposed to and what you pull from that data source actually ends up in the right place.

Because, really, I think at this point everyone's pretty comfortable with the functionality. Feel pretty good that when you push that button, it's going to do what it says it's going to do. It's really just the movement of that data. And we're moving -- because the MTSS side of this impacts not the 200,000 kids that are special ed, but all 1.6 million who are out there. That the amount of data that we're going to move back and forth across those channels is going to be huge.

And, again, we're the only place in the country to really try to do this with all three elements and all three elements as part of the same system. So we didn't -- we ate the whole elephant. We didn't just take a bite out of it.

And so we've got to figure out how we're going to work through that, and what we want to make sure

we do is not provide the circumstances we had with Power School where it came up and it didn't work, and that's why we're just continually beating ourselves -- you know, beating ourselves with wet noodles, but really trying to make sure that we're doing what we need to do to ensure that it works.

I'm going to hit a lot of the same old things I hit all the time, but before I jumped into that, I wanted to bring up one thing. There was a new voucher situation that came out of the last legislation -- well, not even out of the last legislation -- out of the last -- in between legislation times. So it came up really just a couple months ago when they were meeting on one of those opportunities to create a bill in the middle of nowhere.

But, anyway, this credit card thing came -- debit card thing came up for private schools. Again, it's a \$9000 debit to be used by parents, and the thing that was different about it was that prior to this point, it's always been that you came out of regular school and went into private school, and it was in that transition that you accessed either a debit or the scholarship funding or the tax credit, and the three of those

things add up to a little over \$21,000 per child.

What came out in the last iteration that occurred in one of these meetings the couple of days they were here basically says that it's now expanded to include children already in private schools, and it also speaks to the fact that they have to be identified as special education -- had been identified and meet the requirements of the special education law as it speaks to our processing procedures here in North Carolina, which is going to be a problem.

And so we did talk to DAC the other day to talk to everyone about this because the issue is you may have been identified as a child with special needs prior to being in the private school, but you've been in there so long that, you know, at this point, you no longer qualify. And so you would have to be -- go through the process of reidentification, and the issue there is going to be like it is now and like it was before with vouchers where the school district may truly find you not able to be identified.

And that comes with the fact that there's not shared data to talk about the need for specially designed instruction. We also can't

necessarily see, and a lot of times circumstances are built so that kids are being successful in their class situation, and so two of the three prongs, I mean where you may still have the disability specific criteria, it's not had a negative impact on the academics and you're not in need of specially designed instruction or there's no way for us to determine that because we can't get data to prove that.

So, again, they didn't ask -- because the last time -- we did have a child two years ago who did not meet the definition of disability area, and because they didn't -- the legislature changed -- and you may remember me talking to you about this, but they said the parent could either go back through the regular process of reevaluation or they could get someone in the field who was school psychology-like, whatever that means, but basically to determine that the disability existed through that person.

And what they basically said to us -and I said to you-all in one of these meetings a
year or two years ago whenever this came up -- was
what we asked was to bow out. If you're going to
make a change and you're going to determine from a

state perspective what the disability is you're
going to give the voucher for, then you do what
you've got to do, but don't keep pulling us back
in on the backside because we then have to hold
you accountable to what the federal law says, and
it makes your life more difficult.

But they put those two options in, so it did give parents a choice to either continue to be -- you know, eligibility to be redetermined through the three-year reeval or to go the other route. My assumption is they'll come back and change this once they realize they didn't ask and they screwed it up because it's going to be -- they're going to have a lot of children who are not going to qualify and the parents are going to be upset by that because they've been in those schools.

So I just want you-all to be aware it's out there. I do -- again, from a parent perspective -- I know some of you-all argue whether or not due process occurs inside the regular schools, and you know, you certainly have your reasons for that. But those parents who are leaving -- and it was in the original legislation and doesn't continue to show up as these new

pieces are added -- is that we forced the

legislature at the time, when the first one came

around, to make sure that parents understood when

they leave public schools, they leave their due

process rights, and those just disappear.

And so parents -- if they're talking to you about this -- and, you know, if you get \$21,000, that's a pretty big incentive to think about going private. That's a lot of money and you can get in pretty much -- just about any private school in the state for 21,000 bucks. So as people make that decision or think about that as a choice, as a group, if you-all could just help remind that there is no due process once you step over.

So if you've got a concern about how those services are working or the lack of services that you're is getting child, you have no recourse. There's nothing there to come back and argue, and there is no -- unless that child does have a service plan inside the LEA's work with that private school, then there's really nothing there. And it just concerns me.

Again, I am a firm believer in choice, some people have the right to do whatever

they want, and the legislature has given them the options to pick up those -- and they can get all three of them together. So you can get the original voucher which is 8000, the \$4000-plus tax credit, and the \$9000 debit, and you can get all of them and that's how you get to twenty-one. And so, you know, they certainly have those choices, but they lose something in the end. So I just wanted to make sure we got that out on the table and talked about it. I don't know how many of you-all saw the article and I haven't even gotten it out to my guys. Yes, question? MS. DANIELS-HALL: No. I saw the article. MR. HUSSEY: Oh. about the ---MS. DANIELS-HALL: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You saw the article

What I wanted -- I did have a question. We have a voucher, we have a tax credit, and now we have this which is an education savings account. How are parents supposed to understand all of that?

MR. HUSSEY: There is an agency, and every time I stand up here, I never remember their name.

State Education Assistance Authority?

3

THE CHAIRPERSON: NCSEAA. That one.

MS. DANIELS-HALL: The North Carolina

4

MR. HUSSEY: That one.

5

MS. DANIELS-HALL: That one. Okay.

6

MR. HUSSEY: Yeah. That's who you

7

everything, how you would work through those

talk to and that's who has an explanation of

9

processes and what you would do. I will tell you

10

they were not confirmed with on this last one

11

either, so I called us to figure out what was

12

going on. And we said, "Well, we don't know. You

13

guys are the ones running it."

14

And they said, "Well, they didn't

15

call us either."

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I said, "Well, you know, what we told them was that it was really sad because a lot of this could have been resolved had they actually talked to us." Again, I'm not in favor of it from a personal point of view, but if it's going to be out there, it needs to work and it needs to benefit kids. And, you know, at this point, it's not going to, and so what will probably happen is someone will recognize that, and I'm saying it out loud to anybody who will listen to me.

So if, in the short session, they want to come back and change it, they may and it may be okay by the start of next school year, but the way it's written right now, it's going to be problematic for a lot of kids whose parents think they have the availability of that \$9000.

So there was that article, but I was going to actually mention another article that just came out probably Monday through North

Carolina Forum, and it was about the funding issue for special ed, and it's a very nice article. It actually spoke mainly about Macon County and

Cleveland County and the difficulties that they have both being over cap, but it's not just about cap. It also then talks about our funding model and what we're attempting to try to do with the funding model.

So one of the interesting facts that they didn't put in there -- and we actually pulled it as a result of a question they asked -- there's 17,555 children in this state who receive no state special education dollars. Those are the kids that are over the cap at 12.75. That's \$75 million a year, if you multiply the \$4125 by that 17,555 kids, that we're not getting.

So raising the cap to 13 and a half percent is going to take some of these kids away so it will lessen that amount of money, but for all those who -- and it's 50 percent for all those who are under cap. And, surprisingly enough, our largest districts are all under cap.

You know, it's those other districts out there who are under cap that are not going to get any additional monies. If it goes up, it benefits that small number of districts that would go between -- we've asked to go to 13 and a half percent, which is pretty much the prevalency rate here in North Carolina right now of the number of kids in special ed when you look at the total number of children out there and you look at how we've looked at headcounts per LEA.

You know, it's going to help some, but we really need to be working on that other group. So I've got a meeting tomorrow with our legislative liaison to talk about how we can -- because we had some push earlier, and when I talked to you guys, we had just talked to Representative Horn. I still think we have some positive pieces there, but we've not been able to get in front of that group, and we'd really like

to do that.

With the funding formula, we do really well down to about -- to small charters, even large charters -- large charters, charters in general, and small districts 200 to 150 EC kids total inside that district -- the model doesn't quite get there. There are lots of things we can look at, hold harmless as a possibility.

Another way to probably get started is to look at the fact that right now when we look at the districts above that 150 to 200 range, if we look at the districts above that, we're really working somewhere between an eight and a ten percent increase, and that's the average across all the districts. Whether you're Charlotte or whether you're Bertie, you're getting pretty much the same proportional change in the new process and looking at how those increases are there.

So one of the things that we're going to potentially do is say -- and that averages out. If it's ten percent, surprisingly enough, it's \$75 million. So it would be really what we're not getting for the cap now. So we could get those additional dollars back. We can also try to raise the cap three-quarters of a percent to the 13 and

a half and really try to see if we can double the benefit there.

But if we did that and we just said, you know, we can work -- you know, get them to help us decide in the end where we're going to be, which is probably where they'll stop, but that would help any district if what we did was an average rate, you know, a cost change of eight to ten percent.

And what we really wanted to do -and I'm afraid what's going to happen -- because
what we've got is not an uncomplicated system.

It's pretty straightforward. What we've offered
is just a cost-per-service-delivery model and
again smaller at the top when you're primarily in
regular classes, more so at an intermediate/selfcontained kind of thing, but not always fully in
and fully out, and then for our most difficult,
involved kids a considerable jump from about \$1200
to about \$12,000 per setting.

That's the difference, and that's only then looking at what really is the cost of the classroom, and we add on the cost of related services, nursing, and one-on-one supports, all the rest of that going out. So, again, I think I

pointed to you guys 117,000 could be the top end of what an individual child could draw down for a district relative to the needs and costs.

The problem there is that all we're dealing with is our direct costs, and so we still haven't done anything to look at programming. And so, again, you know, that will be another issue that when we get in front of the group, we will bring up and talk about. But, we, like I said, are setting up a meeting. We had some real energy there for a while, and then they got into doing legislation instead of doing the legislative oversight pieces, and then some of that died off. So we're trying to get back in front of the group.

Coupled with that is Medicaid. As of two weeks, we in North Carolina have developed our own free care plan. We basically took the model from Massachusetts, which is the only one approved by CMS, which is the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, the federal aspect of the oversight. So we have developed with support from PCG to help us look at how we can expand Medicaid services.

And I'm using the wrong term. How we could acquire more in the way of refunds for

services provided in the schools that are presently already available but we don't bill.

You can't say expand Medicaid in North Carolina without getting in trouble, so it's a word I have to remember not to use when I stand in front of the appropriate people. But we really are looking -- what we're looking at there is providing services not only for special ed but for regular ed.

Part of the meeting tomorrow also is to push the issue of going before DMA and taking it to the legislature and get it to pass. We have already taken charter school concerns to the legislators and said to them that we believe that DMA is inappropriately denying charter schools the ability to bill for Medicaid for special education only.

They would not be pulled into the free care piece because of their organizational structure, but because they are an LEA for special ed, they can actually, we think -- it says so in the law. They are an LEA for special ed and they get state dollars, and so our issue is that because of that, they should be able to bill. And so we're pushing that one forward, and I really

think with the legislature's desire to support charter schools, we've got a good point of leverage there that we can potentially get that through. So we're excited about that.

So those are the two big funding pieces that are coming down the road. And, again, I really like the model we spent the two years on developing. It is a straightforward model that allows for if teacher costs go up, then it adjusts accordingly. So it's no longer this flat per capita rate. It is an adjustable rate moving forward. It speaks directly to the cost, and I think we've done a good job of figuring that out.

My only concern is how, from the state system, they would pull the data we need to substantiate those costs in an LEA. That's a little complicated because we did it as we sat down LEA by LEA, and we actually took our headcount, broke down how many kids were in the least restrictive -- in that less restrictive environment, that middle environment, and then the more involved environment.

And so we're going to have to work that out, and that's why I'm thinking if we go with a percent raise to start with, with the

If you have a private provider -there's two policies out there, 10A and 10C. 10A
is for the rehab model that most everybody else is
under and the capitated rates that are out there.
Then you have the school-based model, the

22

23

24

25

noncapitated model over here. What they were doing was basically saying -- we talked about this -- you weren't here then, but we talked about it a couple years ago -- what was happening was they were actually using our system as an accountability measure to measure 10A policy.

We're 10C and everybody else is 10A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what they were doing was basically saying you only have this many hours in which you can bill for speech and language or OT or PT, but primarily speech and language, and we're going to include those hours from the IEP. And what we said was, you know, what you're doing there is denying FAPE and you're also crossing over policies -- you're managing one policy with another policy. And that's not good public policy, and it's also not appropriate for you to be able to define what the number of hours that a school-based service would require because it's a different service than the rehab model. And so we've pretty much gotten -- I think we're okay on I think we're going to be okay on that one, but that's where it gets into interference.

Now back in the day when I was not here but out there, you know, what we did when we

So if we were going

1 had that kind of problem was just made sure that we billed on different days. 2 to bill for a service, we billed on Tuesday and 3 Thursday and the private provider billed on Monday 4 and Wednesday, and as long as we were not billing 5 6 on the same day, because they were under 10A and we were under 10C, there was no conflict. It was 7 when they said the total number of hours that you 8 can bill is capped and that includes these hours 9 over here that it became a problem. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we're slowly pulling ourselves out from that, and I think that will be done by the start of next school year, or we hope.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Because I know that the therapists have to write their reports and their requests for new units very specifically so that one doesn't cancel out the other.

> MR. HUSSEY: Right.

MS. MOOREFIELD: So I just want to make sure that the students would not lose services either in school or out of school.

MR. HUSSEY: They shouldn't on either end as long as there's no conflict on what was billed in a given day, and that's -- and, again, at least in my district, we had a relationship

with most of the providers out there that was sufficient enough that we'd say okay, so as not to interfere, you're going to bill on these days and we'll bill on these days, and that doesn't get in the way of either one of the two services being provided with ample amount of time. But, yeah, hopefully, we're about through that one.

I got in trouble over that one because I was not really in favor of what they were doing and said that out loud and, evidently, too loud. So I got my hand slapped. But I think we're out. I think we're out.

School mental health. Again, I'm asking -- and I've been working with the C&I folks for the last couple of days in Greensboro and have made this plea at each of the afternoon meetings we've had. We passed the school mental health policy a year ago, and then in the long session, because we passed a school mental health policy without adding any dollars to it, it got frozen in the legislation in House Bill 155. And it was to be postponed for a year to start up at the beginning of this school year, but it was to be done under the recommendation from the State Superintendent and a commission that he was going

to form, and that report was due April 1.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In light of February 14th, it was important before, it's extremely important now that we move forward with this, but the problem is, is that the Superintendent, and I think rightly so, postponed things because he wants to work with the legislature to do what needs to be done to try to coordinate with other agencies and the legislature where they're going with the Safe Schools piece.

But what we tried to say to the Superintendent's staff and what I would ask for you-all to be talking to your legislators and people about is the basic footprint for -- it's already there for what schools need to do, and basically, what was required under that school mental health policy was that certain trainings would occur to help inform people in the schools on issues such as trauma-based issues for children, suicide prevention, mental health first aid. They didn't have to do all of them. could pick one and they could pick something else that they also wanted to do, but they needed to be training their staff in awareness of mental health issues and then to basically have three elements

of a program structure or an infrastructure. One was core which is really about prevention, how do we prevent or lessen the impact of mental health issues in the schools; primary prevention which was with social workers and counselors to be working with children; and the third thing was to be able to connect a child with a mental health issue to their local LME/MCO, which is the mental health agencies.

ensure that any child identified with a mental health issue in the schools of North Carolina was directed toward services. You know, the shooter in Parkland is your poster child for what we are attempting to do. This kid was well identified. All the markers were there. Everyone was aware. And, really, it didn't seem like until after he was expelled from school did he actually get into any type of treatment.

You know, you can't -- there's no way to guarantee prevention of those kinds of incidents, and with the school mental health initiative about a year and a half ago, I actually made a comment in the middle of one of the meetings that I was really glad that we had not

had a shooting for a while because what happens in these cases is people react so strongly that they go one way or the other, and we never get rational thinking about how to have a policy in place that impacts children because children need to be impacted, not because there was a crisis.

But we need to take -- you know, it's sad to say, but we need to take advantage of the leverage points we have, and right now we have this. So I think if you-all can be talking to folks about the support that can occur, we're working with the Division of Mental Health.

They're going to put legislation forward in the short session to try to fund support for school mental health, and they've got a list of things, of which all of them are good things, in which we would create a menu which schools could then pick from, again not trying to insist that a school do anything certain relative to a particular technique, but you have an option based on what you need.

The other thing that is going on right now is that we've got 17 sites who have participated in a Center for School Mental Health Technical Assistance Grant out of the University

So we've

1 Maryland where we have 17 across the state, and the School Mental Health Initiative is basically 2 working its way around to support those. 3 regionalized support. Those guys are actually 4 without any funding whatsoever because we got no 5 6 money with the grant.

needs of kids.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They're moving forward with what they need, and the tool is actually a needs assessment. So it looks at what schools have in play and what they don't have in play and also looks at resources available in the community and again really pretty much mirrors what we put into the policy about how you would connect with those things to build a support structure to meet the

So we've got things going out there. We're hoping that working in concert with the Division of Mental Health that we can build some support in the legislature, but we would benefit tremendously from people continuing to talk to the legislators and their representatives -- senators and representatives to try to support that.

The last thing I'm going to bring up is we're going into next year's version of our LEA self-assessment. So in the spring, we will be

getting the self-assessment pack out. We will be working back through helping support LEAs. Did you get that information?

MS. VLASATY: I'm working with Heather. I know what to do next, yes. Thank you.

MR. HUSSEY: Okay. Okay. Yeah.

They are public records, so if people want to look at LEA self-assessments, that's something you can do. Basically, you know, we will be starting to move forward. This past year, we developed a catalog of all the trainings we had, we matched them with LEA self-assessments basically with the six primary functions of the LEA self-assessment, and we provided that training to districts as they asked for it based on what their LEA self-assessment said they needed.

We will be working on retooling the things -- looking at what we provided, what was used, what wasn't used, and thinking about things that we need to add to the list. At this point, we're not going to take anything off the list, but we are going to add some things to that list based on the areas again inside the self-assessment.

And, again, our SIMR, our State Identified

Measurable Result is graduation, and we are

1 m

3

moving -- not even so slightly -- we're moving towards our goal of about 78 percent, and we're just about there.

Obviously, the overall school districts are in the eighties -- upper eighties and some in the nineties. I'm not sure if we're going to get our graduation rate that high, but that is our target to continue to work towards that. But I do think we'll definitely hit the plateau, and soon, of 80 percent of our students graduating. And so that's quite an improvement from where we were.

13

12

I just wanted to kind of make sure you-all were aware that was there. Anything that people have--- Yeah?

16 17

18

19

15

MS. HOLLER: I was just curious about when you go out to do your every-four-year assessment on districts, is that report available to the public to view or the results of those reports?

20 21

MR. HUSSEY: I mean anything we do -- when we go out and monitor, you mean?

23

22

MS. HOLLER: Yes.

24

MR. HUSSEY: Okay. It's on a five-year cycle, just so you know.

25

MS. HOLLER: Yeah, I didn't want to see any names. I just wanted to---

24

25

MR. HUSSEY: No, no. I'm just saying there is a process and that's how it works. But pretty much anything that isn't child-specific that we do from this agency is public record, I mean, which is why we tell people to be careful what you put in emails because someday somebody can come and get them.

But other questions? It's cold in here, so at least we're done a little bit earlier than--- I don't know how many of you-all are aware that our lady here is going to be leaving us to go back to Alamance to live and work. Most of you-all know that Heather was my parent liaison in Alamance, so I'm kind of partial to her.

But she was the first person here as a state parent position, and we will continue to have that state parent position. We feel like it's important and we will continue that. I'm not sure we'll find anybody to replace her for me in particular, but we're going to miss her. But it's what she needs to do for both herself and her family.

Kind of like -- I don't know how many of you-all know this one ran too. Yeah, she ran downstairs. She didn't run real far.

MS. OUZTS: We did earlier. Diane is

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

24

25

quorum?

know you had a question -- I think you had a

question about how---

MS. OUZTS: So long story short, if someone wants to be on the Council, they must be recommended by the State Board members. If they do not have someone in mind, they often look to you guys for suggestions and recommendations which is why we sent out that email saying if you have anybody interested. We did have several parents and some LEAs that submitted resumes. So we are at this point, I think, waiting to hear if the State Board has---

MS. BYNUM: We have submitted our council membership to April State Board of Education because this is how it works processwise. We have submitted membership vacancies to the April State Board asking the State Board members for recommendations to fill these vacancies. If they do not respond, then we have this file of people that have expressed an interest. So we have to give the Board the opportunity to make the recommendations. If they don't make one, then we're ready and prepared. And so at the May Board, we'll say we have these folks.

MS. OUZTS: So my suggestion, if you have a parent that's interested, have them contact their State Board representative. You know, their emails are on the State Board website. They can send their resume, their cover letter, whatever, expressing their interest. That's true anytime so that they can -- now whether or not that moves from there, we have no control over that.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Is that the same like if we wanted to recommend somebody for -- I think I saw a vacant position for a special ed teacher.

MS. OUZTS: Sure. They can submit as well. Those are also State Board recommended.

MS. MOOREFIELD: So somebody from the State Board would have to recommend this person?

MS. OUZTS: Right. Unless, just as she said, if they do not have one, then we have information from people who are interested in serving and we submit that to them. And we've had people from different regions and the different areas submit, so we do have something to submit. When we sent out that email, we had several people respond. So, hopefully, it should happen before——

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, REBECCA P. SCOTT, State-Certified

Verbatim Reporter, do hereby certify:

That said proceeding was reported by me and the foregoing pages, numbered 4 through 168, are a true record of the proceeding to the best of my knowledge and belief;

That I am neither related to nor employed by any of the parties or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor interested directly or indirectly in the matter in controversy, and am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Certified this 31st day of March, 2018.

Rebecca P. Scott