COUNCIL ON EDUCATIONAL SERVICES FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN

Raleigh, North Carolina March 13, 2019 9:45 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF QUARTERLY MEETING

The quarterly meeting of the Council on Educational Services for Exceptional Children was held on the 13th day of March, 2019, in the State Board of Education Boardroom, Education Building, 301 North Wilmington Street, Raleigh, North Carolina, commencing at 9:45 a.m.

APPEARANCES

COUNCIL ON EDUCATIONAL SERVICES FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Leanna George, Chairperson

Anthony Baker Kathryn Barnes Anna Carter Abby Childers Diane Coffey Cynthia Daniels-Hall Jennifer Frey Christy Grant Kristen Hodges Katie Holler (via Webinar) Christy Hutchinson Adam Johnson Selene Johnson Teresa Mebane Virginia Moorefield Jennifer Mullis (via Webinar) Cache Owens Lisa Phillips (via Webinar) Kelli Terrell

STAFF:

Tish Bynum
Amanda Byrd
Carol Ann Hudgens
Matthew Martinez
Sherry Thomas

VISITORS:

Whitney Barnes
Erin Conner (via Webinar)
Carolina Farmer
Virginia Fogg
Eric Hall
Rebekah Richmond (via Webinar)
Geri Smith

COURT REPORTER:

Rebecca P. Scott

THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning,

1

Thereupon, the following proceeding was held:

2

3 everyone. Welcome to this meeting of the Council

4

on Educational Services for Exceptional Children.

5

I always get tongue twisted when I'm saying that.

6

We've had a very busy year, haven't we?

7

I know we have a few new members with

8

myself and then maybe if we can go around. So my

9 10

name is Leanna George. I'm the Chair of this

us today. I'm going to go ahead and introduce

11

Council. I have two children with

12

exceptionalities. My daughter is in a group home

13

in Marion, North Carolina. She has severe intellectual disabilities, autism. She's a

14 15

teenager. Fun. And my son, who is right here,

16

who is actually homeschooled, and he's supposed to

17

be doing his schoolwork. He's probably not.

18

pleasure working with you the last several years,

19 20

and I look forward to continue working on this

21

Council with you. Thank you very much for the

22

opportunity.

MS. BARNES: What do I need to say?

But it's a pleasure -- it's been a

24

23

THE CHAIRPERSON: Just say who you

25

are, maybe where you're from, what is your

MR. BAKER: My name is Anthony Baker.

I'm an assistant principal in Elon, North

Carolina, at Western Alamance Middle School. I've

been an assistant principal now going on seven

years. I'm a former EC teacher, EC chair. I

worked in that capacity for ten years.

23

24

25

2

3 4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

MS. MOOREFIELD: I'm Virginia Moorefield. I'm a parent of a child with severe traumatic brain injury. I'm also an interpreter for the deaf, a regular Ed teacher, that mom kind of across the board, from the Raleigh area.

MS. FREY: Jennifer Frey. parent of a son with autism, and I'm from the Mecklenburg County area.

MR. JOHNSON: My name is Adam I'm the Director of Education Services Johnson. for Juvenile Justice.

MS. TERRELL: Kelli Terrell. I'm the Exceptional Students Director with the Department of Public Safety, Adult Prisons.

MS. THOMAS: Good morning. I'm Sherry Thomas, and I'm the Director of the Exceptional Children Division, and I'm delighted to be with you today.

MS. HUDGENS: All right. I'm going to nod to our virtual attendees. Jennifer DeGen is going to be typing in her responses. Her audio is a challenge. So, Katie, I'm going to unmute your line, and if you will introduce yourself.

MS. HOLLER: My name is Katie Holler. I'm the parent of five daughters, four of which

is Anna Carter. I'm the Director of the Division of Child Development and Early Education, part of DHHS. We have oversight for child care programs, for child care subsidy, and for the state pre-k program.

MS. HUTCHINSON: Christy Hutchinson,
EC Director at Lincoln Charter School, and for
this Council, I'm the charter school
representative.

MS. JOHNSON: I am Selene Johnson. I represent private schools. I'm in Winston-Salem,

North Carolina. I work with ABC of North

Carolina. We are a comprehensive campus providing diagnostic, therapeutic, and education services for children preschool through high school.

MS. GRANT: Good morning. I'm
Christy Grant, the Exceptional Children's Director
with Nash-Rocky Mount Schools, and I represent
traditional LEAs.

MS. HUDGENS: And I'd like to introduce Jennifer online. She is a facilitator of Exceptional Children in Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools. So thank you, Jennifer, for participating.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Has

You would.

THE CHAIRPERSON:

All right. I started off, "My apologies for not submitting this letter sooner. The Council on Educational Services for Exceptional Children worked on this back in December, and I had it ready to send but realized that I forgot a component, and then I forgot to submit it.

"Please take into consideration these concerns and suggestions as the Commission continues to address concerns involving schools and students. On December 12th, 2018, the Council on Educational Services for Exceptional Children discussed the request for comments made by the Governor's Crime Commission regarding school shootings.

"As a group made up of parents, teachers, and school administrators that focuses on students with disabilities, we acknowledge the challenge that some of our students present in a schoolwide crisis. We feel these students can be successful during these stressful times with appropriate planning on the local district, school, and classroom levels.

"Together we compiled the following list of thoughts, comments, and recommendations.

This list is not exclusive to school shooting emergencies, but encompass recommendations for other emergency situations as well.

"(1) The Special Committee on School Shootings include parents or special education teachers. We recommend that the committee on school safety include at least two special education teachers, one with an adapted curriculum licensure and one with a general curriculum license. These teachers with experience will be a vital asset to the committee as they consider how recommendations made impact these students and how to improve implementation recommendations.

"(2) We recommend that local district and school level crisis planning teams include at least two special education teachers, one with an adapted curriculum licensure and one with a general curriculum license. Utilizing teachers with both licenses will mean a broad range of concerns and challenges that students may present can be discussed as a crisis plan is made.

"We recommend that a list of students with high support needs who require additional planning and consideration during a crisis be included in the school crisis plan and that the

teachers, parents, and others familiar with the students engage in individualized planning of how to implement the schoolwide plan with the student. Examples could include functional goals on the IEP to promote cooperation during traumatic events, planning for staff coverage to ensure proper supervision for students who are prone to elope or need assistance to egress the building, and the creation of emergency bags that contain items that students with sensory needs may require to self-regulate in a stressful environment.

"We recommend open communication between school district and state level professionals in crisis and emergency management to share experiences and results with the aim of improving plans. We recommend training for emergency responders, teachers, and students to better understand the needs of individuals with disabilities during a crisis and to prepare students for emergency situations.

"Finally, we recommend that students consistently practice their crisis plans -- that schools consistently practice their crisis plans.

We recognize that fire drills happen on a regular basis, but other drills are much less common.

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

MS. MOOREFIELD: She is -- she's not

25

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

am the supervising attorney on the special

25

education team, and we're here today to give you some background information about what we've been working on in the past year, and we appreciate the invitation to talk with you today, and also to answer any questions that you may have about our recent work or upcoming work. I'm very happy to answer those questions.

And I have with me today Carolina

Farmer, who is the intake supervisor on our team.

So she is sort of our first line of response when we get a call from a parent or an advocate in the community who has a question about a special education matter and is looking for our advice.

So we -- if you're not familiar with Disability Rights, we are the State's protection and advocacy organization, and every state is federally mandated to have a protection and advocacy organization for citizens with disabilities in the state. And our mandate includes protecting the rights of individuals with disabilities throughout their entire life span, so from birth to death.

So while my team focuses on students who are in pre-k through twelfth grade, there are other teams in our office that focus on lots of

other issues that effect people with disabilities throughout their lives. Such as there's a whole group that works on community inclusion and working out issues with funding and services with the LMEs and MCOs. We have advocates. We have attorneys. We have community organizers and communications folks. And our executive director sits on several different legislative councils and study groups. But our overall goal is to protect the rights of individuals with disabilities in the state.

Now for the past several years, our target work on the special education team has been to keep students with disabilities in school. And that may sound rather basic, but we do have quite a few situations that keep us pretty busy where students with disabilities appear to be being excluded from school for reasons related to their disability.

So a few years ago, this work mainly involved students who were long-term suspended and who perhaps the incident appeared to be related to their disability. So perhaps it was a student with autism who had had a meltdown and ended up striking a staff number, which is a violation of

the code of conduct, but that process should involve going through a manifestation determination review by the IEP team and should likely involve a functional behavior assessment and a behavior intervention plan. And we recognize that there are many, many school districts and individual schools that are following the procedures correctly, but then there are also quite a few that aren't.

And so when a parent calls us with that type of scenario, that is the type of case that we generally will take for direct individual representation. So we'll assign an attorney and/or advocate to the case, and we'll go to an IEP meeting. We'll get the school records. We will sometimes file a state complaint with DPI. We very, very rarely file due process at the Office of Administrative Hearings, but we do have the ability to do that if we determine that's necessary.

A few years ago, we started seeing a trend where there were fewer and fewer long-term suspensions, which we were extremely happy about, but then we also started seeing a trend toward homebound placements instead of long-term

suspensions. And so the student for the same
behavior would not actually get suspended, but
there would be a very short-term suspension, say,
maybe three to five days. In that time period,
there would be an IEP team meeting, and the IEP
team, usually over the parents' objection, would
decide to place the student on homebound.

And we recognize that homebound is an appropriate placement for some period of time for some students, but in the cases that we were seeing, fairly often, a lot of steps had been skipped over on the continuum of placements before that homebound placement should have been considered the least restrictive environment for the student.

As part of that work, we approached DPI a couple years ago and said -- specifically, I met with Carol Ann, and I said, "You know, we think this is an issue," and they readily agreed to look into it. And we formed a stakeholder group with representatives of LEAs across the state and parents and advocates to look at the problem and to see if what we were seeing was just sort of, you know, blips on the screen or if it was actually, you know, a statewide issue.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the stakeholder group met quite a few times, and part of the work they did was to -we did was to create a survey to send to all LEAs,
which obviously includes charter schools because
they're public schools as well and they are
subject to IDEA. And we got a really good
response from the survey thanks, in part, to Carol
Ann's nudging, I think, of a few LEAs, but we got
about 80 LEAs responding to give us some idea of
how they were using homebound.

And part of our homebound work included asking about modified day schedules because that's another thing that we see that sometimes is not as apparent on the IEP documents or in the student's school record. So a modified day would be where somebody has made a decision -- maybe the IEP team, maybe the principal -- that the student could not come to school for a full day. So, therefore they're not getting the full six and a half hours a day.

And, again, we recognize that this might be a good solution for a temporary period of time while you're trying to work something else out, but we were seeing cases where students had been on modified day for anywhere from one to

three years. It just became the thing, and
students were not able to graduate from high
school because they hadn't been able to accumulate
the credits.

And so our survey showed some interesting statistics. The most common -- the most common number of hours of service while on homebound was zero to three hours per week, which is, you know, really, really low, and that was very concerning to us, and it was -- over 40 percent of the students, I think, were on homebound for over 75 days during the school year, which is over a third of the school year if you look at the number of school days.

And so we felt like, okay, we're not imagining this. This is an issue. So the group decided to create some guidance, the homebound guidance, that includes steps for LEAs and particularly IEP teams to consider when they have a situation where the student is having significant behavioral challenges, and you know, there are folks in the school setting that feel like this is not working. We might need to, you know, have this student not at school or at least not in the placement that they're in. So there's

a whole number of steps, which the steps really are compliant with IDEA and the procedures that are supposed to be followed.

And then the back part of the document includes a whole lot of resources for -for IEP teams and families and advocates to inform them of and, hopefully, allow them to access, and they really involve a lot of mental health services and educational services.

One of the things we kind of found particularly interesting is that some IEP teams are really unfamiliar with what a functional behavior assessment is, and there are resources out there for that, but for some reason, they're not connecting or it's just -- somebody doesn't feel quite qualified to do that in the way that -- in our experience, when we see it done correctly, it can be quite illuminating and really help resolve some of the issues.

So that's the focus of our work. We also have taken some cases recently where students have been excluded from school because of a lack of, say, nursing services, and there may be some nursing services, but it just may not be -- you know, we don't have backup nurses when one nurse

is absent and so the student can't come to school, or it takes a really long time to get the nursing services in place. So we've taken a few of those cases, and we're resolving those primarily by going to IEP meetings and trying to negotiate that work.

And we also -- part of what we do is we're required by federal law to do information and referral. So if somebody calls in -- a parent or an advocate calls in and the situation they're describing is not within our target of students being excluded from school for reasons related to their disability, we still provide information about the student's rights and how to access those rights.

And so we have quite a few fact sheets that we've developed on things such as IEP implementation, IEP dispute resolution, bullying. We have a couple of really good bullying fact sheets that explain both the federal law which applies to students with disabilities and the state law which applies to students — all students, with or without a disability. We have found — we think that those have been really effective. If you have any feedback on that, we'd

like to know because we want our information and referral to be effective and helpful for parents.

The other part of our information referral is that we quite often provide the dispute resolution consultant's phone number at DPI. So I think, you know, sometimes we kind of overwhelm them because we're getting a lot of calls in, and we're continually giving that number out, but we feel like, you know, part of that information referral should include, you know, the parents knowing that they can call DPI and describe the situation and get some feedback from the consultants and perhaps file a complaint or perhaps not file a complaint. So we find that to be very helpful.

Do you-all have questions for us?

MS. FREY: Quick question. You were talking about the fact sheets and the bullying sheets and everything. Are those accessible on your website for parents to go and download those and be able to share?

MS. FOGG: Yes, they are. I will say that our website has undergone several permutations, I'll say that, and for a while, they

were a little hard to find. But they should very recently now be pretty accessible, and if not, anybody can call and we're happy to send them out, but they are on the website, yes.

MS. MOOREFIELD: And what is the website address?

MS. FOGG: It's disabilityrightsnc.org. Yes?

MS. MEBANE: Are you guys collecting information on patterns? Like if you're seeing certain patterns in certain areas or certain school systems, are you collecting data on that?

MS. FOGG: Sure. We do collect it very informally by the calls that we get, and that's part of the reason that Carolina is so helpful to us because she will come to me and say, "Hey. I've gotten three calls in the last two weeks from this parent --" I mean -- "this area," you know, "this LEA" or "this charter school." And so then we start thinking about, you know, looking to see what there might be, and then in that situation, then we may decide that a case that -- you know, we try to find capacity -- if that case is in target or close to target, we try to find capacity because we are charged with

1 trying to effect systemic change.

So, also, when we file a complaint or when we are taking a case and not filing a complaint and just going to IEP meetings and negotiating with school attorneys, we ask for systemic remedies. Even if we haven't filed a systemic complaint, we're asking for systemic remedies. So we are trying to make sure that, for instance, all principals in the LEA are trained on behavior supports or, you know, all of the EC staff is trained.

And so we do see trends. So sometimes we'll file a couple of state complaints for the same school district within a couple of weeks of each other because we have just gotten those calls in, and we're seeing a tend. Yes?

MS. DANIELS-HALL: Could you tell us a little bit about how you pick your targets?

MS. FOGG: How we pick our targets.

Part -- part of the process involves our

communications folks in the office doing statewide

surveys and holding -- in the past, we've held

what's called listening sessions. I'm not sure.

We're coming up -- we do that every, I think, four

to five years where we actually physically go

across the state and invite people to come into a room and tell us the issues that they're seeing.

And then every year we do also send out a survey and ask people with disabilities or people who advocate for or interact with people with disabilities to tell us what they think our target should be.

MS. DANIELS-HALL: Thank you.

MS. FOGG: Yes?

MS. JOHNSON: For the students who are receiving homebound instruction, were you able to see any trends on what the next step was for them when they reentered the system?

MS. FOGG: We couldn't see that through the survey. I'll tell you, in our experience with cases, usually it involves getting behavior specialists involved, and most school districts have behavior specialists on staff.

Some don't. And so we advocate that you find somebody in the community and bring that person in. Sometimes you just -- if it's a behavior specialist, say, for a child with serious emotional disability, sometimes you just need the autism specialist in there who also serves as a behavioral specialist. And really it involves

doing an FBA and identifying, you know, what led to the situation, what caused that situation to occur that essentially resulted in a violation of the code of conduct.

MS. GRANT: Can you talk a little bit about your unannounced monitoring visits specific to seclusion and restraint?

MS. FOGG: Sure. That is -- thank you for reminding me of that. I don't head that up, so I omitted that inadvertently. We, this past school year, started a program in sort of a target -- a second target to reduce restrictive interventions of students with disabilities, and in our office, restrictive intervention means a student who is being put in a seclusion room or is being restrained.

who is an advocate who goes across the state and makes unannounced visits to -- right now it's almost primarily -- it's almost a hundred percent the separate schools, and North Carolina has quite a few separate schools for students with disabilities. This is related to some of the other work that we do.

Part of our federal mandate is to do

investigation and monitoring where people with disabilities receive services. So a good part of our group in our office, they go to adult care homes, they go to PRTFs, they go to places where people with disabilities live 24 hours a day, but the federal law does say that it's where people receive services, and that has been determined to include schools.

So we have -- it's called our access authority through federal statute that allows us to go in unannounced and to essentially tour the facility and ask questions of the staff members about what's being -- what's happening with seclusion and restraint. In the facilities where people live, it involves also looking at their living conditions and a lot of other things. In the schools, it's mainly a focus on seclusion and restraint.

So that is a new -- a new area for the special education -- I say the special education group. There is some separation in our office because part of our ability under the federal statute is if we find -- if we believe that there is abuse or neglect occurring -- and that's very, very broadly defined in the federal

ask for documents and interview staff members to find out what's happening. And we have -- we do get reports of students being injured in seclusion rooms or staying in seclusion rooms for long periods of time or restraint that's happening a lot, you know, in a way that is not being reported to the parent for a very long time, or sometimes we get reports from staff members that "This is happening a lot and I'm concerned about this and I don't know what to do about it."

And so, in general, we feel that those situations can always be improved because if seclusion and restraint is happening frequently, then we feel like there's an issue with training or placement or supports or other services that really needs to be looked at.

Any other questions? Yes?

MS. FREY: You mentioned that it was really happening mostly in the schools that were serving self-contained children. What is the plan for that happening for schools that are providing services within, you know, a regular ed setting to be monitoring those to make sure that certain things aren't happening like that in those

settings?

MS. FOGG: Right. So I think our next step is, after the separate schools are pretty well monitored and we feel like we've identified and addressed issues there, would probably be to go to schools that have self-contained classrooms because there are quite a few schools where the students are going to a regular ed school, but there's a self-contained classroom.

And I think that would probably be the next -- probably the next level of our investigation or monitoring. I will say in regular ed -- and based on what I know -- we don't often see seclusion and restraint in that way because if that's needing to happen, then usually the student would be moved to a more restrictive setting.

MS. FREY: Yeah. That's what I was thinking, the self-contained---

MS. FOGG: Right. I will say we have had some interesting cases with uses of in-school suspension that actually met the legal definition of either seclusion or isolation, and this was mainly in elementary schools. And, as you all know, the schools are all overcrowded, and there's

not enough space for everything that the schools need, and we have had some students who have spent quite a few days in closets or supply closets and things like that that were labeled as in-school suspension.

And when we found out, we said, "Hey, you know, this -- this actually violates the Greenblatt law, and we need to talk to you about changing this." And once we brought it to their attention, you know, the EC director wasn't aware of it, and the principal was sort of educated that this is not -- this does not count as in-school suspension.

But that is sort of -- that was a regular ed student who, you know, spent a few days in there, and he wasn't injured, but he was not monitored and not free to leave the room.

Are there any other questions for us? Yes?

THE CHAIRPERSON: I have a question.

What would you say is probably the biggest

training need that you're seeing based on what you

are observing through all the investigations and

stuff like that that this Council could possibly

make a recommendation for?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FOGG: So I would say mental health services and behavior support services. I don't think that's news to anybody. It's certainly not, you know, news to the EC folks here. I don't think it's probably news to you guys. But for some reason, there feels like there's some resistance to embracing the concept that all students need, you know, attention to their mental health needs just like their academic needs and, particularly, some students with disabilities.

and that is actually an obligation under IDEA and several other laws for those things to be addressed, and that if you actually address them, you know, really amazing progress can occur and you move forward to work on other -- other things that the student is having challenges with because of their disabilities. So I guess, you know, we just still feel like there's -- with some schools, there's a resistance to wanting -- feeling the obligation to serve those students. So that would be the big thing.

And we're working -- we were on the school mental health initiative. We're continuing to monitor that work, and we are happy that this

is all being talked about now, but we also want to make sure that something real actually happens and it gets addressed. Yes?

MS. JOHNSON: Kind of I guess a response. This is my first committee meeting, so apologies if I'm overstepping as I say this, but I was curious about the committee making recommendations, for example, on minimum criteria for the people who are responsible for doing FBAs because, in my experience in working with a lot of different districts, there's a lot of variability in who's conducting the FBA and whether they're fully trained and understand what those steps are in how you develop a behavior plan. So I was wondering if you could speak to that.

MS. FOGG: Yes. I mean I think that we would definitely be supportive of that and also, you know, the certain requirements for training for special education teachers and paraprofessional, and I know that there are limitations in the number of days that are in teachers' contracts here. We personally feel like an expansion of a couple days a year would be incredibly helpful.

That was a big barrier to getting the

mental health in the schools board policy passed that the State Board did pass, but there was a lot of pushback about when is this training going to occur, does it have to occur in person, how many hours does it have to be, who has to be trained.

And, you know, I think all the research shows that it's really beneficial to have really good training for, you know, almost all school staff, particularly with regard to prevention and early identification, which I think the research also shows is really, really important.

And I think that's one of the things that we would like to see -- and not necessarily that it's happening at DPI, but it's perhaps happening in other places in the state -- is that there's a big focus on not acting until there's a crisis and everything has completely fallen apart, when there are things that could be put in place way earlier that would prevent, you know, situations from developing. And there's lots of research to support that as well. Yes?

MS. OWENS: Going along with Leanna's question and your comment, I work with ECAC. It's been almost seven years. The word principal and special education is just become this thing that

is tied tightly together somehow, and you mentioned the word principal several times.

Almost every call I personally receive mentions the word principal.

So I would just like to put it out there that a target group for training and support would be principals, and I heard that mentioned at one point. But it seems like if we're going to have so many principals and assistant principals serving as LEA reps in meetings, making important decisions, sometimes making important decisions on the fly in hallway even, that they really need good training -- EC training.

MS. FOGG: We would definitely agree with that. I mean, you know, our first advice when a parent calls, and usually the first step that we take when we actually take a case, is to contact the EC director to make sure that EC is aware of what's going on, and at least half the time, they're not. And then once they are and they can talk with the principal and other members of the IEP team and they can sort of get in there and see what's happening and what the options are, things can be resolved.

But by the time -- you know, just

like by the time a parent calls you, by the time a parent calls us, it's not good and there's a lot of loss of trust. There's a lack of really effective communication. So I would agree with that. I also think empowering IEP teams to make the decisions that they think actually need to be made would be really helpful.

So one of the things that we see is, really, there needs to be some additional staff or additional training or something needs to be changed, but the members of the IEP team don't feel like they can actually recommend that and put that into place. But they're the ones who are on the ground and who see it and who know what might really need to be changed, but as an employee, they don't feel like they can make that statement or have the ability to effect that.

And sometimes I think also, because of the way there's this sort of parallel track of EC and principals, the principals also may not feel like they have the power to do that, and also sometimes the EC staff doesn't because of the way staff members are hired and paid. So there definitely needs to be some convergence there.

MR. BAKER: I'd like to just add to

I totally agree with what you're saying. One of the things that was a driving force for me 2 actually going into administration from actually 3 being an EC teacher was just that lack of 4 5 understanding. There were oftentimes I was 6 actually at IEP meetings and conducting those, and 7 my principal had no idea really what needed to be done or should be done, and they actually looked 8 toward the EC teacher or EC chair for that 9 guidance.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I can say now that I've made that change and I'm actually a part of that administration. That's one of the things that -and I actually have another AP that's a coworker of mine who's also a former EC teacher. So we're very blessed in our school to have that knowledge base.

But that is something that we're bringing up in our meetings when we have our administration meetings in the district about that training, and we've been working with our EC director to provide that training across the district for administrators. And so we do see that becoming more evident now, and that is in the process, but I would encourage every school

district to look at doing the very same thing.

assembled before.

MS. FOGG: Right. And we absolutely know that there are schools that are getting it right, you know, every time because we're not getting -- we don't get those calls, right, so we don't see those situations often. And sometimes we, you know, just need to get the right people in the room, and the right people exist. They just haven't -- you know, that group hasn't been

Absolutely, I think that that's true, and I think that having EC folks in administration is incredibly helpful. I also think sometimes too through training what we see -- and I've seen this in trainings that I've done. So you try to give out a lot of information. You're usually covering a lot -- a lot of ground, and even if you think you have like explained exactly what would need to happen in a particular situation or how these principles or policies or laws should be applied in a particular situation, I think sometimes that doesn't happen. It doesn't actually sort of trickle down, right, and so -- and it's nobody's -- it's not intentional and it's nobody's fault.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so sometimes I think it's helpful if there's like a point person to call, right? like you have a situation where a child is continually needing to be pulled out of the class, before it gets to a situation where you're going to long-term suspend that child or have an IEP meeting for homebound, if the principal feels, you know, empowered to call the EC director or call a particular behavior support person and say, "Hey, I don't know what to do about this situation. What recommendations do you have? Or can you come in and do some observations, " right, like -- and I know there's issues with observation and evaluation, but like you -- you know, there's ways to go observe a whole class and just kind of see what's happening.

So it's sort of the more like immediate like can we -- can we nip this in the bud, can we figure this out before we get to a whole situation where the child is, you know, at home, the parent is in danger of losing their job, and everybody's frustrated and, you know, really doesn't have a way of problem-solving.

MS. GRANT: I would like to add too that we have started doing training monthly on

topics for EC at our principals meetings and found that to be very effective. They want to know, and oftentimes it's a lack of knowledge and understanding for sometimes the decisions they make on the fly, I guess I would say.

Where we have found the most valuable thing that I think we've done is really work with our assistant principals because at our monthly principals meetings, you're right, they are overwhelmed. I mean we have a full day of meetings, and you know when you -- you know when you leave meetings at the central office at the central level, you hit the ground running the very next day when you go back. And oftentimes it's like, oh, wait. Let's go back to that meeting, but it's awhile later.

restructured how we get training and information out. So we're working with our principals, our APs, our department chairs is what we call them, our EC chairs, and then we're also working with our mental health personnel that we have identified this year, and that's new. So we're having monthly people, at different levels, hear the same thing four times, and when the

information about Disability Rights came out that they were monitoring seclusion and restraint, we've been talking about seclusion and restraint for years. All of a sudden, it was important when announced visits can happen. I'm just going to be honest with you, not that it wasn't important before.

So we added -- we do six monitoring visits a year with different levels per school, and we now have a checklist that we walk through with our separate classrooms. So it is just a -- but it's really a continuing to talk about it.

It's not -- you can't do that LEA rep training once a year and think you've hit---

MS. FOGG: Right.

MS. GRANT: ---all the different topics because different things do come up. But our assistant principals are the ones that are mainly serving as LEA reps. They're the ones that are over our EC departments, and they're the ones that we have found that we can get the most -- make the most difference with, with the trainings that we implemented. So thank you. Very valuable.

MS. FOGG: Okay. So that's really

interesti

interesting. So maybe -- maybe we should start including assistant principals in our list, right, as well.

MS. HUTCHINSON: They do a vast majority of the discipline.

MS. FOGG: Right. Okay. That's interesting. I hadn't realized that. So what you were saying, when we brought the in-school suspension issue to the attention of the EC director, he prepared a training for all principals and went through -- like, okay, look -- let's look at -- and he felt empowered to say, "We've had a situation come up that involves this. The child was in this type of storage closet for this long and," you know, whatever.

And he said -- what he shared with me was, there were a lot of people in the room who said, "Oh, I haven't done that, but I wouldn't have realized that that would been a big problem.

I could have done that," right, and they were very happy to have that situation brought to them as an example. But it took describing the whole facts, and obviously, the student was not identified. I think it was probably known, you know, which school it had happened at, but you know, that was

part of that process.

MS. HUTCHINSON: I think it might be valuable to -- I think it might be valuable to reach out to the higher ed institutions that have programs in the administrative licensure because I'm sure lots of people sitting around the table have gone through that process.

MS. FOGG: Right.

MS. HUTCHINSON: And this much (indicating) is talked about in those programs of being an LEA rep -- seclusion and restraint, discipline procedures, mental health. And the other plug I'd like to put in is, we all struggle, and you know, different places amongst the state are far more blessed than others about getting mental health facilities and mental health in schools. And, you know, sometimes we're able to get MOAs and sometimes we aren't.

MS. FOGG: Right.

MS. HUTCHINSON: But there's never enough. There's never enough. That not only goes for the kids we serve, but the families we serve because rarely is a mental health issue a kid issue that's only the kid issue.

MR. BAKER: Absolutely.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

frustrating.

Right. MS. FOGG: I think we all have experienced that. You had another question?

MS. OWENS: I just would like to add -- I'm not normally here and you might never see me again. So I feel like I need to say something about the whole LEA rep. The way you do that, why do we do it like that, and is there a --

are there districts that are considering maybe

more people in special education, an

administrative layer, or with enough training to

maybe serve in that role so you always have a

really informed person in the room with

decision-making authority?

Because continuing to have it be principals and assistant principals, they're doing so much else, and continuing to say, "Well, let's train them more," feels inefficient to me. And it's just something that's occurred to me over the years. How did it get like that and is it the best way? Might we consider a different way just in general?

MS. MOOREFIELD: And I -- you know, as a parent in IEP meetings, the majority of the time the LEA -- the admin rep that's in our meetings, I mean they're wonderful people, but they spend the entire meeting on their phone because their handling 97 other things. They have the entire student body, which in some of our schools includes several thousand kids, under their control.

And it's kind of like, you know, when we keep asking teachers to, okay, do this too, do

this too, do this too, and now our admin is becoming stretched too thin as well. I completely agree.

MS. HUDGENS: So in response to both of that, not necessarily that it is a good answer, but to respond to how did it get that way, in the regulations, part of the problem or the difficulty is two parts of the criteria for an LEA rep is, one, you're either qualified to provide or supervise teachers of special education, and then the second one is, is that you have to have the authority to be able to commit the resources of the LEA.

And so that typically -- when you kind of sort those out, that leads us to the administrative support -- the administrative staff of the school. So that's why that role typically falls there. Yes, ma'am?

MS. MOOREFIELD: But I wonder, though, if instead of having a principal or an assistant principal sit through a two-hour IEP meeting where they're not paying attention because most of it doesn't really affect them, like they don't really need to pay attention to it, I wonder if it would be more effective to have -- because

there's usually an EC director or, you know, someone below the admin -- would it be more effective to have that person then just go and meet 15 to 20 minutes with the administrator just to kind of brief them on, okay, this is what's going on with this student, this is what they need, this is what we need from you?

MS. HUDGENS: I think there's multiple combinations of the preparation that can occur for an effective meeting. I think what's difficult, though, is that you can't make the decisions outside the context of an IEP meeting. So, really, you're just problem-solving the many different ways that an IEP team could choose to proceed in their decision-making.

So I know that when I was in an LEA, we did have staffing meetings with our school staff particularly when there were situations that were very complex and required a lot of resources. They may even be not at the school but resources that were managed at a district level, and so those preparation meetings do occur.

And one thing too I'll just kind of share from previous experience, I was once an assistant principal at a high school with 2100

students, and I had a special ed degree and had taught special ed, and so I was the LEA rep for that school. And that school year, I personally chaired 600 IEP meetings, and so it is -- it's very challenging.

And one of the reasons that we had so many that year is that we had a new high school being built because at 2100 that was a large capacity for our district, and so the reason why it was so many is because we had a transition meeting for those high-schoolers going to the new high school. But it is a mass undertaking when you have support staff coming in from a district level because I was just one of eight high schools in that particular LEA.

So there's just a combination of strategies that have to be employed. We want certainly solid professional development to help in decision-making. The biggest thing that I felt was successful, when I was in an LEA and moved for the school-based administration to district-based administration, was at least identifying areas that the principal should know to call for help, and that was sometimes hugely helpful, that if you're approaching this or that area, please call

us in and we'll help coordinate if additional people have to be in the building or so forth.

And a lot of it is based on the relationship that you have with your school-based administrators from the central office.

MS. THOMAS: And if I could just add some personal experience. When I was -- excuse me -- before I left the LEA that I was in, we had designated in each school -- they designated -- we didn't assign -- the SNA, the special needs administrator, and that was someone that regularly came to training with us, that we regularly met with from the central office. We had to invest time and energy in that, but it paid off in the long-run because we had people that were prepared and were knowledgeable, and they were the go-to person -- if another administrator in that building had a conflict, they would go find that special needs administrator to talk through.

And so a lot of it is just how much time and energy you can put in on the backside to build that capacity and that understanding. We have a federal law that requires us to have that designated person, and in my opinion, it keeps those administrators engaged. You just have to

prepare them and provide them the tools so that they can be effectively engaged.

MR. BAKER: One of the things that we did -- and we're a middle school and we're about 900 students, and we have a total of three administrators, two APs and a principal. But one of the things that we committed to doing was actually meeting before the meeting. So we would discuss students, and so we actually had some knowledge of the student that we were going to be meeting on that we were going to be LEA rep for, for that IEP meeting.

And that took a commitment, but we wanted to say that all our students are extremely important and we want them all to be successful.

We want to know exactly what's going on, are there things that we need to be aware of, so we would meet prior to that. The other thing that we also did too was that when we would have IEP meetings, the person that was actually sitting in there that was the LEA, we did not bring our cell phones. We were committed 100 percent to be invested for that child.

MS. MOOREFIELD: You know you're a unicorn, right?

MR. BAKER: But it just takes -- it just takes an administration that's willing to do that, and it is -- it is difficult, and that's why I mentioned we were a large school with almost 900 students and three admins. But that's what it takes, I think, to be very effective and to do the job that we need to do.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I mean you-all have way more experience at the admin level than I do. The only experience I have is as a parent and a regular ed teacher, but -- so I hear, you know, the unicorn over here talking about how wonderful, you know, his experience and how dedicated his school is. I hear the Assistant Superintendent, is that correct, or Assistant Director?

MS. THOMAS: I'm the Director.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Director. That's right. That's right. You used to be the assistant.

MS. THOMAS: But I'm still the assistant director too, so---

MS. MOOREFIELD: And I hear what the Director is saying about -- and I want to make sure I wrote it down wrong -- that there's a -- wrote it down correctly -- that there's a federal

law requiring an SNA---

MS. THOMAS: No, no, no, no, no.

There's federal -- requiring the LEA rep and the requirements of the LEA rep.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Okay. Okay. Okay. So my question and just my concern as a parent is that I know that there are, obviously, wonderful districts that put the importance on having meetings before, you know, even just quick ones to discuss going in, and who do that the pre-req work going in.

But is that common? I mean, is that something that -- in your experiences, is that something that is common to happen? Just as a regular ed teacher -- and we were a very small school too, but for IEP meetings, sometimes I got the notice the day before or possibly the day of. You know, here bring all your data about this kid, but I never met with the team beforehand. But I mean, like I said, we were very small. I mean at lunchtime we talked about all our kids.

But is that something that is common in schools across the state for them to do that work?

MS. GRANT: I would say just -- and

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where I know -- I'm Nash-Rocky Mount -- it is
not -- it doesn't happen all the time, and I don't
know that it always needs to happen every time. I
think that there are some cases and instances
where we have pre-meetings. We're sending the
draft paperwork to the parents and having a lot of
the conversation, but the actual decision-making
not happen until we get in the meetings.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Oh, sure.

MS. GRANT: But we work really hard to make sure our parents understand, when we do that, that you are just a part of this conversation. And oftentimes it's because the parent is asking us to send drafts to them because they don't want to go in not -- with that being the first time. Our IEPs are long, you know, so and they're very -- yeah, and they're just going to -- when we move to a new system, it's going to be -- while it will be the same stuff, just a little bit different and longer of having to explain that, and so we're having to, you know, make sure we work with our parents with training.

But I don't think it happens all the time. I don't think it has to happen all the time. That's just my opinion. I think there's a

time and a place for that. I have program specialists that work under me where when I know that there are issues, even not so much just on the parent side, but oftentimes, we don't -- I have 2600 EC kids in my district. I'm not that large, but I don't always know when everything is going on.

We get calls from DPI or Legal Aid,
Disability Rights, and I'm like, "You've got be
kidding me. Like that can't be happening there."
So then I go out to the school, and I'm like,
"Yeah, we can't do that."

So I think that, you know, we -- what I have found, though, is being in the schools more, monitoring more, doing more walk-throughs, we've been able to be proactive and catch a lot of stuff up front, which we've build a lot of trust with our parents by doing that and working with our parents and calling them in. But I don't think, in terms of the IEP meetings, it always has to happen, but---

MS. MOOREFIELD: Right. Because some IEP meetings are very special simple.

 $\mbox{MS. GRANT:} \mbox{ And then there are some} \\ \mbox{six-hour IEP meetings.}$

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MOOREFIELD: Right. But I just -- my momma hackles are up just about like the kids that need for the administration to pay attention and that need for change, that need the support, that they may not have one of these amazing IEP teams and they may not have one of these amazing districts that have their act They may not have that mom. together. You know, they may have the mom that has three other children and is completely exhausted and possibly a single parent that doesn't have the time, the energy, or the brain space to fight the fight. that's -- that's where I'm bristly.

MS. THOMAS: And if I could just jump in for one second. We're always going to advocate and look for best practice. The truth is reality happens, and you can have the best laid plans of collaborating and training, and that emergency happens and you go into that mode.

And so I think, if we always keep the focus of what best practice is, we're going to get it right more times than not, but it is -- the more energy we can put in the background, the better I think we prepare our administrators for being those folks that are designated as the LEA.

1 MS. MOOREFIELD: And just one last question and I swear I'll shut up. What is 2 the -- what is the accountability process for 3 You know, like is there -- is there a LEAs? 4 Is there kind of like a spot-check, so 5 6 to speak, just for that accountability to, you know, just maybe do like a spot-check of random 7 cases to make sure that ---8 MS. THOMAS: Accountability of like 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. THOMAS: Accountability of like the IEP process?

MS. MOOREFIELD: Yeah, just that whole overall thing to make sure that things are working the way they're supposed to work.

MS. THOMAS: We have program monitoring, which is required as part of our general supervision, and that actually lands in Carol Ann's section. And so over the course of a five-year cycle, we will have monitored every LEA and some more depending on if there's been a major systemic state complaint. If there's something new, sometimes the superintendent will come in and say, "I want a full program review because we've had three new directors in five years, and I want to make sure we're on board."

Those best conversations are when

people come to us and say, "Tell me what I need to know so I don't get in trouble." Those were the principals that were the best to work with for me because I knew they were engaged. But from a monitoring standpoint, we have general supervision requirements for both fiscal and program monitoring, and that looks at the process.

MS. JOHNSON: Can I just ask a follow-up question on that? Is there -- is that publicly available, the reports on the monitoring?

MS. THOMAS: We don't post them on the website. The report goes to the LEA, and once it goes out of here, anything that needs to be requested through a public records request can be done because it's public record at that point.

But there are too many to put -- I mean we don't have enough Web space to do that, but they can be made available. And each district will get a copy, the director and the superintendent. It goes to the superintendent and copies the director.

MS. HUTCHINSON: It might be also important to note that there LEA self-assessment is public too, and so you can see how the district looks at their own priorities and their own, you

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

suspended if you're coming to pick them up because

need to insist that your child is actually

24

25

that's the only way you're going to get to that eleventh day and get your manifestation determination review."

And, yes, we find that to be a problem. We also have situations where students are spending almost all of their day in the assistant principal's office or in a desk in the hallway outside the assistant principal's office, which violates lots of things. So like we -- yeah, that's part of that scenario, but that to us is the -- you know, the red flag that this is not -- this is not working, and even though you haven't formally documented that this child is in a very restrictive environment -- you know, they're at home or on homebound or modified day -- but that is essentially what that is.

And let me just go back really quick to your comment and all the other comments that were made. So I will say that we have -- we do go to IEP meetings where basically the EC director or somebody below the EC director is acting as the LEA. I think from a legal perspective, it is certainly possible for that staff person, other than a principal, to be empowered to make those decisions.

office is going to a meeting, there's usually been one or more pre-meetings so there's been a lot of discussion, and the issues are usually well-known before the meeting happens. There's not a lot of things that are being addressed on the fly in the meeting.

But I do think that that happens, and I will say it's somewhat distressing to us, when we go to a meeting, after a parent has been to many, many meeting and has been asking for the same thing for a really long time and everything is just stuck and not happening, when they walk into that room and there's all these staff members that are full-time district staff members that they've never seen before, those resources have never been brought to the IEP meeting, and then we essentially get what they had been asking for.

When we come out, they're very appreciative, but they're also a little annoyed because they feel like, "Why did it take you coming to this meeting to do that. Why can't I, as the parent, advocate for my child? And clearly, there was something correct about what I was asking for, you know, and needed and justified

under the law. Why does it take that?"

MS. MOOREFIELD: That's why my

bristles are---

MS. FOGG: Right. Because I think that that -- it's hard, right?. The most frequent comment that we get when we go to IEP meetings and we come out, the parents stop like two or three steps outside that front door, and they look at us and say, "We've never had an IEP meeting like that."

And I know that we're an attorney in an IEP meeting and we're scary. We can file -- I know that we are sometimes getting things that, you know, the school really doesn't feel like they have the resources to give, but they're doing it to avoid that filing. I get that, but at the same time, I don't think we would be getting it if there wasn't good legal justification for it, and it was really clear that to meet the child's unique needs that's what was needed.

So I think more involvement of the EC staff in just regular IEP meetings or when there are issues or when you're having -- needing to have frequent IEP meetings or kids are being, you know, disciplined or people are really frustrated.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that's a big preventative -- you know, it would just prevent a lot of things from escalating and solve them.

Because the EC folks really do -even though they may not be a hundred percent empowered to -- the upper level EC folks, they know what some other resources are. They can have those discussions with the principal, even if they can't sign on the bottom line, you know, if it's somebody below the EC director. I think that they -- they have training and expertise that the regular principal, who has never been an EC teacher or administrator, just is not going to have.

MS. HUTCHINSON: Two little points and then I know we have to go to break. One is the idea that ECATS is right upon us, and that will have regular ed access. And I know every district is different, and we would all love to have unicorns in every single program. We would all love that.

But ECATS -- not everybody uses CECAS currently, but you know, a good practice is to review those documents in there before you get to the meeting. Currently, our regular ed folks

don't necessarily have access to that or not every principal, depending on the system. But in ECATS, lots of folks will have access to the things they need.

So I think that's a proactive approach, if you used the right way, to look at the basic data, the basic goals, and not so much get into the details of accommodations and such, but the basic premise of the student's needs. So using that the right way would be an asset that's about to come.

And then I think the second thing that I'd like to point out -- and maybe someone like Christy or somebody from Mecklenburg County can speak to this, but you know, if we all had the absolute perfect individual that was paid the amount we wanted to pay them, every person sitting in a director's spot or an assistant director's spot would love to give every kid that. I can imagine there's not one out there that doesn't want that.

However, there is something called a shortage of teachers. Places like -- or services like HI and VI, we struggle a lot. Great speech and language paths, great OTs, unending budgets

would be really amazing, but that doesn't happen.

And so sometimes you are just really fortunate to get a regular ed teacher that's willing to entertain the idea of going back to get this thing called a special ed license. And, oh, by the way, you might have to go through some litigation and, you know, the 25 page IEP that you're going to write, and you're going to have a caseload of 30 kids. That's really overwhelming.

And so if we had, like Sherry said, the ideal situation, everybody would love to give somebody a caseload of ten or 15 kids, and they could give you that individualized time and they could do their jobs to the level they want. But with the shortage and the demands on teachers, sometimes we don't get the perfect unicorn, and we have to train them the way we want, and then we lose that person many times because there's a lot of occupations out there that there's comparable salaries that blasts special education away.

And, you know, you're not typically ever getting paid more to be a special ed teacher versus a regular teacher, and this special ed teacher is going to be in a ton more meetings, typically under a lot more parent---

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HUTCHINSON: We have to look back to our higher ed institutions and say what are you doing in North Carolina.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I think that's a great idea.

MS. HUTCHINSON: You know what I

When 15 kids get into the SLP Master's 1 Program at App and 20 at Western, or whatever 2 those numbers that Perry will give us every time, 3 and we're putting out that number of -- I mean 4 we're restricted so we have to find creative ways 5 6 to find those folks, and many times, it's us putting the time and effort into training them. 8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But it's a stressful position to be in, so we have to respect that, and I think it takes a full effort as a state to really advocate for great salaries for special ed people, great training for special ed people, and support of those folks.

MS. JOHNSON: I'd just like to follow up on that because I think it's related to something you said earlier, and I don't know what the answer is. But one of the -- one of the things you said was that IEP teams don't feel like they can speak up and make recommendations.

Shouldn't those be the people that are informing the unicorns, I mean, if the unicorns don't have the training? But I think we didn't really talk about that, and there's so much potential there because these are the folks that actually did go to college for this and they did

get that training.

MS. FOGG: Right. I think that's one of the benefits of a pre-meeting. At the same time, I think, you know, it's hard as an employee to sort of raise your hand and say, "Hey, I need more help," right? I mean it's hard regardless of what your job is, right?

So, hopefully, there are those trust situations where -- and credibility -- you have credibility and somebody will come in and look at the situation and say, "Yeah, you do need more help."

But that's where it also helps to have upper level EC staff walking around, like you said, right? Like the research shows that the best principals are the one's that don't sit in their office, that walk around and go from room to room. I think that applies across the board in school and lots of other settings as well, and so I think that that's really helpful to just see —to see what's happening, right, to check in.

And then somebody may feel comfortable saying, "You know, we're really -- you know, during this time of day because this person takes this child here and this person takes

another child there, I'm really kind of flustered because I've got too many people, you know, too many kids, and there are too many high needs in this one situation. What can we do about that?"

Because that's what's interesting when you're in a really good IEP meeting is the creative problem-solving, and in the grand scheme of things, to somebody who's actually very creative and looking at it from the outside, it probably doesn't look that creative.

But, you know, when you get away from the cookie cutter and you actually get down to the nitty-gritty, you can actually -- you know, we go to IEP meetings and we come out, and everybody is really -- you feel like it was a win-win.

Everybody's really happy, but it takes certain factors to make that happen. So I think that would be helpful.

MS. GRANT: I think one other thing too, though, is we're seeing an increase in lateral entry teachers, and our lateral entry teachers are really good. I mean we've -- but they're not -- it's not going to take just institutes of higher ed because oftentimes our staff, because of the shortage, comes from lateral

entry teachers.

And so I think a good support system for specifically our lateral entry teachers, or we've got to look at a new way of working with and providing support because you're talking about people with different degrees who are walking in a classroom for the first time, don't know anything about curriculum and instruction much less EC or working with students with disabilities.

And we've had to just rethink the whole structure of working with our lateral entry teachers, and we've found that those that graduated with psychology degrees come in with a different perspective than those with criminal justice degrees. But the whole lateral entry move, not saying that there's anything wrong with them because they're some phenomenal teachers, but there's a lot more support that's needed than just your institutions of higher ed.

And I don't see where the State or districts really look at their lateral entry teachers, and they go through, most of the times, our regional alternative licensing centers and stuff, but there's no different support for them as there are for other teachers. So that's one

area that we've really had to work with.

And I think, Ginny, if I can go back one second, you talked about mental health a little bit.

MS. FOGG: Right.

MS. GRANT: And we were fortunate enough to get some of the DPI grants for increasing mental health supports in our system this year, and I think it's really brought to light the true needs of the students, and also, we're really recognizing that we, as a school district alone, cannot address the level of mental health needs and the vast majority of students with the level of mental health needs we have.

I -- because of our -- when I say threat to self, our suicide protocol that we've had in place in the student services office, and I've now kind of taken that on, and it is -- I see just as many threats -- in our district with our tracking system, we have half the number of threats to self as we do threats to others, and that was very alarming to me when I've been seeing that, to know that there are kids walking around with multiple suicide attempts in our schools.

And parents are crying out for help,

and they cannot access services in the mental
health system, and we try, but we don't know where
to send them to because they've even reached their
maximum number of benefits that they can get or
they don't have Medicaid. We've even started,
with some grant funding, funding some of the
mental health counseling sessions for them, but
only that can go so far.

So I do feel like working with even school districts on how to effectively navigate the mental health system because with the change from -- I've been doing this for a while, but years ago before it mental health privatized and kind of changed what it was, it was a lot easier to access those services. I don't know how else to help a parent in terms of everything we're doing besides having meetings with mental health and including them on some of our task forces and stuff.

But I think some of the companies feel just as frustrated because the---

MS. FOGG: LMEs and MCOs.

MS. GRANT: Yeah. MCOs, yeah. They won't -- they don't get approval for things that they turn in, and it's just like, "Oh, well.

Well, we've done everything we can. That's just the way it's going to be." And when we try to talk to them, they don't really -- they don't care. I hate to say that.

MS. FOGG: We have several different attorneys who work on that, but yeah, I mean we feel that frustration as well. We'll have a client who gets IVC'd, and for, you know, a suicide attempt or something, and they stay either in the ER for way too many days or they go to a PRTF and are further traumatized. And then when they come out, there's no information. There's been no evaluation.

I'm like, "I have a release from the parent for you to give me this information," and they still will not because they haven't created any information, is what we finally figured out.

So it's -- not in every case, but obviously, there are definitely issues that need to be resolved there.

I think the school mental health initiative is really helping with that, but I think that's also a multiyear process, right, and there needs to be resources.

MS. GRANT: I think at some point---

MS. MEBANE: I wasn't told in the rural counties, though, really what I want to know because what I find is there's no providers---

MS. FOGG: Right.

MS. MEBANE: ---or there's a provider, but they can't get people---

MS. FOGG: Transportation and all that kind of stuff. Yeah, I think that's part of what they're looking at and part of what the State is looking at too, but again, it's -- you know, it's a very big complex problem. I think I'm way -- I'm way over my time here.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We've had such great conversation and discussion. I think we probably need to bring this one to an end, though. But I think one thing we might want to look at as a Council is to look and consider what kind of promising -- promising and best practices that we can come up with, that we might be able to make a recommendation on to encourage that monthly training, even if it's like 20 minutes at your assistant principal and principal meetings, because I think that was some very good comments from you two considering those, that could be implemented across the state and get better

good thing that we could do this out of this. So we can discuss that more during committee time.

But thank you, Virginia. I've always loved Disability Rights and what they've done.

Christine Sullivan -- tell her I said hi.

MS. FOGG: I will. Absolutely, yeah.

MS. GRANT: I will to say too, for those of you who don't know, they have a really good newsletter that they sent out if you sign up for it on their website. You-all might already have already done that, but I get a lot of information out of that.

MS. FREY: And a great Facebook page too, because I know I follow you-all on Facebook.

MS. FOGG: Oh, that's great. We have some new communications folks, and they're changing everything up and they're excellent. So we're really excited about that part. We also have our conference coming up in May, which is going to be in Durham. If you go on our website, you can see about that.

There's a trauma track, and we have a really great keynote speaker Tonier Cain, who is -- basically grew up in the criminal justice

system and has come out of that and does trauma training, and she is an incredibly powerful speaker, and we're really, really grateful that she's agreed to come speak. So that's all on our website.

And we do offer, you know, reduced fees. The conference, I think, is \$125 for the whole day, but we do offer reduced fees, and we do offer continuing education credits for that. So please check that out. And we will have some handouts for you back here on our targets and our -- on the FAO sheets.

If you have other comments or things, please feel free to email us or give us a call, okay? Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you,

Virginia. All right. I know we're running a

little bit behind, but we're going to take a

five-minute break for anyone who might need to

stand up and stretch for a minute, and then we'll

come right back and get started with Matthew

Martinez and significant cognitive disabilities.

(A brief recess was taken from 11:12 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: This is

Mr. Martinez from -- he's going to present on
progress monitoring, specifically significant
cognitive disabilities.

MR. MARTINEZ: Good morning. My name is Matthew Martinez. I am the statewide consultant for students with significant cognitive disabilities, mainly the students on the extended content standards. So extended content standards is a little bit easier to say, so I like to say I'm a representative for students on the extended content standards.

Carol Ann asked me to -- said one of the discussions you guys were having were about progress monitoring, especially in the area of students on the extended content standards. So the good news is there's nothing new. If a student has an IEP, so by our policy, the student must have progress monitoring in place for the IEP.

I'll switch slides. Maybe Carol Ann can do that for me. So the first place we're going to look is directly at our policy in relation to progress monitoring for any student with an IEP. So this is not unique to a student on the extended content standards, but every

student that has an IEP, progress must be monitored.

And so the next slide is our direct policy, which says, "Progress monitoring refers to a systematic frequent collection of individual performance data. The measures are repeated over time and charted for the purposes of documenting and quantifying rates of improvement and to evaluate the effectiveness of the instruction."

So what does that all say? It means all of our students with IEP goals should have a progress monitoring system in place.

And so the next item we're going to talk about is how progress monitoring is used within the IEP. So as we all know, the three-year reevaluation cycle, or more if the IEP determines the need. One of the evaluation procedures are the progress monitoring notes, are the progress monitoring data, and those are your evaluation procedures. And within those procedures, during IEP meetings, you should -- you must review the progress monitoring.

So let's talk about, okay, well, progress monitoring is a little ahead of the horse right now. So what are we progress monitoring?

Well, we're progress monitoring IEP goals, and so within those IEP goals -- well-constructed IEP goals, of course, have the SMART acronym, specific measurable, attainable, relevant/results oriented, and time based.

And so what is a quality IEP goal?
Well, here's a couple. During small group
activities, Bill will look at the speaker of the
group 90 percent of the time, in four out of five
opportunities. That obviously is a social -social goal. Bill has eye contact issues. So
when I wrote this, I was trying to broaden the
horizon because a lot of students on the extended
content standards do have significant needs and a
lot of those significant needs can be approached,
whether behavioral or social.

And for Emma down at the bottom, it's talking about her specific use of assistive technology, which is with the aid of a calculator, she'll solve math problems that involve the computation of whole numbers with 75 percent accuracy. So, once again, you can actually look at that -- look at that goal -- it passes the stranger test, more or less. A stranger could come in and say, "Okay. Emma needs a calculator

to solve whole number problems."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So when we talk about progress monitoring, where is it? It's within the IEP. So it is discussed within the IEP, and so I threw in the new ECATS form -- it's the one on the bottom -- because that will be rolling out in July if -- well, when it does. So those are the new forms. I actually like this form a lot better than the old form personally because it's right there with the goal, what are you looking for, what's the criteria mastery, what's your method of So it's all in one-stop -- one-stop progress. Before you had your goals and shopping. objectives -- students on the extended content standards are mandated to have goals and objectives of how to reach that goal, and so the progress monitoring is written right in there.

So progress monitoring is different than assessments. Assessments are typically lengthy. They're administered on an infrequent basis, meaning they're not going to give you that nice linear line that progress monitoring will. Teachers do not receive immediate feedback to inform instruction on assessments. Sometimes there's that wait period. And scores are based on

national scores and averages.

For students on the extended content standards, we know there are deficits, so a lot of times, those assessments are difficult to give because a lot of times those assessments cannot be normed based on the nature of the student's feedback. Sometimes the assessments do have to be modified, so the reliability can be skewed a bit. The good thing about progress monitoring for students on the extended content standards is that it's conducted frequently. It provides an easy and quick method for gathering performance data and analysis of student progress to modify instructional program.

What you will see in many cases on progress monitoring are teachers have different ways -- different ways, different approaches because the teacher is in charge of doing the progress monitoring. There's nothing by DPI that says, "Here. Use this form and this is how you progress monitor." We use that to the autonomy of the teachers, and once again, the teachers are in charge of that.

So I was just going to show you some examples. I always like, whenever I give a

presentation, to give you something to take back to help teachers or, in many cases, to help whoever's working with students with significant needs. And so here are just some examples. Feel free to use these.

So this looks different than an assessment -- an assessment form. This is a progress monitoring form in the area of speech and language. Many of our students on the extended content standards do receive speech and language services. So at the bottom, you'll see the dates. On the left, you'll see percent correct, and at the top, you'll see the IEP goal or objective that this particular chart is looking for.

Here's another one, reading fluency. Once again, you'll have your objective and then you have your columns for total correct and accuracy, and so you'll have your goal up top. Here is just another example. Like I said, I try to give you guys examples to take back in case you have a teacher or personnel working with a child who needs assistance in collecting progress monitoring data.

So at the top, once again, the student's name, your objective. Student response,

many of our students on the extended content standards have communication deficits or communicate differently than verbal language, and so the student response is a good indicator of how the student can respond to the instruction, whether it be verbal, nonverbal, gestures, using low-tech assistive technology, using high-tech assistive technology. So that's a good piece there for progress monitoring.

Here's just another one. It's a simple spreadsheet. Here are your objectives to your left. Here's your date and accuracy. As a teacher, I was a classroom teacher for just over 18 years and I always wrote anecdotal notes. A lot of times, this is insightful for students having behavioral challenges. However, this is a good practice to take -- write about the student's day.

Sometimes those ten minutes after school that you write about each child can come back and help develop trends, which progress monitoring is hopefully doing for your students or for the students on the extended content standards. For me personally, I was able to use the anecdotal records to determine a pattern of

behavior. So it's a six-week pattern, six weeks on and then one week getting for that week to put in some extra supports.

And, like I said, I always like to provide something to take back. Carol Ann, if you could go to the Google Form presentation. Thank you. So I do not own this. I found this an extremely useful tool when I was an educator. A lot of our LEAs and charter schools are going towards Google, and a lot of the issues we have with progress monitoring is how are you supposed to teach and monitor progress at the same time. As a classroom teacher, it's extremely difficult because you want to be fully engaged with the student. You don't want to be engaged, disengaged, engaged because the student will pick that up really quick.

So the benefit of this presentation here -- like I said, it's not mine, but I used it in creating Google Forms. It was actually a great time saver for me. Okay. So why use Google Forms? Like I said, a lot of LEAs are going to that Google platform. One issue we have heard is about the site security, so keep that in mind.

But what does this look like when

monitoring a math goal? So you can set up an easy bubble sheet so this way you can continue your instructions while keeping data with the click of a button rather than, you know, picking up a pencil, which is often distracting for students on the extended content standards due to attention spans and whatnot, especially if the teacher isn't fully engaged. So oftentimes, you know, just keep that right or left hand handy and click and there you go.

This is a slope goal, which the slopes are on the extended content standards at the high school level, and so, therefore, teachers need to be teaching it since it's on the extended content standards. So there it is a little zoomed in for us with weaker eyes. So here it is in an Excel spreadsheet, and then here it is in a graph form.

Progress monitoring should be presented to parents during IEP meetings. This way the whole IEP team can see that progress, discuss that progress, and determine the next steps for instructional methodology and best practice approaches.

So this is a writing goal. Many of

our students have writing goals based on their fine motor needs. Hopefully, more teachers are embracing assistive technology as a tool. So here is complete sentences. This is a simple bubble box. Complete sentence and complete sentence. So it will populate an Excel spreadsheet and, once again, give you a nice visual. Visuals help everyone. So this is an instance where the visual -- you can present this at an IEP meeting. Here's the progress that we're seeing and then determine if a goal is still needed or adjusting the goal.

Once again, many of our students have behavioral goals. That's why they are on the

Once again, many of our students have behavioral goals. That's why they are on the extended content standard pathway, not only the cognitive piece, the adapted behavior piece also must be considered. So within this form, we have—— Carol Ann, do you mind zooming in just a little bit? Thank you. So within this form —— so as you can see, John D. has some focus issues and maybe some sleeping issues, and he likes his phone and refuses to do work. So these are all the possible scenarios of what could be happening in John's classrooms. So the teacher, all they have to do, without —— without calling attention to who they're looking at or why they're looking, just

click that button. So this way the teacher can evaluate their instruction and evaluate, hey, how can we get John D. on task. It also provides valuable data. Okay. Is John D. displaying these behaviors during certain time periods of the day? Maybe we need to adjust instruction during that time period also.

And so here's your graph, once again.

Okay. John's having a tough time playing with his phone. When is he doing it? Why is he doing it?

And then here's anecdotal records. I found those to be just as useful as the bar graph and the spreadsheets because it allowed that

personalization of -- and the thought process to evolve within the team's mind. Okay. Well, John fell asleep today. He didn't do any work. Why is that?

Well, then, if you can get the parent involved too -- I found a lot of useful information when I presented data like this such as "Oh, yeah, that makes sense. We changed -- we changed the medicine. We've changed his meds. He didn't sleep well."

A lot of my students had interesting sleep patterns. I had one student stay awake for three straight days, and so he came to school a little sleepy. Showing Mom this data, she was like, "Oh, yeah. That was one of his sleepless cycles." So it informs instruction, but it also personalizes it, having those nice meaningful conversations which often build relationships.

Once again, it populates a spreadsheet and here's your bar graph.

The next part is how to create the form. I'm not going to go into that offhand.

It's within the resources. So if you have a teacher or if you're a parent and say, "You know what? I'd like some more data about my child,"

here's a way -- here's a way we can help. You know, simply link this to your classroom teacher or anyone else you feel could benefit from how to progress monitor, and I think it will help their life a little bit which, in turn, helps the students.

So I'm before lunch and so I was
trying to make it nice and quick and easy. So I
guess, at the end of the day, progress monitoring
for a student with an IEP, no matter which
standard course of study or extended content
standards they're on, it's the same. There's no
difference. And so I just thought I would give a
brief overview. Hopefully, it's no new
information, earth shattering, but hopefully, you
can leave here with a tool for whoever you're
working with, that "Hey, you know, this is a very
easy way to take some good data and inform
instruction."

So are there any questions or comments?

MS. CHILDERS: Just a comment. I thought that it was interesting that you would just put up like the whole -- the graph and like the parent also doing data and being able to do

that at home on the Google Form. Because this actually came up with my own child, and he has a past of cerebral bleeds, so the forgetting -- very forgetful about things. So it's not like I can just say, "Hey, you know, remind your teacher, you know, things didn't -- you didn't sleep well last night," or whatever. Or sometimes I'll leave a message, and she might not get it immediately, that kind of thing.

So I actually went into the IEP meeting not too long ago and just stated, you know, "I think we all need data. Like I need to collect data at home, and I think you guys need to be collecting data on that kind of stuff because of the behavior stuff with him wanting to fall asleep or, you know, different things."

And it was a game changer. It was a game changer for the teachers, but it was also a game changer for me because they were able to send me stuff and I was able to kind of look at that and put it together and say, "Okay. You know, no wonder we had such a hard time doing homework this night, you know, because we have all this going on or he had this, you know, the night before."

Whereas for them, it was also great

because they were able to see what he was able to get done homeworkwise, percentagewise, and then also be able to say, "Oh, okay. He didn't have a great night, so then this kind of adds up."

It was really big game changer, and the administration even at the school was like "This is awesome. Why haven't we done this before?" And he's only actually -- he's not actually in the extended class. He's actually just resourced, but even that, you know, for those types of students with issues like that, I think it's great idea. So I think this is wonderful.

MR. MARTINEZ: It was -- you know, I taught before the age of Google, and so it really did -- it's instrumental in embracing that technology as a tool. And in all my years, personally, I had -- I had a great relationships with my parents because it was open and honest, and if someone had a tough day, you didn't sugarcoat it. You said, "This was a tough day."

And the parents were like, "Oh, yeah. Yeah, I got this." So that took the conversations out, so just looking at pure data also allows a more well put together IEP. Just looking at the data, okay, what's happening here? Because a

phone call, a lot of times, you don't document that or you have -- you have sidebar conversations and you forget the purpose of the phone call was originally to talk about the time of the day.

So we actually -- through progress monitoring, we discovered every day at 12 o'clock, the student was having a rough time. So we adjusted his schedule, and it ended up being the transition to lunch which just blew his mind. It was just like -- you know, it was just too much for him to handle. So we came up with a new strategy, and as a collaborative effort and a whole team approach, we solved some problems. So it's always good to have that data there.

MS. CHILDERS: Yeah. We also have a lot of kids that, you know -- my son as well -- with like the whole agenda thing. You know, you have to write your stuff down, teachers needs to sign it, Mom needs to sign it, that kind of thing going back and forth. So we also did that actually on the Google Form, and they would just view that so that it wasn't such a -- him having to do it because it was never -- you couldn't read it. You know, it was always a mess.

And so -- but it was also hard for

the teachers because there's so many students in the classroom, you know. So it was just -- it was a really big -- that was also something that we added that was really good was the agenda part so that everybody could kind of go back and forth and know what was going on for homework and progress monitoring.

MR. MARTINEZ: That's wonderful.

And, also, I'm left-handed and I write like one,
and so parents would call me, "Matt, what did you
write here?" So typing, you know, in this day and
age, it's---

MS. CHILDERS: Yeah. And the teachers stay -- I mean in they're in Google Classroom all the time, you know, doing that kind of stuff. So it's so much easier than having to make sure -- just that one extra thing of remembering I've got to go sign Johnny's, you know, agenda or that kind of thing. So it's really helpful.

MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah. One of my prouder moments is when the parent would call me and say, "I didn't even know my child could do this." I mean we look at that steady growth. A lot of times, students on the extended content

standards, that growth slope, you know, it isn't quite like this (indicating). It's a little more horizontal, but there is progress.

And so a lot of times, what I found is my parents would forget that aspect, is the daily grind and the daily grind. Well, at the end of that daily grind nine weeks later, your child was here and now they're just a little bit higher. That's a good thing, and that's something to be cherished and empowered.

MS. HUTCHINSON: I just want to make one comment. Great progress monitoring data also helps us -- and I get lots and lots of requests from medical providers and outside providers with the right confidentiality agreements and such -- providing great progress monitoring data to those experts and getting additional services for our students. So we've used our anecdotal data on such to get them, you know, ABA therapy or additional support with their outside providers, and that's been invaluable when they've got great progress monitoring data with the right, you know, confidentiality approvals and stuff.

MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah, and that's a good point. Many of my students were on medicine,

either seizure meds or behavior-related meds. A
so, once again, with those confidentiality forms
of permission to speak with the doctors, they
would use that data to determine if the student
needs less meds, more meds, you know, are we
zombifying the kid or are we not giving them
enough.

MS. HUTCHINSON: Sometimes I think it's emotionally hard, but also, even potentially difficult for a parent to explain what we explain to them. So us providing it directly in this kind of a form, obviously, the professional should be able to draw their conclusions. So it's really nice.

MS. OWENS: So since I talk to a lot of parents, I know if we have transparency and the teamwork -- because if a team is functioning poorly and trust has eroded and then data just sort of shows up at IEP time or on a request, they don't always believe it, that it wasn't just made up the night before or something or that it wasn't -- I mean -- and this is time-stamped, and it just seems like a really good tool.

Is this the kind of thing that a parent could request from an IEP team, that they

set up something like this? What would be the best way to recommend to parents that they get their team to go towards something like this?

MS. HUTCHINSON: You have to decide how you're progress monitoring each goal and what method to progress monitor that goal. So that's part of the goal of how we progress monitor.

MS. OWENS: There's not typically a lot of detail there.

MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah. Per what DPI says is a quarterly progress report sent home with the standard base report card. This is a tool that can be embraced. So this would be more -- if the teachers chose to share, this would be more of a daily thing. I think asking for progress monitoring daily would be a lot for a teacher. Although it should be happening, you know, some days an IEP goal isn't hit. So that could also come up with a parent saying, "Well, why wasn't this IEP goal hit?" And sometimes that's the day. You know, that's just how the day rolls.

So I think asking for progress monitoring daily would be quite excessive, but having this meaningful conversation saying, "Hey, you know, we know there's Google Forms." You

know, I think a good place to start would be if a child's having a behavior, ask for this in a behavior -- behavior form and then scale that up to more -- to all of the IEP goals.

But, like I said, mandated, it's quarterly with report cards, but you do have some wonderful teachers out there. I've seen some great stuff, and a lot of our teachers, they shouldn't but they parents their own cell phone numbers and whatnot. They should not do that, but -- so I know there's dedicated people out there and they want what's best.

But using this Google Form as a shared document, you know, even starting off as a homework tool, would be a good way to introduce it to have the parents -- prior to asking, "Hey, I want to see all the progress monitoring, you know, in a Google Form daily."

MS. OWENS: Well, a Google Form, you just could keep checking it, right, and then if it was there daily, great, and if it was there every few days, that would be great too. As a parent, you could know what was happening, and then when you went to an IEP meeting, you would be well-informed about, you know, Ms. So-and-so is

keeping this, this way, but Mr. -- the other guy is maybe just not seemingly doing it. What's going on?

Like you could have a discussion without -- couldn't you agree to the Google Form without a commitment written down on an IEP form that it would be every day, couldn't you? If it was just a voluntary thing? No.

MR. MARTINEZ: It would be -- it would a slippery slope.

MS. OWENS: A slippery slope?

MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, ma'am.

MS. HUDGENS: I think too one thing that's important in this conversation is there has to be a balance between collecting data and actually providing the instruction because if a child is receiving services just twice a week for 30 minutes, you have to balance how long it might take -- even with electronic tools and how efficient that might be, you certainly need progress monitoring to keep informing instruction, but we also have to deliver the instruction too.

Yes, ma'am?

MS. MOOREFIELD: I had the question come up one time in an IEP meeting that the Google

Suites, you know, Google docs, spreadsheets, forms, could not be used because they're not secure. Are schools allowed to use this to collect data on their students and share it among like the approved people?

MS. HUDGENS: So there are different subscriptions that you can have with Google Suite that makes it a secure site for the collection of data in classroom activities, and so that's going to depend on how the school sets that up. From an EC perspective, our just general recommendation is that any personally identifiable information is very carefully guarded, no matter what platform is used.

MS. MOOREFIELD: Okay. So that decision is made at the school or at the district level as far as the security level?

MS. HUDGENS: It can be some of both. Typically, though, my guess is that the LEA is going to have its instructional technology and IT rules that apply, and so whatever their policies and procedures are around those data and that technology will be governed by the technology rules in the LEA.

MR. MARTINEZ: Just as a reminder,

this is just one tool that can be used. Progress monitoring can come in many shapes and sizes, whether it be work samples, whether it be, you know, your spreadsheets or those anecdotal records. So this isn't like a one-stop here's your solution to progress monitoring. This is just a tool to be utilized if, once again, your LEA supports it, if your teacher supports it, but it is a data collection tool for progress monitoring.

There are also some apps out there that teachers are utilizing to keep their progress monitoring data. Some of them are charged for a fee. Some of them are free. I would gear towards the ones that are priced because you get a lot more security for that price, but I don't have any specific examples of those offhand.

Are there any other questions in regards to progress monitoring?

MS. MEBANE: I have a question. It's not really about progress monitoring, but do you guys offer any guidance or policy on how parents are informed when their child is placed on the extended content standards?

MR. MARTINEZ: It is an IEP

team-based decision.

MS. MEBANE: Right, I know, but the explanation of what that means. Because I find a lot of times parents don't understand it, and some parents even -- their children get to high school, and they don't - they don't realize they're not diploma bound.

MS. HUDGENS: Yeah, we totally agree, and when we have the opportunity to either provide refreshers through monitoring or technical assistance, corrective action, any variety of activities that we might engage in with our LEAs, we do try to emphasize the clarity of what it means by changing the child's standard course of study to extended content standards, that they have to be fully informed with that.

Also, in addition to the latest update as a result of ESSA in the federal regs where we have to look at the number of children who are assessed on an alternate standard and, of course, have to be provided instruction on alternate content standards such as the extensions that we call them in North Carolina, it has specific requirements in there that parents are notified about what that might mean and their

options around that and what may lead to what might be necessary after the postsecondary level so that parents are fully informed about what those decisions mean.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MEBANE: I know it's a difficult conversation to have sometimes, but it's so important that they understand from the get-go what's going on.

MS. HUDGENS: It's Yeah. particularly difficult if those decisions are happening in elementary school, and I always recommend that you have an extensive amount of data to suggest that that is appropriate, given the developmental window that occurs at the elementary level. I think those decisions are very difficult to make and need to be made very carefully because children are progressing very differently in their elementary years, just as being -- just as the nature of a kid, not necessarily a student with a disability, but all kids are changing a little bit and growing at different rates at the elementary level.

MR. MARTINEZ: And on DPI's website -- Carol Ann, will you be my navigator for me? It's on the Exceptional Children website.

There is a guidance document that is helping IEP teams. So if you can go to disability resources, please, and go down to significant cognitive, and then under support, please.

So on our website -- so students on the extended content standards, they receive a certificate of completion, and so we have an assessment called the NC Extend 1 that assesses the extended content standards. So if you can scroll down just a little, please, a little bit more.

And then so we have a webinar right here about the NC Extend 1 and what it is, and then the final decision-making flowchart. So this flowchart was a collaborative effort between Exceptional Children and Accountability in regards to helping make the most informed decisions. So, Carol Ann, if you could click on that, please. Thank you.

So it goes through the process of having a student being placed on the NC Extend 1 or the extended contents standards, if you will.

So this is a flowchart about -- to help make the best informed decision. The nature of the law that Carol Ann was talking about with ESSA was the

students with the most significant cognitive

disabilities are the students who should be on the

extended content standards. And so within those

guidelines, they leave it up to states to define

that. So we have three factors that talk about

the eligibility requirements and having a

significant cognitive delay.

One of the hiccups I'm finding out in

One of the hiccups I'm finding out in the field is a lot of people want to tell me IQ scores. I have a student with an IQ of, insert score. IQ score is not an eligibility requirement because you can show me three kids with the same IQ and they look vastly different, no matter the IQ. And so that is one of the determining factors, is we left that language out because the IQ score is just one piece of a puzzle that a child in the extended content standards contains.

Just go to the Exceptional Children's page, just how Carol Ann found it. And so they have to meet all these requirements, all those "ands." They must meet it in order to qualify to be placed on the extended content standards. And if they do not, then they should be on the standard course of study with supports, if needed.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And so I do know LEAs are putting some tools in place to ensure these conversations are happening. I taught at both the high school level for many years and I taught at the elementary level for many years, and those are tough conversations. And, you know, it was usually a joint -- joint cry session because the goals that the parent has in mind are sometimes adjusted within that meeting, even though the thought is there, to hear it out loud. And it's difficult to say as a teacher, but it's also difficult to hear as a parent.

So that's why that progress
monitoring piece, looping it back around, to show
that hey, we've tried all of these instructional
strategies. Your child has not been successful.
We've tried numerous. So this is -- this is the
course of study that we feel is most appropriate
for this child. So those conversations must be
happening according to our law.

MS. GRANT: I was going to say, we actually implemented a statement of understanding where it does fully explain the extended content standards.

MS. MEBANE: I love that.

25

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GRANT: We took it from our statement of understanding for our parents when they sign up children going on the occupational course of study. It's the same concept. Because we did have parents that didn't understand that it would lead them to a certificate and not a high school diploma. So, really, that kind of to me had us documenting that we were fully explaining, going through the bullet points, and the whole IEP team, including the parent, signs it at the meeting.

MS. MEBANE: Awesome.

MR. MARTINEZ: We've had numerous conversations just in the exceptional children's world about how can we ensure that these conversations are taking place, and hopefully, that decision-making flowchart is being utilized. We can offer guidance. We can't say here's exactly what you do.

But I do know -- you know, I didn't want to like throw Christy out there because I know they've done some wonderful things, along with some other LEAs, in trying to make sure students on the extended content standards are the most significant cognitively -- in that area. And

3

4

6

7

you---

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22 23

24

25

there's a lovely webinar about the NC Extend 1. If you enjoy listening to my voice, it's there, talking a lot faster. This was my first week here, I believe.

MS. THOMAS: Nothing like throwing

MR. MARTINEZ: Right.

MS. THOMAS: ---into the briar patch.

MR. MARTINEZ: So any other questions

or comments?

(No audible response.)

MR. MARTINEZ: All right. If you do have any needs, please feel free to email me. I'm not sure what my phone number's going to be within the next couple weeks because we're going to be moving around, but please feel free to email me at matthew.martinez@dpi.nc.gov. That's the easiest way to get ahold of me. I enjoy working so I usually check my email at all times. So any comments or questions or concerns, feel free to contact me.

Thank you for your time and what you do around the state. It's nice hearing such a wonderful conversation. So thank you.

> THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Okay.

It is now lunchtime. Who's ready to eat? Nobody's ready to eat?

Thank you very much, Matthew. Okay. We'll break for lunch and come back and start working on committee work. I know Selene and Kathryn are both new to us today, so our committees -- we have the Unmet Needs Committee, Policies and Procedures, Reports and Data. So whichever committees you're interested in, we can connect you to that group.

We'll probably start considering -this month we're kind of wrapping up anything we
still had left that we're working on as to our
committees. In June, we may start working toward
next year what we want to do. If there's anyone
who wants to change what committee you're on,
you're free to do so at that time.

We do need a new Policies and
Procedures Committee chair, so if you're
interested in heading that up, that would be
fantastic. So if there's any questions, feel free
to ask me during lunch or right now, if you want
to, and we will break for lunch.

(A luncheon recess was taken from 11:59 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.)

anyone signed up for public comment, so -- and we don't have any public comments that were mailed in. There was like one concern regarding how to apply to be on the Council, and we've already responded to that. So apart from that, there's not really been that much activity.

But I did want to take this -- since we had such a good discussion earlier today about the -- about the unicorns. I was thinking maybe we can start working toward a recommendation maybe, what kind of data we might want to get to make that recommendation for how to turn horses into unicorn or principals and vice principals into awesome EC champions.

So if there's -- I need to me pull up my computer so it will take me a few minutes. I mean I really liked the conversation about during the principals meetings. I know the assistant principals have their meetings as well. We can suggest that they include a short topic-based EC presentation that the EC director of that LEA chooses based on what they're reported to as needs because, obviously, what's needed in one county is not the same as what's needed in the other, but

1 I'm thinking that might be one area.

MS. OWENS: So I know that ECAC -I'm seeing if our executive director is
available -- is working towards a principals
training. It might be in Guilford County, and
they did some needs assessment and background work
so they have gathered a certain amount of data,
and when it comes together, it would run as a
pilot.

If she's around -- oh, she's in a meeting -- I could see if I could talk to her for a few minutes and report back in on where we are with that. I know that there was interest, and I know they are feeling similar to how we're feeling about there is need. So that's a little bit of something I might be able to contribute here in a little bit.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. If she just sends you back a text with that, that would be fine, and maybe we can get a little bit more formal -- or this is what we've got going on, a little bit more detail at the next meeting.

MS. OWENS: Sure.

MS. GRANT: That's exactly what we did. We -- we did a survey with all our

administrators to find out what they needed in the world of EC, I guess, and then actually surveyed our principals to see what they felt our APs needed, and then we got it from the APs as well.

So that was interesting, but everything aligned.

So I mean it's all basically around behavior, restraint, seclusion, and what does it truly mean when you sign your name as an LEA rep.

I mean I think that was the big
eyeopener for some of our -- especially our newer
administrators on they're just not signing that
you're participating like some of the other
participants are in the meeting, but what's really
tied into that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. Because as an LEA, that means, "What is in this IEP, I agree to and this is what the district will provide."

MS. HUTCHINSON: In our fall EC
Institute, you might recall that we did an LEA
training forum, and the line was out the door, and
they had to do a second session. The fire code
didn't let anyone else in. Do you remember that?
That was a great little training for LEAs and what
your role is, and it was -- it was just awesome.
It was fantastic.

 MS. GRANT: We did it at the CDC Conference as well. I think too, if you've ever been through an OCR case in your district, then having those members called in one by one, and you're the LEA rep, now talk me through why you made these decisions or why you led the team.

Thank goodness, I was -- we have not had an OCR case in our district since I've been EC Director. I was a program specialist at the time, but that was a huge eyeopener to the administrator and just having them speak to the other administrators because administrators listen to other administrators. I could go in and say something all day, but until they -- you know how you-all are -- hear it from other administrators, then---

COUNCIL MEMBER: Hears from the unicorn.

MS. GRANT: He's not a unicorn, though.

COUNCIL MEMBER: He doesn't fall into that category.

MS. GRANT: No. But it's just like our teachers listen to other teachers, and they -- it's more meaningful for them. But I do feel like

that's taking it from that aspect of what it truly means. They had no -- a lot of our principals didn't have any clue what it meant when they were signing their name.

4 5

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.

6

7

MR. BAKER: And it's interesting

8

understanding a lot of times than the principal

that -- I feel that the APs have a better

9

because we are the ones that most of the time

10 11 attend most of the IEP meetings and we deal mostly with behavior issues. So our district really just

12

put more of a focus on the AP training aspect of

13

it because, as they did a survey of who attends

14

most of those meetings, who makes most of those

15

decisions regarding looking at kids and behavior,

16

who's a lot of times involved in---

17

THE CHAIRPERSON: Microphone.

18

MR. BAKER: Microphone. Okay.

19

---looking at cases for [inaudible], it was

20

decided that was the best thing to do. So the

21

primary focus first for us was on the APs and then

22

it was extended out to the principals.

we have seen a big -- big change.

23

MS. GRANT: We did principals last

24

year, and our focus this year has been on APs, and

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the recommendation about training for mental health and behavioral support during higher education? Is there anything there? Because I know someone had mentioned that if there's anything we would like to explore further, either collect more data on through presentations, or any words of wisdom or insight?

MS. JOHNSON: I mentioned like a specific criteria for folks that are conducting FBAs and making behavioral recommendations. I'd be interested in knowing currently what those criteria are on how districts are determining when someone is competent to do that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, requirements to be a behavior specialist---

MS. HUTCHINSON: Well, not a behavior specialist. They may have -- they have their own -- who's conducting the FBAs is what you were trying to get at, right?

MS. JOHNSON: I guess and just like what is their training. I don't -- maybe I don't know what the specific criteria are for a behavior specialist. I feel like I see people with -- like you are a special education teacher and then you

2

3

5

4

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

become a behavior specialist, but not necessarily because you had different training maybe. just like you -- I'm not sure.

MS. MEBANE: I think it differs from district to district.

MS. OWENS: That seems to be my understanding, is that there is a lot of variability.

MS. HUTCHINSON: I think we were getting at the root of the problem. It's who's conducting the FBA and like what relationship or skills do they have to be able to conduct that versus a behavioral specialist because I don't know that we want to say who can be or can't be a behavior specialist, but I think that the FBA is what applies to our kids and knowing who's doing that and what skills do they have to do that.

MS. OWENS: Or maybe it's both.

I think there too is some MS. GRANT: understanding the difference with -- and, Carol Ann, this may be a policy issue -- when an IEP team comes together and requests a reevaluation for a formal FBA versus coming to the table with a lot of data, that you can pretty much pick out the function. Am I saying that correctly from a

policy standpoint?

MS. HUDGENS: Yeah. Yeah. So I'll just -- can you-all hear me okay?

MR. BAKER: Yes.

MS. HUDGENS: So just to kind of add to that a little bit, one of the things that has not only been a concern expressed by this group but by our friends in the field is that in our policies, we haven't really defined what constitutes a functional behavior assessment. So we don't even have -- there are other evaluations that we have defined in our policies, but functional behavior assessment is not one of them.

However, we are working to start defining that, and then through the definition of that assessment, then LEAs can have more discretion about who might be appropriate to deliver that assessment or administer that assessment because what we do have in regulations and policy are evaluation procedures, and in those evaluation procedures, not only does it speak about the assessments have to be free of bias, they have to be norm referenced, you know, all the particulars of making sure that you have a valid and reliable assessment.

23

24

25

But it also speaks to just in kind of generic terms that you have to have the appropriate credentials to be able to administer those assessments. Now, historically, because there's not a definition of functional behavior assessment and they're following -- there's not necessarily criteria for who can administer those, to Christy's point, it depends on the unique needs of the child and what expertise you need to bring to the table because there are multiple pieces of a functional behavior assessment. There's not just one thing. Parents are included in that evaluation because they provide observations that they see in the home setting. Classroom teachers also contribute to that evaluation because of their observations. Many teachers could contribute to behavioral data collection. you really need to have some expertise -- and, again, that's not necessarily saying it has to be a behavior specialist or a psychologist, but it is in the analysis of that information that is brought together that an appropriate plan is developed.

Because remember we're not trying to determine eligibility by an FBA; what we're trying

to do is develop appropriate programming which may include a behavior intervention plan. So at the bare minimum, an EC teacher who is licensed to deliver specially designed instruction could be appropriate to coordinate the collection of information necessary for a functional behavior assessment.

So that's just kind of some peripheral information about that. I don't know if that's too much or too little, but it really is going to depend on the unique needs of the child. There might be a set of scales that are required but as a part of the functional behavior assessment that have to be administered and interpreted by school psychology and may not.

MS. HUTCHINSON: Sometimes if you have ABA therapist or whomever involved, they have amazing data that's helpful.

MS. HUDGENS: Yeah. So there will be more to come on the FBA from the state level because it's a continuing question that we have because I think FBA sometimes is used too broadly in its definition because you can collect behavioral data without necessarily completing an evaluation, and you can complete an evaluation

1 that includes data collection for behavior.

And so where we have to provide some more guidance is what in behavioral data collection makes it rise to the level of needing consent in the reevaluation process, and there, through that conversation and analysis, is a better determination to walk it backwards for who is best suited to administer it.

We've also been asked to help provide some templates for behavior intervention plans, not to necessarily lock districts into using just one form, but rather to inform the essential components that a behavior intervention plan should include. So that work is in progress.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Good. That's actually -- I like the idea of more work around the FBA, about defining what that is because you see that a lot. It's like, "Well, we have this little piece of paper we filled out."

MS. GRANT: Well, because behavior intervention plan is not defined either. It's not a state form at all.

MS. HUTCHINSON: But, yet, it would be considered part of the IEP.

MS. HUDGENS: Where we've kind of

Scott Court Reporting, Inc.

130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371 wrestled with a state form for behavior intervention plans is trying to balance the flexibility that's needed to customize it to a unique need, but yet being comprehensive enough that the unique need is most comprehensively addressed.

Because you may have behavioral concerns for groups of students that are not aggressive, self-injurious. They are just types of behavior that is not engaging with other students or with the teacher or work habits or adjusting to sensory input. You may have behavioral plans that work to those issues, and then you might have behavioral plans that are directed toward really difficult and challenging physically aggressive plans.

So that's what we have to wrestle with when we look at state forms, not creating something that is so narrow that it prohibits the uniqueness of the plan, but yet comprehensive enough that it can be adapted appropriately to that particular student.

I think, Leanna, if you wanted to have your policies group -- we always try to bring forward to the Council anything that we suggest

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

towards policy. So it could be an opportunity that we bring to this Council what we're proposing as a definition of functional behavior assessment for additional feedback. So one of your groups may want to take a look at that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. Now as of lunchtime, the chair of Policies and Procedures ---MS. GRANT: I joined but not the chair.

MS. HUDGENS: And just kind of while I'm on the topic of policies, what we're trying to look at is when we go through a revision to our policy manual, we, of course, have to reprint and distribute that all statewide. So we try to be selective in how we approach policy changes. first and foremost, there has to be adequate notice to the field that there's a proposed change so that we can accept feedback. Then, secondly, we have to adequate time with the field, and there is training that is required so that everyone is prepared by the implantation date of that policy.

And so the next big release of policies that we're looking at is July 1 of 2020 so that -- so the activities right now is around our definitions of required evaluations of which FBA is one of them that's being reviewed now, and there are some language around for the functional vision assessment that our VI team is looking at that needs to have a little bit more detail to it. So there's a number of things that we're looking at that probably in the next -- the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

next two, I would say, council meetings would likely to include something we would want to bring forward for this panel to discuss.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Sounds good. Any other thoughts about strategies for -- I hate to keep hitting the unicorn thing, but--- You can go home and tell your wife, "I'm a unicorn."

MR. BAKER: She'd be like "What?"

MR. MOOREFIELD: I think we should put Anthony in charge of like setting up a state plan for how our admins should deal with The unicorn plan, we'll call it. everything.

MS. HUDGENS: Well, in fairness, I think it's not only a suggestion of this Council, but we're hearing that from EC directors consistently. For example, our SLD policy that goes in effect in 2020, I think we have saturated our EC folks. They are ready, willing, and able, but they have asked us to expand our audience to

principals, and so we are trying to work on how best to try to facilitate that.

So I think this recommendation is timely for how we get involved principals. I know Christy had spoken to activities that are engaged upon at the local level. I know that in different opportunities that I've had, we've done an administrator boot camp in the summer, and not only EC but student services would get together because we talk about discipline, we talk about absences, we talk about Section 504, we would talk about EC.

And so a lot of times, we get

leverage when LEAs are proactive on their own and

create spaces and opportunities for us to be

invited in or to provide information that then can

be shared locally. Yes, ma'am?

MS. MOOREFIELD: One thing in the world languages division that we've been working on over the last few years is an online platform for continuing ed for world language teachers. So we it up in a series of modules so that on their own time, they can go in and choose a module. It's set up through Canvas, and they can earn their continuing ed by completing these certain

modules.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Would that be appropriate for an admin training, that is, something that they could do on their own time? Do admins have to do continuing ed?

I think that there are MS. HUDGENS: a number of options available. I think that the virtual platforms are excellent for front loading content. I do think that there probably needs to be a blended delivery of some of these issues because what I have found that has been most beneficial is when the groups are small enough that they're comfortable to talk about situations and scenarios. Because until you have experienced a particular moment in time where you've had to have some good decision-making, it's a little bit difficult to kind of absorb the content and be able to apply it in a timely fashion. So I think there's a good mix there, but yes, I think it has its space.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's see. We might be moving a little bit early today. Do any of the committees have anything to report back from their work for the last couple -- last session? I don't think so. Once again, I

Ι

mentioned it during -- right as we broke for

lunch. I don't know if anyone heard me. If

anyone is interested in Reports and Data for a

committee chairmanship, that would be awesome.

fill one and lose another. So if anyone's

interested in Reports and Data.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Would you mind going ahead and moving on to discussing surrogate parents since you're the presenter?

MS. HUDGENS: Well, no. I'd be happy to. Let me get it all queued up here.

(Pause.)

MS. HUDGENS: In response to some requests from the Council and discussions that have been had in the past, there was a request for us to present some information on surrogate parents, and what I'm going to do is kind of go through a high-level overview of training that's already in place for LEAs to take advantage of and the materials that we have onsite -- available online, and then I'm going to go just through a high-level description of what we provide and we guide LEAs for when they have to select a surrogate parent.

And, of course, it's required. There

1 is a legal mandate that we have to have somebody in matters of exceptional children to be able to 2 advocate and speak to and protect the rights of a 3 child. A child may need a surrogate parent if the 4 parent cannot be identified or located. We also 5 have to appoint a surrogate parent if the child is a ward of the State, and a child becomes a ward of 7 the State when the parents' rights have been terminated, okay, or the educational decisions of 9 those parents have been terminated by the Court. And then if a child is an unaccompanied homeless 11 youth, then we have to make sure that we still can't find a parent, we can't identify the parent, the parent can't be located before we appoint a surrogate parent for them.

Any questions on this?

THE CHAIRPERSON: So would foster care -- children in foster care be under the service of a surrogate parent or of the foster parent in an IEP situation?

MS. HUDGENS: Yes and no, and I'll get to that on my next couple of slides, okay? That's a very good teaser, and I'll that's good. spend some extra time on that, Leanna, okay?

So when it comes to appointing a

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

6

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

sorr

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

surrogate, our LEA has to be very careful. How i it clicking without me touching it? Okay. I'm sorry.

So the LEA has to decide if a child needs a surrogate, and we get a lot of questions here at DPI. We'll have a call because family circumstances can be very challenging to weed through. Not only are there the issue of the biological parent, but there are issues around stepparents, if children are living with grandparents, if there are other family circumstances that present themselves. LEAs have to be very, very careful when they determine that a surrogate parent is needed because the surrogate parent, of course, is acting in the place of a parent, and we would never want to not include a parent when we are discussing matters of exceptional children.

Then they have to assign that, and then they have to train that person so that they can fulfill their duties as a surrogate parent.

We don't just pick someone up that might know the kid and invite them to an IEP meeting and expect them to know how to advocate successfully for that child. So we'll talk a little bit more about that

in the next few slides.

So some of the criteria for special education surrogate parents is that they cannot be an employee of the state education agency or the local education agency. We want to make sure that they're not an employee so that there's absolutely no conflict of interest because, again, that person's role is to advocate for the child and not for any other reason, okay?

So for your question around a foster parent, where this becomes little bit more critical is in the issue of a therapeutic foster parent because that foster parent is being compensated for the therapeutic environment that they're offering for the child. So that creates a little bit of a potential conflict of interest. So we would not appoint a therapeutic foster parent as the surrogate parent for a child. They are welcome to attend the IEP meeting as a participant. We certainly would want them to be involved in the education, but for the role of parent, that person could not serve in that role.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

MS. HUDGENS: Okay. So moving on down, no personal or professional interest that

conflicts with the interest of the student, okay, and that the surrogate has knowledge and skills adequate to represent the student. Now in the surrogate parent training that we offer to the appointed surrogates, which I'll also include in here, we know that they're not likely -- unless they've served as a surrogate for other children, we know that they may not likely be well-informed about the IDEA and the responsibilities and protections. So as part of the training for that individual, in their selection, they would be asked to participate in training so that they can become informed about what those options are.

All right. So a lot of times, it gets a little bit confusing about how much authority that the surrogate parent has and does it extend to other things, and this is where we go back through and highlight that a surrogate parent is exclusively for the purposes of exceptional children in special education, okay? This is not appointing someone who can do field trips, give consent for field trips, permission for other activities. We wouldn't be appointing surrogates for those types of business. The surrogate is completely and solely for the purposes of special

e

education.

Now a judge may appoint someone who can do all of these things, but when we are coming to the point where the LEA is appointing a surrogate, it's exclusively to the role of EC.

MS. HUTCHINSON: Carol Ann, their Guardian ad Litem can't be the same surrogate -- the same for EC purposes, right?

MS. HUDGENS: Correct. So the question is about Guardian ad Litem, and so that's actually one of the most often questions that we get. And since they are appointed by the Court, they are an advocate for the student in court proceedings just like a surrogate is an advocate for students in educational things for EC. So that's the difference there. Certainly, they can come to an IEP meeting.

MS. HUTCHINSON: We have a lot that have the perception that they have those rights in special ed because I think when they're trained, they're trained that they can sign for the rights of that child entirely, and they don't realize that special ed is really different. So they could sign for field trips and permission to transport——

MS. HUDGENS: Right. They have some more expansive authority under Guardian ad Litem. And, also too, I will say -- and you'll see this as a suggestion a little bit further in the material -- Guardian ad Litems have very specific roles, but if they advocate that they have more responsibility or more authority than we initially believe them to have, I think we -- it's best practice to request that court order because while not typically do they have educational rights of a child to the extent of special education, a judge may have put something in the order specific to that. So---

MS. CHILDERS: Stating that they can?

MS. HUDGENS: Yes. So in the spirit

of goodwill, I always say, "You know what? Let's

look at the court order and see what's happening,"

because if they have been given that type of

authority by a judge, we certainly don't want to

tread on that. But, typically, no. They have a

function in court processes to advocate for a

child, okay?

MS. HODGES: And a DSS caseworker -- a student's caseworker wouldn't qualify for this as well?

MS. HUDGENS: That's correct. that is our -- I would say we get that question 2 above the Guardian ad Litem because our DSS 3 caseworkers, they typically enroll students. They 4 have all their immunizations a lot of times. 5 6 have their family information. They have 7 information about whether or not a parent's rights have been terminated. They're a wonderful agency 8 that we work with. 9

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HODGES: And hopefully come to the IEPs and participate.

MS. HUDGENS: They often come to the IEP, and they're welcome to come to the IEP meeting. However, it's just like the Guardian ad Litem; their role extends only so far. And if we look at the definition in our previous slide, when it looks at that first element there, that first bullet point, they are an employee of a state agency. So that's how we know that a DSS worker is not going to qualify for a surrogate parent.

MS. HODGES: The other question I have is about foster parents. My understanding is that foster parents get a payment, quite often, unless it's a kinship agreement. So I'm curious about this distinction between a foster parent and you were saying---

MS. HUDGENS: Therapeutic.

MULTIPLE COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Therapeutic.

MS. HODGES: Thank you, everybody. Yes, the therapeutic foster parent.

MS. HUDGENS: So I'll try to get as close to that as I can when we get to the foster parent information, but we know clearly that they're designated as a therapeutic foster parent, and they are compensated by the State to do so because they're providing a very particular setting for children who are in foster care. And so that's where we get the most definition about what is absolutely not, okay?

All right. Moving on ahead, so in the terms of what constitutes a parent, this is in the North Carolina state policies governing students -- services for children with disabilities. It's also congruent with the language in the federal regs about what defines a parent. And the reason why this is really, really important is because there are people who can serve as a parent under the regulatory language that may not immediately come to mind.

So the first one is kind of obvious. We know the biological or adoptive parent, that is the parent of a child. They are the ones that can provide the consent if consent is needed for the initial evaluation or the initial consent to provide services.

And so then the second point gets to our foster parent question, okay? So unless there's a state law that prohibits it, a foster parent can act as the parent for the child. We wouldn't have to train them as a surrogate. They could be the parent of the child, if they're not a therapeutic foster parent, okay? So that's the distinction there.

And so there could be a guardian appointed for the student, which is when we would really need to see what court orders have to say because that guardian may have -- may have limited educational rights, and a thing that is really important in EC is if a parent's right has not been terminated, we still have to go through the measures to locate the parent and try to get the parent to be involved in their child's educational programs.

And so the next one is where it gets

a little bit more interesting and where we get 1 questions around stepparents and so forth. So an 2 individual acting in the place of a biological or 3 adoptive parent, and so this list includes a 5 grandparent, stepparent, or other relative with whom the child lives or an individual who is 6 7 legally responsible for the child's welfare. Now this also can be a landmine of 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now this also can be a landmine of decision-making for an LEA because we have a lot of families that are blended families. There are stepparents involved, and there are families that live with grandparents. And so there's a lot of different family structures that we have. The example that I would like to give is that we've had situations in which--- I don't know why it's advancing. Okay.

One of the examples that I would like to give is that we have had a stepparent, a stepmom be the one that the child lives with, has always attended the IEP meetings, and then for whatever reason, there is a disruption in the family setting and the biological mom wants to become more involved and has her parental rights.

So just as a rule of thumb, when dealing with stepparents and step families, don't

overlook the fact that unless those parental rights have been terminated, those biological parents have a right to be invited to those meetings as well, okay? So this one can get a little bit complicated. So stepparents do not have to be trained as surrogates, and that's what the purpose of having this slide up is meant to convey, is that if you meet the policy and regulatory definition of parent, then you do not have to appoint a surrogate because you have someone that can act on their behalf, okay?

is that there could be multiple people that are qualified to be the parent, okay? So we have to be -- we have to be careful that the biological parent hasn't had their rights terminated, okay? Then there's a judicial decree or order that says who specifically has the right to make educational decisions.

So this can get really complicated.

So what we advise our LEAs to do is that if review of these materials and the review of the parent and you still have a circumstance that doesn't seem easily solved with information is to call our office and we'll help walk through that, okay,

because there's a lot of variations to family makeups now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've kind of already established that the biological or adoptive parent is always the first choice, and this applies to both, even if the family is separated and remarried. You know, mom and dad biologically still have rights to participate in meetings.

All right. So when we can't figure out who's the parent -- you've heard me mention this before -- we need to look at the court order. LEAs are accustomed to asking for this. If there is some kind of court order, we really don't like to go on just word-of-mouth about a parent's rights being terminated because if there is a divorce or some unfortunate circumstance that's created a family situation for a child, there can be a lot of hurt feelings on a lot of different sides, and we need to have some kind of evidence that parental rights have been terminated. court orders are very helpful. And if a parent can be available, we don't even need to try to go down the surrogate route, okay?

If they have other caregivers that are involved in their life -- suppose that the

child lives with the grandmomma but momma still
has parental rights. We still try to get mom
involved in the IEP team meeting and grandma can
attend too. Grandma can actually act as a parent
if you have something that requires a parent's
consent.

So I'm going to kind of pause right there because this whole issue of surrogate parent is really, really important in two major instances. One is in the initial evaluation of a child. So a child is suspected of a disability and an IEP team determines that they need to evaluate that child. You cannot move forward with that evaluation unless you have parental consent. Now there is some clauses in a worst-case scenario that we can discuss, but for today's purposes, we have to have a parent provide consent.

And then after that evaluation is conducted--- Do you-all see I'm not touching it? It's making me crazy.

MS. MOOREFIELD: If it's a touch mouse pad, if your shadow goes over it, it senses that as a touch.

MS. HUDGENS: My goodness. I'm going to lean back. Or it could be giving a clue that I

need to move on with it, so that could be true also.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But if that IEP team gets the consent and evaluates the child, before you provide services for the first time, you have to have a parent's consent again. So that's a really important time period that we have to look at consent. After those first two consent items, business can move on fairly smoothly. You still have to wrestle with trying to get the parent to attend the IEP team meeting, but the IEP team, if it has exhausted numerous efforts to involve the parent and they choose not to participate, the IEP team can move forward. LEAs are very careful about doing that, though, because we do not want to create a problem or conflict with parent participation in IEP team meetings.

The other time that could be really important is at a reevaluation. If you're getting consent to conduct additional evaluations where you might be considering a different disability or an additional disability or that the child may no longer be eligible for special ed, that's a really important time also. There are some procedural steps that you can go through if you are unable to

involve the parent at that time also, okay, but it's really important for that initial consent for special ed and the initial reevaluation.

So foster parents and surrogate parents are only used for students who are wards of the State, okay, and generally, you're identified as a ward of the State if your parents' rights have been terminated or specific education rights have been awarded to someone else on your behalf. We've already kind of established who cannot be a parent, and if there's a question about whether or not a child's legal status is one that requires a surrogate parent, in addition to calling and asking us, many LEAs have an attorney on their staff that they can ask to help with that process.

Unaccompanied youth, of course, may need someone to advocate for them. So as you can see, walking through this presentation of materials really is supposed to be very specific to support LEAs in their decision-making. When we have unaccompanied youth or any child with a disability, their rights transfer to them at the age of 18, and we have to start having those conversations with the IEP team, the family, the

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

multiple conversations about if that child may not be able to advocate for themselves and need some type of guardianship where educational decisions have to be made by an adult and the adult student together. There are all different types of guardianship, of looking at rights of students and protecting them if they're not able to make those decisions for themselves.

So in the role of a special ed -- a surrogate parent, once we have kind of gone all through that decision-making and we know we need one, what do we have to do to get them ready? so what we need to do is let them know before they sign up what they're going to be responsible for, and this -- it's because we need them to help us if we have to identify a child with a disability, if we have to evaluate them, develop their IEP, the ongoing review and revise of the IEP, and they need to know how to advocate for the child if they disagree with what the LEA is proposing. So they need to know about dispute resolution mechanisms They need to know about parent as well. resources. They need to have a method of knowing how to advocate for the child if -- if they

disagree with the school's proposal.

So the next question that we get is, what can the surrogate parent have access to?

Well, clearly, they need to have the procedural safeguards so that they know all the rights that protect the student. They need to have access to those educational records and reports. Since we have appointed them as a parent now, if you can think about it, they would have access to information that parents would have.

so they would have a copy of the IEP and evaluations. They could represent the student if you're in a disagreement. They may mediate on behalf of the child. They may also file a due process petition on behalf of the child. They can ask for progress, and we should be providing them progress reports on the child that they're serving as a surrogate for because we need to keep them informed of the child's educational progress.

So they have to maintain confidentiality as well. When the LEA's selecting these individuals, they need to be certain that the person they're appointing is capable of being confidential, and if there are any questions about their ability to maintain confidentiality, then

that person should not be appointed as a surrogate. They have to review the responsibilities, and once that volunteer surrogate parent has completed their duties or they say that they no longer want to serve in that role or perhaps the student has been adopted and now has an adopted parent, they are required to bring all those records that were shared with them back to the school district so that the school district can destroy them in a manner that is confidential so that we don't have personally identifiable information out there.

That's probably one of the key things that you would want to include in your training, is to ask that person to develop some type of organizational system where those records are kept together and maintained in a secure fashion so they can be returned when their appointment is over.

All right. So we talked about appointing, the training that's required, identifying students that need one. LEAs will keep track of those appointments. They may choose to, although not required, to provide annual refreshers for surrogate parents to let them know

of things that are coming along in EC, any kind of changes that might impact their students. And they keep track of them, one, so you'll know who to invite to the annual IEP meeting if that surrogate parent is appointed for a long time and, two, if that surrogate parent no longer serves in that role, they've got to retrieve those records back. So the LEA has to keep track of that.

And, also, I've talked about when the child no longer requires a surrogate. That might be because the child has turned 18 and they're now able to represent themselves. They've been adopted. We have found the parent. The child may no longer be eligible for special ed, so if they are exited from special ed, surrogate parents are no longer needed. A new guardian is appointed or if a child has been in foster care and that foster parent can now act as a parent. So those are all some different scenarios in which you may no longer need a surrogate.

The LEA can certainly decide to terminate an appointment if that person is like a no-show. The whole purpose of having that surrogate parent is having someone at an IEP team meeting, and if that person is not actively

 engaged in the educational program for that child, that's probably not the best choice to have at the table.

Anything around confidentiality that becomes a problem. Suppose the surrogate parent gets a new job and now works for a state agency, they may now have a new conflict of interest, and maybe the person that we selected for surrogate parent has now entered into a unhealthy relationship with the student for whom they're serving as surrogate. So there's multiple things to think about when a surrogate parent may no longer need to serve in that role.

All right. So I'm going to switch over to our website, and if you look under "Policies," we have a tab here for "Special Ed Surrogate Parents." And I will enlarge this a little bit. So we have a manual here, and I know Virginia is probably going to ask me for one. So I have a nice pretty bound copy like this too or the virtual one.

MS. MOOREFIELD: You better believe I want a fancy one.

MS. HUDGENS: You can have this one.

And I didn't quite have enough of these for

everyone, so if you need one, let me know.

me go through, I just selected particular slides out of it, but our previous parent liaison did a recording on YouTube that walks an LEA through the training and explains all those if-then circumstances. Those links are down here. We have the transcript which is the written, of course, script that accompanies that PowerPoint presentation or that YouTube video.

And I don't believe you can find the YouTube video by just searching it up, so you'll need to get through our link. And not only do we have the guidance model for LEAs, which is what I took you through, we also have the volunteer module. So if you are a surrogate parent that has been appointed and you want to learn more about your responsibilities, we have that second module there that can be used for the person who's volunteering in that role.

Now we made these available to our LEAs, and it's perfectly acceptable if the LEA wants to plug in their surrogate parents to these materials in addition to whatever they may do locally. We did that as a resource to make sure

that the training was consistent and was available for LEAs with relative ease when it comes to looking at surrogate parents.

All right. Any questions for me on this topic? Yes, ma'am?

MS. MEBANE: I've had a few situations where the grandparents — the parent themselves was a person with a disability, and so the grandparents are trying to come in, and you know, the person with the disability doesn't even want to attend the meeting, doesn't want any of this. I've seen schools push back and insist that they couldn't meet with the grandparents without the parent present. Is there clarification about that?

MS. HUDGENS: Well, I'll just preface it by there could be a lot of reasons that that is. It could be potentially that the biological parent is being misrepresented by the grandparent because the grandparent wants certain things and the parent wants other things, but in a lot of situations -- and I'll go purely to the one you described where the biological parent has a disability.

What I would point them to is the

definition of parent. Clearly, if they are living with that grandparent then she, for the purpose of this, could be considered as a parent, but the other thing we might have to chase back is, is the grandparent actually the guardian of the parent with the disability. So if you back walk it, you're back to the grandparent having authority to act as a parent.

The other thing is, my suggestion is, unless you are trying to get consent for something, you're really just trying to have the parent involved in the IEP meeting. So you need to have someone involved on behalf of the student, but you're not necessarily having to put such scrutiny on who can sign consent because you only have consent items at very particular times.

A lot of folks are also under the misunderstanding that an IEP -- an annual IEP is an annual consent item because the parent may sign their name that they participated. It's just participation. It's not a consent item.

MS. MEBANE: The school is calling it a confidentiality problem because they're discussing the child without the parent present.

MS. HUDGENS: So a lot of times, that

is a major point of confusion, and I can see why that's problematic. I would encourage, if you are helping in that situation, to have -- ask them to call us or ask the grandparent to call us.

Because I don't want to necessarily be critical of the school district because, obviously, there have been situations in which that has not worked out well for them, and they're really in their own way trying to protect the child's interest, but we kind of need to move on with things as well.

MS. MEBANE: I think the problem -I mean I think they really believed what they were
saying, but I mean the parents were forced to come
into this meeting and clearly miserable and
clearly stating they didn't want to be a part of
these meetings. I mean, you know, that's really
where---

MS. HUDGENS: Well, that kind of borders on cruel and unusual punishment, right? I mean we all have meetings we didn't want to participate in.

MS. MEBANE: We had one case where there was a power of attorney for educational decisions, and the school wouldn't accept it.

MS. HUDGENS: Unfortunately, you can

Scott Court Reporting, Inc.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

show them the policy book and you can advocate and the parent can bring it out, but sometimes they may not feel affirmed that they can go forward until we bless it at DPI. And so if that's what we need to do to help open up communication avenues, we will. Go ahead.

MS. CHILDERS: I was just going to say -- I just got done actually doing this training. Council for Children's Rights contacted me a few months ago, and so I did all this training. And on it, that was actually an example that was given to us, and so -- and it was basically explained as if the parent -- even though the parent has a disability, if the parent actually still does have the quardianship over the child, then that is where, you know, you definitely -- you can report back to DPI, but there also needs to be that, you know, legal representation of exactly what needs to happen next because they were also stating about if the parent -- if this child is really struggling and there's a lot going on and there's a lot of pushback because the parent doesn't want to be there because the parent has a disability or whatever, you've got to move on. You've got to be

able to help the child.

that it was instructed to us was that, you know, we would definitely contact and we would have to go further, whether it be working on also -- not us -- the surrogate parent wouldn't do that, but also getting that guardianship over or something over to that grandparent so that they have those rights, but also making sure that DPI was involved the whole time. That was the number-one thing constant, call DPI.

MS. HUDGENS: It is very challenging because LEAs want to be so careful because you really don't want to override or overlook someone's rights because that's a serious matter. I know -- I'm a parent. I would be a little upset if I was jumped over and have something to say about it. So you have to be really careful, and there are very -- often there are complexities to those family relationships that have to be sorted through.

MS. MEBANE: One of the cases actually ended up in due process, and the administrative law judge gave the grandparents the right. It shouldn't have had to go that far, I

don't think.

MS. HUDGENS: No, it really shouldn't have. Any other questions for me? I'm going to check online. Unfortunately, for our online friends, we had some others join us, and I forgot to share my screen. So this was me apologizing and assuring them that I would send the PowerPoint materials to them. And I'm just checking right now to see if they have any questions for me.

Erin, I'm sorry that I didn't have displayed while you were listening in, and the same for you, Rebekah. I'll get those emailed to you, if you will include your email address in the question box to make sure you're on our Listserv, I'll make sure you get it.

All right. Questions for me? Yes, ma'am?

MS. FREY: How many surrogate parents are there filling in this role typically on a yearly basis doing this? This is the first time I've ever heard of that.

MS. HUDGENS: Yeah. So that's not necessarily data that we collect at the State level, and I don't want to put Christy on the spot. But do you -- I'm putting her on the spot.

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

I mean, do you have like five -- a guesstimate of how many you do? Because I know when I was an LEA, I probably trained someone like once every five or six years, just because there are typically foster parents that can serve as parents, grandparents that can serve as parents, or one parent or the other that we can locate that have rights.

So really when you go through the definition of a parent and you kind of sift it out, it's really not that often that we have to do it. That's why we made the resources available because LEAs might -- a director might have been in place ten or 15 years and now just has to appoint someone.

MS. GRANT: Sure. Yeah. We have a children's home in our district, and so oftentimes we do have wards of the State that are in the children's home. And I want to say we've had three. I mean I've been there for over ten years, and three -- pretty much consistent ones that we've used. They don't like to have more than one or two children that they're working with at a time.

(Interruption.)

1 MS. GRANT: Okay. So really it's not that often, and we wouldn't -- I don't think we'd 2 have any besides those that were in -- the 3 children that were in our children's home, if that 4 5 makes sense, because oftentimes there is someone involved or either we're able to involve the 6 parent through phone or computer -- involve them 7 in the meeting. 8 MS. FREY: I think as a parent I 9 hadn't heard of this. That's why I was like this 10

is interesting.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHILDERS: Mecklenburg County is just getting them. They're just now getting on to this whole surrogate parent thing. So that's -- and starting to like train and do that, but it's only because of the amount that ---

MS. HUDGENS: Well, Jennifer and Charlotte-Meck actually are online, and she said she's only had to do one in 18 years.

MS. CHILDERS: The way that they're doing it -- I think the process of it now versus what it was has changed.

MS. MEBANE: I think it's becoming a lot clearer as to what is expected because I've been to meetings where there should have been a

surrogate parent, but it was, you know, a therapeutic foster parent or somebody from the group home.

MS. CHILDERS: It supposed to be helping the social workers and all of the people that are involved in those types of jobs.

MS. MEBANE: I've been doing surrogate parent training for New Hanover County Schools for probably at least a dozen years, and we train maybe a handful every other year, but they don't use them all, I mean, so they maintain a list.

MS. HUDGENS: Okay. Anything else from me?

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm thinking of a long-term group home. It may have been that the family was contacted, but because of the distance or something like that, they couldn't make it to the meeting, you know, because I run into that occasionally where it's just -- I participate by phone, but some parents won't even do that. So---

MS. HUDGENS: So if we think about children who are in foster care or are wards of the State, the primary goal of those programs is reunification with the parents, and sometimes some

of the activities that the parent has to engage in to show that they are ready to resume the care of their child is to participate in school activities.

And so, again, that's kind of why this is a small pool, and the reason -- we developed these materials -- we've had training from the State a number of years and resources, but we freshened them up, I think, about two years ago because of the number of questions that we were getting from LEAs about the right circumstances to do this, and so that's why we packaged these materials together. Because if it's once in 18 years, you might have to have a refresher on, you know, all of the things. I know I would have to, and so it's just helpful to have something that the LEAs can dial into and know that they have had and offered a comprehensive training for their parent volunteers.

MS. CHILDERS: Carol Ann, I don't know if you know this as well. One of the other things that I thought was a big game changer for this for Charlotte-Meck was, we just recently got two new judges and they were very big on it. They were big -- that was like one of their big things

that kind of came in with and wanted. So---

MS. HUDGENS: I think that's an excellent point. You know, a lot of this is governed by what happens in family court, and you -- depending on the climate of the Court, the disposition of the judges determines whether or not parental rights would be terminated.

And I can say -- I would tend to agree with you that the climate has likely changed on that because judges were often very reluctant to terminate a parents rights because think about not only the educational implications of that, but medically, you know, in any type of legal, medical matters. So it has not necessarily been a go-to item for family court judges because, again, the goal is for reunification with the family. So that's usually a last resort.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Very informative. Thank you very much.

MS. HUDGENS: You're welcome.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Agency updates.

MS. THOMAS: That's me. Thank you. So I decided if I put some slides up, it might be easier. Christy, you might want to take a nap

because I did updates last week at our March

Institute, and so a lot of this, the directors have seen. But it's kind of a hot topic, some of the latest and greatest from the Division, and so I wanted to share that with you guys as well today.

MS. GRANT: I actually had a parent call during your session, so I'm glad to hear it again.

MS. THOMAS: So we have some new staff that I just wanted to tell you about. We do always update the directory online and the phone list. We have a new section chief. I think she's supposed to be back up in a few minutes, so I'll introduce her live and in person.

But in our Program Improvement and Professional Development Section, which is where our State Improvement Project lives -- that's where our Reading Research to Classroom Practice as well as the Foundations of Math work is -- we have a new consultant Ginger Starling, and she's actually going to be doing the online learning development and implementation work. So we are working to move Reading Research to Classroom Practice and Foundations of Math to a hybrid model, both online and face-to-face, to make it

she'

more accessible to even more teachers. And so she's going to be working to -- to work on that staff development for us.

And then in the section that I previously supervised as the Assistant Director, we have been fortunate to add an additional Regional Coordinator for Charter Schools. We've had two for the last four years. We've added a third. We were able to repurpose a position. So Lynn Metcalf, who was previously the Director for Exceptional Children in Henderson County, has moved into that Regional Coordinator for Charters position, and she's covering the Southwest, Northwest, and Western section or 6, 7, and 8, if you think about our state in the numbers of the regions.

And then Michelle Hamm, previously
the Director for Exceptional Children in Wayne
County, started Monday as the now third Regional
Coordinator for Charters, and she covering the
Northeast, the Southeast, and the Sandhills or 1,
2, and 4. So we are thrilled to have both of them
on board working with Rob McOuat. They make the
charter coordinators. Rob will be covering
Regions 3, North Central Region, and 5, which is

Piedmont Triad.

Special Programs and Data, which is where our fiscal monitoring folks are, we have a new consultant for IDEA fiscal monitoring, and her name is Elizabeth Millen. She comes to us from ——she comes to us from Guilford County Schools.

She's been a compliance person there. She previously worked in Gaston County as the assistant director there, a compliance person, a diagnostician. She's got a background in residential behavioral care, and so she's bringing a lot of things to us as well as understanding how to do fiscal monitoring. So we're excited to have her.

And then Traci Tillis is our new section chief and project manager for ECATS, our Every Child Accountability Tracking System. Some of you may remember that Quentin Parker who used to come occasionally, and this was the role Quentin was in. He's been moved to a different section. And so Traci has been running this project since April on a contract basis, and she's now an employee.

And then I would like to introduce Amanda Byrd, who is in the back, and she is our

newest section chief. She's been in place since

November so she doesn't feel very new now, but she

is our newest and she is the Section Chief for the

Special Programs and Data, which is again our

fiscal monitoring, budget support around our IDEA

grants. We're glad to have you with us.

Some good news to share is that recently at the National Association of School of Psychologists, we had two folks in the state of North Carolina who received some very esteemed awards. Rhonda Armistead is on your left in the top picture, and she received the lifetime achievement award, and that has never gone to a person that was not a researcher or at IHE levels. So for that to go to a practitioner, someone practicing school psychology in the field now, was huge kudos to her and her level of service and commitment over the years.

And then Leigh Kokenes, who is a school psychologist from Wake County Schools at Lynn Road Elementary, was named the school psychologist of the year. And both of those will be recognized at either the April or May State Board meeting. So that was a big deal for North Carolina. The 2016 school psychologist of the

year was Stephanie Ellis, who is in Rockingham County. So in three years, we've had two of the top winners, so that's a pretty good record for North Carolina. I think it speaks to the level of--- I don't know what happened.

MS. HUDGENS: Try again.

MS. THOMAS: Okay. And then

Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools received the

Presidential Certificate of Achievement, and it

was for their engagement around a pilot program

looking at excellence in school psychology

recognition. So there's been a really big push to

get school psychologists out in media, to really

be seen as not just people who sit beside -
behind an easel and run tests every day, but about

their engagement, their involvement with plans,

their involvement with instruction, their -- the

mental health support piece and counseling piece.

And so they are much bigger than someone who juste

gives a test on the other side of an easel.

MS. FREY: Sherry, when did this announcement come out or when were these awards given?

MS. THOMAS: The awards were three weeks ago, four weeks ago.

MS. FREY: Just being in Charlotte-Mecklenburg, and there's nothing on our media. I haven't seen anything.

MS. THOMAS: Really?

MS. FREY: I mean not that I've been on CMS's website recently, but I just -- you know, I feel like I didn't see anything.

MS. THOMAS: Yeah. It's been all over the newspaper in the last week here in Raleigh, which usually takes a couple of weeks. It happened right before our March Institute. So I think it was the end of February, very first of March. But there will be some media blasts about them from the State Board when we get to do that presentation.

Matthew around our adapted curriculum and our students that are on the North Carolina Extend 1, but we are looking to do some stakeholder engagement and put together a group of folks to come in and help us problem-solve around how to provide more support as a state department to the local level, to teachers, to directors, in collaboration with our institutes of higher ed who are training students who are coming out with that

adapted curriculum certification.

Unfortunately, so many times what we find is that sometimes the most -- the folks that have the least amount of training and many times that lateral entry position is the one that winds up in the classroom with students who have the highest needs, and they deserve highly qualified -- well-qualified, highly qualified -- it's not language we use anymore, but a well-qualified teacher because you've got to really understand the scope of learning to be able to break it down and make it accessible at that student's level, no matter what that is.

So our purpose for pulling the stakeholder group together is to look at, again, pre-service students that are in undergraduate programs getting that degree in special ed or someone working on a master's in special ed, focusing on the adapted licensure, making sure that we're working with IHEs and that those skill sets are already being built. We've got to stop the cycle of they come out of the university with a teaching license, and then we have to retrain at the local level because they don't have the skill set. So we're really trying hard to work with our

IHE partners to do that.

We've also got to build an understanding of those barriers because many times this is the population that is not always seen and understood as to why they deserve the quality of education that all students -- I mean they should have what every student has, and sometimes that's not always viewed that way out in the public actually, not in our schools, but that public image of well, what can they learn if they can't -- if they don't have the communication.

And so we have to really advocate for those students, so try to work around those barriers, and then try to look at those students' successes and what else can we put in place and add to help them become productive citizens once they leave our school system.

So we're going to delve into some big bucket areas around policies, around licensure, around curriculum, and looking at our standards and how we're instructing on those standards, and then around service delivery. We've targeted this -- at least this group of stakeholders. So we want to reach out to lawyers that both are parent advocate lawyers and those that support

school systems, local EC directors, our IHEs again. We'll be working with staff here in the building in our division and in other divisions, classroom teachers, disability rights advocates---So I don't mean just Disability Rights, but any advocacy group that is working toward rights of those that have a disability. ---and then parents. We need to -- we're going to have a very intentional purpose to make sure we have all of those well represented and everyone has a voice.

There is a lot different thinking out in the field right now from all inclusion to inclusion as is possible, and I don't mean -- I mean inclusion in terms of an instructional practice, not inclusive practices. You always want to look at inclusive practices, but being in a gen ed classroom all day may not be the least restrictive environment for a highly-involved student. And so we have to look at what their least restrictive environment is, and least restrictive doesn't always mean inclusion.

We're looking at some deliverables, trying to just help us move forward, figure out what those next steps are, and having a clear understanding around our academic and programmatic

reviews of adapted curriculum. You can see those things up there. And so I bring that to you because we will be reaching out to folks.

We've generated a list. We got some feedback from our directors at March Institute of folks that were interested or parents that they recommended. We're looking for more parents to add to this, so we would certainly take recommendations from this group. I am hoping that we will have some representation from Council as well on this group. So we've got a targeted list to start with. That doesn't mean we aren't going to be inclusive of folks.

So if you are interested, long story short, my email is at the very end and you can shoot me an email, or if you know of a parent, in particular, that might be interested or a classroom teacher, that would be really helpful.

MS. MOOREFIELD: I'm already through sending you one.

MS. THOMAS: All right. Good. And then this was a public service announcement that we did at March Institute, but I just wanted to bring that to you folks as well. Our specific learning disabilities policy that was approved in

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2016 goes into effect July 1--- I edited July and I think I blocked out the date. No, it's 2020.

COUNCIL MEMBER: I think you mean July 1.

MS. THOMAS: I did mean July 1, and I don't know how all that got commingled. also doing this at about 11 o'clock last night, so that might have been the problem. My eyes were really tired because I came right up there. will fix that slide before you get this. I just wanted to see if you were paying attention.

So this was the status last night at about, I don't know, 10:30, 10 o'clock, 10:30, somewhere in there. 476 days, 11,427 hours, 685,661 minutes, and I'm not going to keep going, but time is flying. We thought 2020 would be so far off -- or we didn't, but the approach was oh, we've got a lot of time to think about that SLD policy and how to get ready for it. Well, it's here. It is just around the corner, and once we hit June, it really is here because that school year goes in the blink of an eye.

So this is just a reminder that it is fast approaching, and we've talked a lot with the directors around what they had done to be ready

and how prepared they were. We are working to develop some resources to make sure that there's clarity for parents. ECAC is working with us on some of those things. We've got newsletters that we've been doing out to the field. Those always get posted on our websites, so it's available for anyone to go on and look to see the latest update, the reminders, what the information is around that SLD policy.

How many of you have heard about ECATS until you really don't want to hear about ECATS anymore? So our Every Child Accountability Tracking System, or ECATS, is still in the process. It has not gone away. That's the best message. There was a little buzz out there that it was going away, we were starting over. That is not true. This is our system that we will be delivering statewide.

So what I can tell you -- what I am allowed to tell you is that the special education module development is very near completion, that the federal reporting development is very near completion. The service documentation module development is near completion as is the MTSS, Multi-Tiered System of Support module development.

The website is being updated regularly, and if you just Google on our website "ECATS," it will take you to that website, and that's where you can find FAQs, you can find PowerPoints, you can find documents. We try to keep it updated. There is a newsletter that goes out monthly to EC directors, and that's included in that information as well.

Right now, we are doing data integration testing, and that is data coming -- both history data from our current CECAS platform into ECATS as well as the third-party vendor data being uploaded into the new system because even though we're with -- this contract is with PCG and many of our LEAs have a PCG product, it is not the same product as what we've built at the state level. We've got a lot more enhancements, and so it's not going to be just turning a switch and it's going to look exactly like it did the day before when you were in EasyIEP.

And we're working on data integration from Power School, which is our state information system that will feed all the demographics that you will no longer have to reenter on every form page. That should get something. There are some training videos that PCG has worked on and our

team has approved all of those, and so those are ready to rock and roll when we are able to start training.

And speaking of training, we are hoping that we are going to be -- it is proposed for late spring. Our rollout is proposed for summer of 2019, and that date is correct. And we are looking at, from CECAS and from PCG, a three-year data transfer, and so that's to ensure that all data that is needed during the triannual evaluation will be included in the system.

Right now -- well, yesterday, I will tell you -- hot off the presses -- we had internal approval to begin what we call a dry run, and so that dry run means importing all of the CECAS historical data, three-year data, into the new system. We've been doing chunks, and the last lift was 150 LEAs, and so that's been increased now to doing an entire dry run. I haven't heard anything today, so I'm going to take no news as good news and move on.

I will promise you we will keep you as informed as we are with as much information and detail as we can give you. We're trying to make sure that all parties get the information at the

same time. That means superintendents and curriculum directors because of the MTSS piece, EC directors, internal staff. So it is -- it is a massive communication lift, but it is really important that everybody hear the message at the same time. So we're working real hard on that.

So I will be doing a breakout session with the chief academic officers next week in Greensboro -- actually week -- yeah, next week -- gosh, this month has flown by -- on the 21st.

And then we will hopefully have something soon going into the Superintendents Quarterly just to update them as well, again trying to keep superintendents abreast of all current information.

And our goal is to present the most definitive rollout plan we have, without promising things that we then are going to have to go back and change. We don't want to say, "We're rolling out," and having to come back and again and say, "We're not ready to roll out." So more to come. You're still not going to be done hearing about ECATS.

MS. GRANT: Sherry, when do you think -- if the chief academic officers and

2 3

4

5 6 not going to---

7

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

superintendents are going to kind of hear the rollout plan, when will that go down to the LEAs? MS. THOMAS: As soon as we have -well, they're going to hear an update. They're

> MS. GRANT: Just the updates. Okay.

MS. THOMAS: They're going to hear an update. You will all hear the rollout date at the same time, yes. And superintendents feel like --I mean they're like we have to know when our directors know. So we're working very hard to streamline that communication. One of the things I will promise you is -- I don't know if this has been discussed, but once this is up and operational, we will do a demonstration for the Council so you can actually see it live and in person. I won't be doing it, but someone will be doing it for you.

We have some Medicaid news that was worth sharing, and I'm going to try to be very --I know it's always worth sharing when it's about Medicaid. It's good news about Medicaid. about that? So, federally, there has been an approval -- the state plan has approved our state plan amendment -- the Division of Health Benefits state plan amendment was approved about two weeks ago. The action of the plan has not been fully developed yet from the Division of Health Benefits at DHHS.

What I wanted to share today, the good news is that it now is allowing Medicaid billing to cover students with 504 Plans, with individual health care plans, and with behavior intervention plans without an IEP being required. So basically 504 students are now going to be able to be covered through Medicaid billing with the whole approval process, and it's with the same allowable services that we have with a student with an IEP.

So that I think will be a really good news for the superintendents to hear. That is hopefully going to go out to superintendents in the next couple of weeks, but we are waiting because we want to be able to give them the actual action plan of DHB, and we then have to help develop from the Exceptional Children Division an internal agency plan of how we train because 504 doesn't fall under us. So we've got to work across the aisle with our gen ed partners and make sure that we have a scale-up plan of how to train

Scott Court Reporting, Inc. 130 Angle Place Stokesdale, North Carolina 27357 336/548-4371

service?

25

We are continuing to advocate for

1

MS. HODGES: Thank you.

2

MS. THOMAS: Thanks for clarifying

3

that. I didn't want to get that wrong.

4

inclusion of charter schools in Medicare billing.

6

5

I hope we've made a little leverage in the last

7

couple of weeks. We, from a special education

8

world at the state level, fund all schools the

9

same. So charter schools get federal dollars, and

10

so our argument is we treat charters as an LEA.

11

Therefore, they are an LEA for Medicaid billing

12

purposes since that is federal dollars.

13

The problem is not at the federal

14

level. The problem, I think, is in our state wrapping their brains around how that works

15

because charter schools also are 501(3)(c)s, and

16 17

they are conflicted with nonprofit but yet

18

billing. So we're working on that. We've sent

19

some letters and we hope that's going to clarify,

20

Christy. And the lobbyist for charter schools has

21

met with us---

MS. HUTCHINSON: Sicilia.

23

22

MS. THOMAS: Sicilia. ---with a

24

senator to talk about this. So I hope we -- I

25

think we've got some leverage going. We're also

fighting -- fighting, pushing, asking, begging for reasonable equitable reimbursement rates especially in nursing because if I'm a school nurse and I'm billing for Medicaid in the school system and then on the weekend I do PRN work and I may provide the same kind of service, that pay rate is at least double or right around double of what the schools are allowed to bill for, and it's just inequitable. They're doing the same service. It's not double dipping. It's not double billing. And so we're really pushing hard to try to get that increase approved.

And then we are looking at some additional areas to be included in the approval for Medicaid services, and those are things like personal care services, transportation, which is a real big one, and that's really a big push right now. It's all about tracking when the kid gets on and off the bus, and there are ways to do that now that we live in an electronic age, and so it's much more feasible.

For hearing and vision screenings, for group service delivery of OT and PT because now it has to be individual, one-on-one, and then for telepractice particularly for speech and

yesterday that I didn't get to do. I started -- I worked for about, I don't know, three hours on something last night. My husband was gone to a meeting. He came in, and I said, "Okay. I'm going to bed now. I finished this up."

And he said, "Do you project any time that this might kind of slow down and stop?"

And I said, "2022 maybe." It's just -- you do what you have to do, and it's important stuff. If I'm not doing it, I'm thinking about doing it. So I may as well just be doing it and get it done.

Just a reminder that our 69th

Conference on Exceptional Children will be held in

November. The 19th will be our MTSS recognition,

and this will be at the Koury Center in

Greensboro. November 20th, we will have

preconference institutes, and November 21st and

22nd will be our general conference.

Always love to have you folks there and always encourage parents to attend. We're looking at doing some creative things around some of our strands this year, I think. Carol Ann is part of that programming planning group, and we keep tweaking it, but in 69 years, it must be

working or we wouldn't be having the sixty-ninth one. So that's exciting that we've had that longevity with this conference and continue to be able to provide this.

One of the things we're trying to do at conference, at the request of our State

Superintendent, is look to increase the number of educators of excellence. It's his desire that for large systems especially where there may be 2000 teachers or so, that one person, he doesn't feel is representatives. So we'll be looking at trying to equitably increase the number in some of our larger systems to try to honor more educators, which is a good thing.

Poster sessions is an area that we have kind of had diminished interest in lately. This doesn't always have to be a research project at an institute of higher ed. So if your school or your system or if you know of a teacher that's got a really great inventive idea, is being really productive, please encourage them to look at doing a proposal. Those will go up probably about the same time call for proposals do for the breakout sessions, which will be in early April.

And then this year we're asking each

LEA, if they are so inclined, to bring two
student -- two or more student-generated ornaments
to the conference for us because we've -- there's
sort of been a competition inside the building for
the best holiday tree, and we decided having
something created by our students to support what
they can do and to show what they can do would
have to be the winner. I mean there's no way they
couldn't not pick us, right? So I even had a
district director offer to bring us a real live
tree from the mountains because he thinks we're
going to need a bigger tree than what we have. So
we'll keep you posted on how that goes, but it's
just a great way, I think, to get kids engaged and
really make that connection for us here.

We have the opportunity, a lot of our folks do, to get back in a school or in a district, but everybody in this building doesn't always get to do that. So sometimes we need to put a face or a name back with the work we do, and so that seemed like a good way for us to be able to do that.

A couple of legislative items we're kind of paying attention to, keeping our eyes on, there's a school mental health screening study

that's been both a House and a Senate bill. I
think this is a more to come. Last year, there
was a bill that eliminated a State Board policy
around school mental health training, and so this
is kind of encompassing some of that work. And
the only concern we've had with it is that it was
a very quick timeline, and it's not time enough to
build capacity using implementation science to
ensure that it is systemic and sustainable. So
that was our feedback.

And then there was a division -- we had a study through the Program Evaluation

Division that started last summer, and basically the recommendation they came back -- after studying our division, what we do, how we deliver PD, how we deliver technical assistance, talking to a lot of directors, and I don't know who else they talked to. They -- you know, they asked a ton of questions, but came back with a report, and the recommendation was that we include in five different activities that we have -- so the conference, the March Institutes, Summer

Institutes, our regional meetings, and our new directors -- leadership institute for new directors -- that we include a satisfaction

MS. THOMAS: Yes, and the capacity to do so, and I think there was some funding tied to that to increase some positions -- counselors, school psychologist. So funding and -- yeah, what

22

23

24

25

Christy said -- funding and the capacity to deliver that, the resources, not just the money, but the fiscal resources.

We are looking at a new grant system which won't mean a lot to many of you, but the directors will be very excited because we are holding our current grant system together with chicken wire and twine and a little bit of Super Glue and some Gorilla Tape. It's in a pixel format almost. But it's an agencywide new grant platform, so if you have CTE grants that you submit or federal program grants or exceptional children grants, it will be in the same platform.

We are looking to move, not only our IDEA grant into that platform, but also all of our special funding grants. So our Special State Reserve, our Risk Pool, our Developmental Day, all those will go into that platform, and then ultimately, not at initial rollout, but the second phase of this new grant system will incorporate our new budget system into that. So you won't have to go into a separate bass system now to do your budget once you've done your grant. So we hope it will be much more integrated and efficient and effective.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Looking to roll this out some time in the summer of '19, which means our IDEA grant won't move into this until 2020, but depending on the timing, we may actually delay those special funding grants. We usually have those up July 1. We may delay those a little bit in order to get those in the electronic system, which I don't think anybody would complain of not having to send boxes of information into this place to submit those grants, so -- and it will allow us to do them much more efficiently too.

We're also working on a recruitment and retention project with the National CEC, with North Carolina CEC, and with our case group.

There's really more to come about that later.

Just put that on your radar that we see a way to maybe help support recruitment retention of B1 and B2 teachers in special ed -- those are first and second year teachers -- and with some incentives but also some support and some mentoring and some creating of some PLCs or collaboration groups that allow them to have that support.

We learned of an instance of a county not too long ago that has five special ed teachers and they're all lateral entry. They have five in

the whole county, and they're all lateral entry.

And so there's no support to build that capacity,
so we've got to figure out how to connect them to
another LEA close by to help build that coaching.

Am I forgetting anything? Oh. And there is interest -- I almost forgot this -- there's interest in the General Assembly around looking at funding. The Superintendent did put in his budget a request to increase the funding gap to 13.5 percent and to do a study around tiered funding. And some of you were in that work with us a couple of years ago that we did around looking at a matrix for a tiered level of funding based on services.

And so they're very interested in pursuing that model. They will be creating, I believe if this bill passes -- and we're getting good positive leverage on that -- so this might be something else you want to add to those folks when you're visiting with your thank-you note -- is that we really do need this study around tiered level of funding to get funds into those highest need kids that we don't have because, as you know, they are all funded the same. So if I need six hours of service and you need two hours of service

twice a week, we get funded at the same level.

MS. MOOREFIELD: So we need tiered level funding or---

MS. THOMAS: We need a study -- the study done---

MS. HUTCHINSON: But you've already done a lot of the work.

MS. THOMAS: Yes, we have. We need research over there to tweak that matrix, and I told them that, and they're -- we've gotten some good leverage on that. So I'm feeling confident we're at least going to be able to go talk about it. So I'll be reaching out to those of you that were on that before.

And then some changes internally.

There is a relocation in the building going on.

The Superintendent created a new organizational chart back in the fall and created mainly four streams of work and then his direct reports, and so each of those deputy superintendents are now going to be aligned on the floor with the divisions that report directly to them, except for Dr. Maria Pitre-Martin because she has so many divisions that report to her, that we're on three floors.

But we are on the six floor currently on that end, or the north end, and we are also now with -- up there we've been with Career Technical Ed, with Federal Programs, and with Standards, Curriculum, and Instruction, and all three of those divisions report to the Deputy Superintendent for Innovation, Eric Hall.

And so we are moving because we're the odd man out, we're the anomaly up there, and we're going to the fifth floor south, which is this end of the building, and that doesn't mean a lot to me unless you come in to find us. Don't go that way after the end of March. We're going to be fifth floor this way.

But in saying that, phone numbers are going to change, and so if you have difficulty reaching us, someone answering that phone immediately, it may be during transition. We will still be checking that main line. Personal phones, we don't know how they're going to transfer because we're actually going to a soft system through our computers. So the desk phones are going away, and we just don't know how that transition's all going to play out.

So I'm telling you that if you call

1 and you don't get an answer and somebody doesn't call you back in a timely manner, shoot them an 2 email because that probably means, for the next 3 six weeks, they just didn't get the phone message. 4 I have no hope that we're going to move and the 6 phones are all going to work. I'm just telling We don't move until the last week in March. 7 So email is our friend right now. That's the way 8 we will be getting contacts and information from 9 10 you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FREY: And with that, the other phone numbers that parents or advocates or whatever are using to call in to request assistance, will that be impacted during this?

MS. THOMAS: That will be impacted as well, we believe. We believe. But we know -
I've told you pretty much everything I know. I

don't know if we're keeping the same number and it's just going to be on my computer, if it's going to be a totally different number, if it's connected to my email. I don't know exactly how that soft phone -- that hasn't been explained other than what I will tell you is that we will send an email blast out with updated numbers, with probably a list attached, and if the main number

changes, we will certainly do that and it will be changed as quickly as possible on the website. So that's why I said email is not going to change.

MS. FREY: I was thinking people who have it stored---

MS. THOMAS: I know. It's going to be a nightmare, and we're going to have to leave that message "This number has been changed to," and hope that we can do that. We're going to one phone in our division, and that will be the phone for the main line because we can't transfer in a computer. We have to transfer on a regular phone to whoever's got phone duty that day. So it's going to be fun. Email. Email. Email.

And here is my information to contact me, how you can contact me. There is my email and for now there is my phone number. Please let me know if you have questions, concerns, or if you are interested in any of the wonderful opportunities, to quote Carol Ann Hudgens, that I presented to you today that you might want to participate in. Any questions?

(No audible response.)

MS. THOMAS: All right. Thank you for letting me be here. I'm going to slip out

because I have to be in that meeting. So I'm going to slide right on over.

THE CHAIRPERSON: So being a few minutes early was good for you.

MS. THOMAS: It was perfect timing.

It was perfect timing. And let me just say too
thank you for your time spent on this Council and
for the things you do to help support our students
out in the field, and our teachers and our
administrators really appreciate you and
appreciate the service you provide to the State.
So thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do we have any major announcement other than be sure to make sure you're registered to go to the EC conference because as a member of this body, unless they've changed it, we get in for free.

MS. OWENS: So I'm with ECAC. You'll probably never see me again, but somebody will be representing us more consistently. We've had new leadership for the first time ever over the last two years. So we are doing some rebranding, and even the concept of branding ourselves is always kind of weird for us. But I want to pass around a new information sheet. You can kind of see the

look we're going for, a new logo, trying to just update.

And I'm very excited to let you know that for the first time in a long time, we're having a conference for families, and the dates---Hold on. It's going to be -- it's a weekend. It's completely free. That was kind of my segue -- something that's free for families who are interested in developing leadership skills or like many of the parents at this table already kind of in a leadership role. We're looking to help advance that. So it will be through an application process.

If you're already on our mailing list, you would have gotten an email that looks a lot like this yesterday. If you are not on our mailing list and you are interested in this, you can go to our website. You can follow us on Facebook because we're really active on that now. This, like I said, just came out.

It is June 1st and 2nd. It is free and that includes meals and lodging and \$150. So it will offsetting any other costs you might incur, whether it's driving in or paying some childcare. So we're excited about it. We have a

survey. Once you get this invitation, there's a survey of what topics you're interested in. So we're going to build around the interest, to some extent, and again, we're going to be interviewing people.

We think we can accommodate probably about 70 people. And it's not first come, first serve, but it's going to be based on skills and expertise and try to represent the state because our charge is to represent the entire state. So that is something exciting that we're doing.

Somebody in a conversation at lunch said, "What's going on with your workshops? We haven't heard you being around as much." We, like many agencies, are finding that in-person workshops are just not being attended quite as much. We are still available to do those, but we're having to set, you know, minimum participation rates and things so that we can use our resources and our staff time wisely.

We are offsetting that by doing a lot more webinars. So we're hoping that webinars are going to be effective and that they reach broadly across the state because really, you know, it's not equitable that because a small county can only

bring six people to a workshop, you know, that
they don't get anything, then, maybe. So they are
certainly all entitled to webinars. We'll be
exploring, in places without great Internet, the
idea of schools or agencies that do have Internet
maybe holding webinar events where families could
come to a place in their community where they're
familiar, and that would be a sponsored webinar.
So, in a way, it's still a live event in the
community.

So we're doing that, and you can keep up with us again the same way, our mailing list or Facebook, to find out what we're doing. We're always interested in hearing what kind of topics people in the field would like, and that is so helpful when people tell us what they want.

And we are -- also have started recently a youth panel, so we are looking for actively high school age, maybe late middle school students with disabilities to help guide our work. The youth panel will be featured at the conference, so that's a great opportunity for them and for us and our audience to hear what our youth with disabilities wish the teachers knew, what do they wish the

to guide us. We're excited to be able to do that. We have traditionally worked with parents, but we've been -- by our grant requirements and by just by the fact that it's the right thing to do, we're working more directly with some of the high school age kids.

So that's what we're doing, and again, you can follow us on Facebook or just email our general email office with ideas, suggestions, or comments any time.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Awesome. Anything else? Carol Ann?

MS. HUDGENS: I have the dispute resolution brochures back here. I have a few Parent Rights Handbooks, and I have, if you did not get it in your new materials today, a copy of the policy book, I have some back there. Also, for previous members who may not have attended the orientation, if you'd like a copy, I have that back here. So I have those back here, just pick up as you like.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. I think that pretty much adjourns everything we have, if we a motion to adjourn. Our next the meeting will

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, REBECCA P. SCOTT, State-Certified Verbatim Reporter, do hereby certify:

That said proceeding was reported by me and the foregoing pages, numbered 4 through 196, are a true record of the proceeding to the best of my knowledge and belief;

That I am neither related to nor employed by any of the parties or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor interested directly or indirectly in the matter in controversy, and am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Certified this 17th day of April, 2019.

Rebecca P. Scott